



# भारत का राजपत्र The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित  
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 21]  
No. 21]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, मई 25, 2002/अष्वेष्ठ 1924  
NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, MAY 25, 2002/JYAKISHA 4, 1924

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में  
रखा जा सके

Separate Paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a  
separate compilation

## भाग II—खण्ड 3—उप-खण्ड (ii) PART II—Section 3—Sub-Section (ii)

भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों (रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) द्वारा जारी किए गए सांख्यिक आदेश और अधिसूचनाएं  
Statutory Orders and Notifications Issued by the Ministries of the Government of India  
(other than the Ministry of Defence)

कार्मिक, लोक शिकायत तथा पेंशन मंत्रालय

(कार्मिक और प्रशिक्षण विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 13 मई, 2002

क्र.आ. 1711.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा दंड प्रक्रिया  
संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा  
24 की उप-धारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग  
करते हुए केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो के श्री राजेश कुमार,  
अधिवक्ता, रांची, रिटर्नर काउंसिल की झारखंड उच्च न्यायालय  
रांची में दिल्ली विशेष प्रबन्ध स्थापना द्वारा अन्वेषित मामलों  
से उद्भूत अभियोजनों, अपीलों, पुनरीक्षणों और अन्य विषयों  
का संचालन करने के लिए झारखंड उच्च न्यायालय में  
विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 225/44/2001-एवीडी-II]

परमा नन्द, सचिव

MINISTRY OF PERSONNEL, PUBLIC  
GRIEVANCES AND PENSION

(Department of Personnel and Training)

New Delhi the 13th May, 2002

S. O. 1711.—In exercise of the powers  
conferred by Sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the  
Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2  
of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoint  
Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, Ranchi, Retainer  
Counsel of the Central Bureau of Investigation  
in the Jharkhand High Court, as Special Public  
Prosecutor for conducting the prosecutions,  
appeals, revisions or other matters arising out  
of the cases investigated by the Delhi Special  
Police Establishment in the Jharkhand High  
Court at Ranchi.

[No. 225/44/2001-AVD-II]

PARMA NAND, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 13 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1712.—केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा बंड प्रक्रिया संहिता, 1973 (1974 का अधिनियम सं. 2) की धारा 24 की उप-धारा (8) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय अन्वेषण ब्यूरो के श्री मुकेश रसिकभाई शाह, अधिवक्ता, अहमदाबाद रिटैनेर काउंसल को गुजरात उच्च न्यायालय, अहमदाबाद में दिल्ली विशेष पुलिस स्थापना द्वारा अन्वेषित मामलों से उद्भूत अभियोजनों, अपीलों, पुनरीक्षणों और अन्य विषयों का संचालन करने के लिए गुजरात उच्च न्यायालय में विशेष लोक अभियोजक के रूप में नियुक्त करती है।

[सं. 225/12/2002-एवीडी-III]  
परमा नन्द, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 13th May, 2002

S. O. 1712.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Act No. 2 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints Sh. Mukesh Rasikbhai Shah, Advocate, Ahmedabad, Retainer Counsel of the Central Bureau of Investigation in the Gujarat High Court, as Special Public Prosecutor for conducting the prosecutions, appeals, revisions or other matters arising out of the cases investigated by the Delhi Special Police Establishment in the Gujarat High Court at Ahmedabad.

[No. 225/12/2002-AVD-III]  
PARMA NAND, Under Secy.

वित्त मंत्रालय

(राजस्व विभाग)

केन्द्रीय प्रत्यक्ष कर बोर्ड

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1713.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 (23-छ) के प्रयोजनार्थ कर निर्धारण वर्ष 2002-2003, 2003-2004 और 2004-2005 तक के लिए नीचे पैरा 3 में उल्लिखित उद्यमों/औद्योगिक उपक्रम को अनुमोदित करती है।

2. यह अनुमोदन इस शर्त के अधीन है कि :—

(1) उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23-छ) के उपबंधों के अनुरूप होगा और उनका अनुपालन करेगा,

(2) केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम :

- (क) अवसंरचनात्मक सुविधा को जारी रखना बंद कर देता है, और
- (ख) खाता बहियों का रख-रखाव नहीं करता है तथा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के उप नियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित किसी लेखा-कार द्वारा ऐसे खातों की लेखा परीक्षा नहीं कराता है, अथवा
- (ग) आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के उप नियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करता है।

3. अनुमोदित उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम है :—मैसर्स सरदार सरोवर नर्मदा निगम लि., ब्लॉक सं. 12, प्रथम तल, न्यू सचवाल्या कॉम्प्लेक्स, गांधीनगर-382010 की परियोजनाओं (1) नवगाम गुजरात के पास नर्मदा नदी पर बांध (2) मुख्य नहर (3) शाखा नहरें (4) हाइड्रो आधारित पावर-1450 मेगावाट हेतु।

[अधिसूचना संख्या : 99/2002/फा.सं. 205/9/98-आईटीए-II  
खंड 1]

संगीता गुप्ता, निदेशक

## MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Revenue)

Central Board of Direct Taxes

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2002

S.O. 1713.—It is notified for general information that enterprise/industrial undertaking listed at para (3) below has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of Section 10(23G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962 for the assessment years 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2004-2005.

2. The approval is subject to the condition that —

(i) the enterprise/industrial undertakings will conform to and comply the provisions of Section 10(23G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961 read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962;

(ii) the Central Government shall withdraw this approval if the enterprise/industrial undertakings :—

- (a) ceases to carry on infrastructure facility; or
- (b) fails to maintain books of account and get such accounts audited by an accountant as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962; or

- (c) Fails to furnish the audit report as required by Sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1972.

3. The enterprise/industrial undertakings approved is M/s. Sardar Sarovar Narmada Nigam Ltd. Block No. 12, 1st Floor, New Sachvalaya Complex, Gandhinagar-382 010 for their projects (i) dam across the Narmada River near Navgam, Gujarat (ii) Main Canal, (iii) Branch Canals (iv) Hydro Based Power-1450 MW.

[Notification No./99/2002 F. No. 205/9/98/ ITA.-II Vol. I]

SANGEETA GUPTA, Director  
नई दिल्ली, 2 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1714.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23छ) के प्रयोजनार्थ कर निर्धारण वर्ष 2000-2001, 2001-2002 और 2002-2003 के लिए नीचे पैरा 3 में उल्लिखित उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम को अनुमोदित करती है।

2. यह अनुमोदन इस शर्त के अधीन है कि :

- (i) उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23छ) के उपबंधों के अनुरूप होगा और उनका अनुपालन करेगा;
- (ii) केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम :—
- (क) अवसरंत्तात्मक सुविधा को जारी रखना बंद कर देता है; और
- (ख) खाता बहियों का रख-रखाव नहीं करता है तथा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के उप-नियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित किसी लेखाकार द्वारा ऐसे खातों की लेखापरीक्षा नहीं करता है ;
- (ग) आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 2E के उप-नियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित लेखापरीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करता है।

3. अनुमोदित उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम है :—

मैसर्स आर.वी. के एनर्जी, प्रा. लि., पहली मंजिल, एस. डी. सेरेना चैम्बर्स, रोड सं. 7, बंजारा हिल्स,

हैदराबाद-500034 द्वारा 32.7 मेगावाट पावर प्लांट कोनकपुडी गांव, पेडाना मंडल, मछली पटनम्, जिला कृष्णा, आन्ध्र प्रदेश। ( का. सं. 205/176/99—आयकर नि.-II)

[अधिसूचना सं. 103/2002/का. सं. 205/176/99—आयकर नि.-II]

संगीता गुप्ता, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 2nd May, 2002

S.O. 1714.—It is notified for general information that enterprise/industrial undertaking, listed at para (3) below has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of section 10(23G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, for the assessment years 2000-2001, 2001-2002, and 2002-2003.

2. The approval is subject to the condition that—

(i) the enterprise/industrial undertaking will conform to and comply with the provisions of section 10(23G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

(ii) the Central Government shall withdraw this approval if the enterprise industrial undertaking:—

- (a) ceases to carry on infrastructure facility; or
- (b) fails to maintain books of account and get such accounts audited by an accountant as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962; or
- (c) fails to furnish the audit report as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

3. The enterprise industrial undertaking approved is..

32.7 MW Power Plant Konkepudi Village, Pedana Mandal, Machilipatnam, Krishana District, Andhra Pradesh by M/s R.V.K. Energy Pvt. Ltd., 1st Floor, SDE Serena Chambers Road No. 7 Banjara Hills Hyderabad-500 034 (F. No. 205/176/99-ITA-II)

[Notification No. 103/2002 /F. No. 205/176/99 / ITA.II]

SANGEETA GUPTA, Director

नई दिल्ली, 2 मई, 2002

New Delhi, the 2nd May, 2002

का.ग्रा. 1715.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 28 के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23छ) के प्रयोजनार्थ कर निर्धारण वर्ष 2002-2003, 2003-2004 और 2004-2005 के लिए नीचे पैरा 3 में उल्लिखित उद्यम को अनुमोदित करती है।

2. यह अनुमोदन इस शर्त के अधीन है कि :

- (i) उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 28 के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23छ) के उपबंधों के अनुरूप होगा और उनका अनुपालन करेगा;
- (ii) केन्द्र सरकार यह अनुमोदन वापिस ले लेगी यदि उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम :—
- (क) अवसंरचनात्मक सुविधा को जारी रखना बंद कर देता है; और
- (ख) खाता बहियों का रख-रखाव नहीं करता है तथा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 28 के उपनियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित किसी लेखा-कार द्वारा ऐसे खातों की लेखा परीक्षा नहीं करवाता है;
- (ग) आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 28 के उपनियम (7) द्वारा अपेक्षित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करता है।

3. अनुमोदित उद्यम है— मैंगेस लैन्को कोन्डापल्ली पावर लिमिटेड लैन्को हाउस, 141 एवेन्यू, 8, बंजारा हिल्स हैदराबाद-500034 द्वारा 335 मेगावाट कोन्डापल्ली आई.डी.ए. कृष्णा जिला (आन्ध्र प्रदेश) में तरल ईंधन के आधार पर पावर जेनरेशन परियोजना।

(का. सं. 205/94/99—आयकर नि.-II खंड I)

[अधिसूचना सं. 104/2002/का. सं. 205/94/99—  
आयकर नि.-II खंड I]

संगीता गुप्ता, निदेशक (आयकर नि.-II)

S.O. 1715.—It is notified for general information that enterprise listed at para (3) below has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of section 10(23G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, for the assessment years 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2004-2005.

2. The approval is subject to the condition that—

(i) the enterprise industrial undertaking will conform to and comply with the provisions of section 10(23G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962;

(ii) the Central Government shall withdraw this approval if the enterprise industrial undertaking :—

- (a) ceases to carry on infrastructure facility; or
- (b) fails to maintain books of account and get such accounts audited by an accountant as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962; or
- (c) fails to furnish the audit report as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

3. The enterprise approved is 335 MW Liquid Fuel Based Power Generation Project at Kondapalli IDA, Krishna Distt. (AP) by M/s Lanco Kondapalli Power Limited. Lanco House, 141, Avenue, 8, Banjara Hills, Hyderabad-500034 (F. No. 205/94/99/ITA-II-Vol.I)

[Notification No. 104/2002 /F. No. 205/94/99-ITA-II Vol. I]

SANGEETA GUPTA, Director (ITA-II)

नई दिल्ली, 8 मई, 2002

का.ग्रा. 1716.—सर्वसाधारण की जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 28 के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 (23छ) के प्रयोजनार्थ कर निर्धारण वर्ष 2002-2003, 2003-2004 और

2004-2005 के लिए नीचे दी गई 3 में उल्लिखित उद्यम को अनुमोदित करती है।

2. यह अनुमोदन इस शर्त के अधीन है कि :

- (1) उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम आयकर निवर्तनीय, 1962 के नियम 23 के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10(23-छ) के उपबंधों के अधीन होगी और उनका अनुपालन करेगा :
- (2) केन्द्र सरकार को अनुमोदन का पत्र ले लेगी यदि उद्यम/औद्योगिक उपक्रम :
- (क) अवसर-चर्चात्मक सुविधा को जारी रखने बंद कर देता है ; और
- (ख) छातों बहिर्गामी का रख-रखाव नहीं करता है तथा आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 23 के उप नियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित किसी लेखा-कार द्वारा ऐसे छातों की लेखा परीक्षा नहीं कराता है ;
- (ग) आयकर नियमावली, 1962 के नियम 23 के उपनियम (7) द्वारा यथा अपेक्षित लेखा परीक्षा रिपोर्ट प्रस्तुत नहीं करता है।

3. अनुमोदित उद्यम है—निवेशक (बी ए एस-1) दूर संचार विभाग के माध्यम से भारत के राष्ट्रपति के बीच दिनांक 11 जनवरी, 1998 के लाइसेंस करार से. 849-58 (ख) 93वीं ए एस के अनुसार गुजरात में सैल्यूलर मोबाइल टेलिफोन सेवाओं को प्रदान करने वाली अपनी परियोजना के लिए मॉसे फैसल लि. छठे तले संकर-II एलिसांज अहमदाबाद-380006 (फा.सं. 205/123/99-आयकर नि.-II-खंड-1)

[प्रधिसूचना सं. 108/2002/फा.सं. 205/123/99-आयकर नि.-II-खंड-1]

संगीता गुप्ता, निदेशक (आयकर नि.-II)

New Delhi, the 8th May, 2002

S. O. 1716.—It is notified for general information that enterprise, listed at para (3) below has been approved by the Central Government for the purpose of section 10 (23 G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, for the assessment years 2002-2003, 2003-2004 and 2004-2005.

2. The approval is subject to the condition that —

- (i) the enterprise/industrial undertaking will conform to and comply with the provisions of section 10 (23 G) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, read with rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962;
- (ii) the Central Government shall withdraw this approval if the enterprise/industrial undertaking :—
  - (a) ceases to carry on infrastructure facility; or
  - (b) fails to maintain books of accounts and get such accounts audited by an accountant as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962; or
  - (c) fails to furnish the audit report as required by sub-rule (7) of rule 2E of the Income-tax Rules, 1962.

3. The enterprise approved is—M/s. Fascel Ltd., 6th Floor, Sakar II, Ellisbridge, Ahmedabad-380006 for their project of providing Cellular Mobile Telephone Services in Gujarat Circle as per licence agreement No. 842-58 (B)/95-VAS dated 11th January, 1996 between President of India through Director (VAS-I) Department of Telecommunication and M/s. Fascel Ltd. (F. No. 205/123/99/ITA. II-Vol. I).

[Notification No. 108/2002/F. No. 205/123/99-ITA-II Vol. I]

SANGEETA GUPTA, Director (ITA. II)

नई दिल्ली, 8 मई, 2002

(आयकर)

फा.आ. 1717.—सामान्य जानकारी के लिए यह अधिसूचित किया जाता है कि केन्द्र सरकार अधोलिखित संगठन को उनके नाम के सामने उल्लिखित अवधि, के लिए आयकर निवर्तनीय, 1962 के नियम 23 के साथ पठित आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 10 की उपधारा (i) के खंड (ii) के प्रयोजनार्थ संस्थान श्रेणी के अन्तर्गत निम्नलिखित शर्तों के अधीन अनुमोदित करती है :—

- (i) अधिसूचित संस्थान अपने अनुसंधान कार्यक्रमों के लिए अवसर लेखा बहिर्गामी का रख रख-रखाव करेगा;

New Delhi, the 8th May, 2002

## (INCOME-TAX)

(ii) अधिसूचित संस्थान प्रत्येक वित्तीय वर्ष के लिए अपनी वैज्ञानिक अनुसंधान गति-विधियों की वार्षिक रिटर्न प्रत्येक 31 मई को अथवा उससे पहले सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग "टेक्नोलाजी भवन" न्यू महरोली रोड, नई दिल्ली-110016 को प्रस्तुत करेगा;

(iii) अधिसूचित संस्थान केन्द्र सरकार की तरफ से नामोदित निर्धारण अधिकारी को आयकर की विवरणी प्रस्तुत करने के अतिरिक्त अपने लेखा परीक्षित वार्षिक लेखों की एक प्रति तथा अपने अनुसंधान कार्यक्रमों जिसके लिए आयकर अधिनियम, 1961 की धारा 35 की उपधारा (1) के अन्तर्गत छूट प्रदान की गई थी, के संबंध में आय एवं व्यय खाते की लेखा परीक्षा की भी एक प्रति संस्था पर अधिकार क्षेत्र वाले (क) आयकर महानिदेशक (छूट), 10 मिडिलटन रो, पांचवातल, कलकत्ता-700071 (ख) सचिव, वैज्ञानिक एवं औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग तथा (ग) आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) को प्रत्येक वर्ष 31 अक्टूबर को अथवा उससे पहले प्रस्तुत करेगा।

क्रम सं. अनुमोदित संगठन अधिध जिसके लिए अधिका नाम सूचना प्रभावी है।

1. मँसर्स रामकृष्ण किशन सेवा प्रतिष्ठान, 1-4-2001 से  
विवेकानन्द इन्स्टिट्यूट आफ मेडिकल 31-3-2004  
साइन्सेस, 99, सरत बोस रोड  
कलकत्ता-700026

टिप्पणी :— अधिसूचित संस्थान को सलाह दी जाती है कि वे अनुमोदन के नवीकरण के लिए तीन प्रतियों में और पहले ही अधिकार क्षेत्र वाले आयकर आयुक्त/आयकर निदेशक (छूट) के माध्यम से केन्द्र सरकार को आवेदन करें। अनुमोदन के नवीकरण के लिए आवेदन पत्र की तीन प्रतियां सचिव, वैज्ञानिक और औद्योगिक अनुसंधान विभाग को सीधे भेजी जाएगी।

[अधिसूचना सं. 107/2002/आ.नं. 203/39/2001]

आयकर नि.-II (खंड-II)]

संगीता गुप्ता, निदेशक (आयकर नि.-II)

S.O. 1717.—It is hereby notified for general information that the organisation mentioned below has been approved by the Central Government for the period mentioned against the name, for the purpose of clause (ii) of sub-section (1) section 35 of the Income tax Act, 1961, read with Rule 6 of the Income tax Rules 1962 under the category "Institution" subject to the following conditions ;

(i) The notified Institution shall maintain separate books of accounts for its research activities

(ii) The notified institution shall furnish the Annual Return of its scientific research activities to the Secretary, Department of Scientific Industrial Research "Technology Bhawan" New Mehrauli Road, New Delhi 110016 for every financial year on or before 31st May of each year.

(iii) The notified Institution shall submit, on behalf of the Central Government to (a) the Director General of Income tax (Exemptions), 10 Middleton Row, 5th Floor, Kolkata-700071 (b) the Secretary, Department of Scientific & Industrial Research, and (c) the Commissioner of Income tax/Director of Income tax (Exemptions), having jurisdiction over the organisation on or before the 31st October, each year, a copy of its audited Annual Accounts and also a copy of audited Income & Expenditure Account in respect of its research activities for which exemption was granted under sub-section (1) of section 35 of Income tax Act, 1961 in addition to the return of income tax to the designated assessing officer.

S. No.	Name of organisation approved	Period for which Notification is effective
1.	M/s. Ramakrishna Mission Seva Pratishthan, Vivekananda Insittute of Medical Sciences, 99, Sarat Bose Road, Calcutta-700026.	1-4-2001 to 31-3-2004

Notes : The notified Institution is advised to apply in triplicates and well in advance for renewal of the approval, to the Central Government through the Commissioner of Income-tax/Director of Income-tax (Exemptions) having jurisdiction. Three copies of application for renewal of approval shall also be sent directly to the Secretary, Department of Scientific and Industrial Research.

[Notification No. 107/2002 /F. No. 203/39/2001-ITA-II-Vol.II]  
SANGEETA GUPTA, Director (ITA. II)

(आर्थिक कार्य विभाग)

(बैंकिंग प्रभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 10 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1718.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबंध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970 के खण्ड 3 के उपखण्ड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3)(छ) एवं (3क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा श्री कवलजीत सिंह ओबरोय, सनदी लेखाकार, ई-119, ग्रेटर कैलाश-1, नई दिल्ली-110048 को 10 मई, 2002 से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए बैंक ऑफ महाराष्ट्र में अंशकालिक गैर-सरकारी निदेशक के पद पर नामित करती है।

[फा.सं. 9/17/2000 बी.ओ. I(i)]

रमेश चन्द, अवर सचिव

(Department of Economic Affairs)

(Banking Division)

New Delhi, the 10th May, 2002

S. O. 1718.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3)(g) and (3-A) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970, read with sub-clause (1) of Clause 3 of the Nationalised Banks (Management & Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government, hereby

nominates Shri Kawaljit Singh Oberoi, Chartered Accountant, E-119, Greater Kailash-I, New Delhi-110048 as part-time non-official director of Bank of Maharashtra for a period of three years commencing on 10th May, 2002.

[F. No. 9/17/2000-B.O.I. (i)]

RAMESH CHAND, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 10 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1719.—राष्ट्रीयकृत बैंक (प्रबंध एवं प्रकीर्ण उपबंध) स्कीम, 1970 के खण्ड 3 के उपखंड (1) के साथ पठित बैंककारी कंपनी (उपक्रमों का अर्जन एवं अंतरण) अधिनियम, 1970 की धारा 9 की उपधारा (3)(छ) एवं (3क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, एतद्वारा श्री अशोक गुप्ता, सनदी लेखाकार, 1274/2, नाई वाला, करोल बाग, नई दिल्ली-110005 को 10 मई, 2002 से तीन वर्ष की अवधि के लिए इंडियन बैंक में अंशकालिक गैर-सरकारी निदेशक के पद पर नामित करती है।

[फा.सं. 9/17/2000-बी.ओ.-I(ii)]

रमेश चन्द, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 10th May, 2002

S. O. 1719.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3)(g) and (3-A) of Section 9 of the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970 read with sub-clause (1) of Clause 3 of the Nationalised Banks (Management & Miscellaneous Provisions) Scheme, 1970, the Central Government, hereby nominates Shri Ashok Gupta, Chartered Accountant, 1274/2, Nai Wala, Karol Bagh, New Delhi-110005 as part-time non-official director of Indian Bank for a period of three years commencing on 20th May, 2002.

[F. No. 9/17/2000-B.O.I (ii)]

RAMESH CHAND, Under Secy,

पेट्रोलेियम एवं प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय

MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL

GAS

नई दिल्ली, 9 मई, 2002

New Delhi, the 9th May, 2002

का.भा. 1720.—तेल उद्योग (विकास) अधिनियम, 1974 (1974 का 47) की धारा-3 की उपधारा-3 के खंड (ग) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का उपयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार सहायक निम्नलिखित अधिकारियों को तेल उद्योग विकास बोर्ड के सदस्य के रूप में उनके नाम के सामने दर्शायी गई अवधि अवकाश प्रगते आदेशों, जो भी पहले हो, तक के लिए नियुक्त करती है:—

S. O. 1720.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (C) of Sub-section (3) of Section 3 of the Oil Industry (Development) Act, 1974 (47 of 1974), the Central Government hereby appoints the following officers as Members of the Oil Industry Development Board for the period shown against their names or until further orders, whichever is earlier ;

से	तक
1. डॉ. सुरजीत मिश्रा, संयुक्त सचिव एवं निजीय सहायक निदेशक, पेट्रोलेियम एवं प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय	25-4-2002 24-4-2004
2. श्री जे. एम. मास्कर, संयुक्त सचिव (एम), पेट्रोलेियम एवं प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय	23-9-2001 22-9-2003
3. डॉ. अविनाश चन्द्रा, सहानिदेशक, हाईड्रोकार्बन	15-10-2001 14-10-2003
4. श्री एम. एस. रामचन्द्रन, अध्यक्ष, भारतीय तेल निगम लि.	1-4-2002 31-3-2004
5. श्री पी. बैनर्जी, संयुक्त निदेशक, गैस अथॉरिटी ऑफ इंडिया लि.	28-8-2001 27-8-2003

From	To
1. Dr. Surjit Mitra, JS&FA, Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas.	25-4-2002 24-4-2004
2. Shri J. M. Masakar, JS (M), Ministry of Petroleum & Natural Gas.	23-9-2001 22-9-2003
3. Dr. Avinash Chandra, Director General of Hydrocarbon.	15-10-2001 14-10-2003
4. Shri M. S. Ramchandran, Chairman, Indian Oil Corporation Limited.	1-4-2002 31-3-2003
5. Shri P. Bannerjee, Chairman & Managing Director, Gas Authority of India Limited.	28-8-2001 27-8-2003

[सं० जी-35012/2/91-विन-II]

[No. G. 35012/2/91-Fin. II]

के. पी. के. नम्बीसन, अवसर सचिव

K. P. K. NAMBISSAN, Under Secy.



नई दिल्ली, 21 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1721.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन जारी की गई भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना का. आ. 210 तारीख 22 जनवरी, 2002 द्वारा उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एण्ड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा जामनगर— भोपाल पाइपलाइन परियोजना के माध्यम से गुजरात राज्य में जामनगर से मध्यप्रदेश राज्य में भोपाल तक पेट्रोलियम उत्पादों के परिवहन के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी ;

और, उक्त राजपत्र अधिसूचना की प्रतियां जनता को तारीख 25, 26, 27 फरवरी और 21 मार्च 2002 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थीं ;

और, सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अनुसरण में केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात और यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि उक्त भूमि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए अपेक्षित है उसमें उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है ;

अतः, अब केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट उक्त भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जित किया जाता है ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, यह निदेश देती है कि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की वजाए सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एण्ड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

## अनुसूची

तहसील : सरदारपुर

जिला : धार

राज्य : मध्यप्रदेश

गांव का नाम

सर्वे नंबर

क्षेत्रफल  
हेक्टर आर सेन्टीयर

1	2	3	4	5
1 टिमायची	330/2	0	8	75
	330/1	0	8	0
	333	0	0	45
	468	0	41	0
	469/2	0	8	20
	469/5	0	7	55
	469/6	0	7	50
	284	0	2	25
	469/7	0	4	35
	469/1/1	0	16	0
	470	0	8	90
	469/1/2	0	5	35
	469/1/3	0	0	40
	474	0	25	0
	475	0	17	15
	478	0	32	80
	478	0	0	65
	478	0	0	15
	481	0	0	40
	481	0	0	50
	481	0	8	65
	486	0	0	50
	482	0	1	95
	482	0	1	55
	482	0	4	30
	530	0	10	80
	526	0	17	75
	528	0	4	95
	527	0	4	30
	527	0	0	65
	527	0	11	15
	526	0	10	0
	526	0	0	10
	526	0	0	20
	518	0	3	50
	519/1	0	3	45
	543	0	3	50
	545	0	43	10
	559	0	22	15

1	2	3	4	5
	558	0	0	70
	560	0	41	95
	565/5	0	6	40
	906/1	0	14	50
	906/2	0	16	20
	904	0	0	5
	899/1	0	8	55
	899/2	0	4	0
	899/4	0	4	55
	898/1	0	13	90
	898/2	0	21	60
	898/3	0	2	5
	897/1	0	12	50
	897/2	0	16	70
	570/2	0	4	60
	894/2	0	7	45
	890	0	17	60
	891/5	0	0	90
	893/3/5	0	0	35
	889/4	0	0	5
	889/1	0	5	65
	889/2	0	5	35
	889/3	0	2	20
	889/6	0	1	0
	847	0	0	5
	864	0	8	80
	860	0	26	15
	863	0	26	60
	862	0	5	80
	865	0	10	0
	861	0	7	40
	866	0	4	20
	1066	0	8	75
	1068	0	43	15
	1065	0	0	10
	1069	0	26	0
	1181	0	54	80
	1071	0	1	25
	1072	0	0	20
	1173	0	16	15
	1177	0	0	00
	1174	0	12	00
	1202	0	29	80
	1201	0	1	55
	1222	0	19	15

1	2	3	4	5
	1222	0	1	25
	1222	0	10	65
	1221/3	0	17	30
	1221/2	0	14	50
	1216/2	0	8	80
	1216/1	0	1	50
	1213	0	3	30
	1212	0	35	40
	1211	0	12	65
	1685	0	2	50
	1685	0	0	25
	1680	0	11	95
	1687	0	6	35
	1689	0	10	60
2 गानगढ	873/1	0	3	10
	31	0	22	35
	871	0	14	45
	870	0	57	10
	868	0	29	0
	853/1	0	47	0
	36	0	28	50
	855/2	0	4	50
	33/2	0	26	50
	37	0	20	85
	37	0	0	70
	37	0	3	65
	851	0	0	75
	850	0	3	75
	848/1	0	0	40
	175	0	13	25
	176	0	8	10
	181/1	0	9	85
	181/2	0	3	65
	180	0	26	0
	185	0	4	40
	184	0	54	25
	187/1	0	23	20
	190	0	40	20
	191	0	41	5
	198/1	0	41	90
	198/2	0	43	10
	209	0	21	55
	205	0	16	70
	318	0	74	50
	317	0	4	20

1	2	3	4	5
	314	0	37	0
	308	0	18	80
	305	0	4	5
	306	0	58	15
	293	0	18	45
3. रुपाखेड़ा	6	0	19	70
	7/1	0	22	90
	13/2	0	13	10
	11	0	3	35
	12/1	0	21	85
	11	0	0	45
	12/2	0	21	15
	104/2	0	27	45
	102	0	12	15
	103	0	5	80
	106	0	0	95
	107	0	27	50
	111	0	17	80
	136	0	5	70
	135	0	13	30
	137	0	19	15
	138	0	7	30
	139/1	0	40	15
	155/2/1	0	9	10
	155/2/3	0	19	0
	155/1	0	16	45
	156/1/2	0	20	65
	157/1	0	2	90
	168/2	0	32	30
	167/2	0	19	45
	167/2	0	0	45
	167/2	0	0	45
	165/1	0	0	5
	165/1	0	1	10
	165/1	0	1	95
	165/1	0	18	45
	165/2	0	13	15
	184	0	8	5
	187/3	0	1	80
	187/2	0	22	0
	187/1	0	26	40
	186	0	9	45
	185	0	27	10
4. बोदली	49/1	0	0	70
	49/2	0	19	80

1	2	3	4	5
	269	0	5	95
	268	0	0	20
	268	0	0	25
	268	0	6	15
	267	0	24	30
	261	0	23	15
	258	0	6	50
	258	0	0	60
	258	0	9	25
	258	0	1	30
	247	0	3	15
	232	0	3	30
	246	0	1	55
	232	0	1	70
	243/1	0	32	85
	243/2	0	18	5
	232	0	1	5
	242/2	0	0	50
	213	0	2	20
	198	0	13	55
5. कोटडा कला	10/1	0	20	85
	12	0	13	25
	9	0	3	10
	10/2	0	18	80
	20	0	1	75
	21/1	1	3	0
	217	0	27	75
	220/1	0	43	75
	220/2	0	0	80
	221	0	8	15
	300	0	0	5
	302/1	0	7	15
	302/2	0	8	30
	580/1/1	0	46	45
	581	0	7	65
	630	0	3	30
	631	0	60	20
	632	0	0	5
	642	0	3	35
	824	0	10	15
	825/2	0	11	5
	823	0	2	30
	821	0	10	80
	820	0	27	55
	884	0	16	20

1	2	3	4	5
	881	0	1	70
	885	0	13	15
	889	0	64	80
6. छोटिया बालूद	55	0	15	95
	82	0	67	0
	100	0	7	20
	17	0	3	40
	16	0	27	50
	609	0	6	50
7. हनुमन्तिया पदमपुरा	540	0	28	40
	541	0	10	45
	542	0	2	80

[फा. सं. एल. 14014 34.2001 जी.पी.]

म्यामो मिंट, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st May, 2002

**S.O. 1721.**—Whereas, by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, number S.O. 210, dated the 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2002, issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for transport of regassified liquefied natural gas through the Jamnagar-Bholpal Pipeline Project from Jamnagar in the State of Gujarat to Bhopal in the State of Madhya Pradesh by Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 25<sup>th</sup>, 26<sup>th</sup>, 27<sup>th</sup> February 2002 and 21<sup>st</sup> March 2002,

And whereas the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government has, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, decided to acquire the right of user therein;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the said land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the land in laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of this declaration, in the Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited, free from all encumbrances

<b>SCHEDULE</b>
-----------------

**Tehsil :SARDARPUR****District : DHAR****State: Madhya Pradesh****Name of the Village****Survey No****AREA****Hectare****Are****C-Are**

1	2	3	4	5
1. TIMAYICHI	330/2	0	8	75
	330/1	0	8	0
	333	0	0	45
	468	0	41	0
	469/2	0	8	20
	469/5	0	7	55
	469/6	0	7	50
	284	0	2	25
	469/7	0	4	35
	469/1/1	0	16	0
	470	0	8	90
	469/1/2	0	5	35
	469/1/3	0	0	40
	474	0	25	0
	475	0	17	15
	478	0	32	80
	478	0	0	65
	478	0	0	15
	481	0	0	40
	481	0	0	50
	481	0	8	65
	486	0	0	50
	482	0	1	95
	482	0	1	55
	482	0	4	30
	530	0	10	80
	526	0	17	75
	528	0	4	95
	527	0	4	30
	527	0	0	65
	527	0	11	15
	526	0	10	0
	526	0	0	10
	526	0	0	20
	518	0	3	50
	519/1	0	3	45
	543	0	3	50
	545	0	43	10
	559	0	22	15
	558	0	0	70
	560	0	41	95
	565/5	0	8	40
	906/1	0	14	50
	906/2	0	16	20
	904	0	0	5
	899/1	0	8	55



1	2	3	4	5
	899/2	0	4	0
	899/4	0	4	55
	898/1	0	13	90
	898/2	0	21	60
	898/3	0	2	5
	897/1	0	22	50
	897/2	0	16	70
	570/2	0	4	60
	894/2	0	7	45
	890	0	17	60
	891/5	0	0	90
	893/3/5	0	0	35
	889/4	0	0	5
	889/1	0	5	65
	889/2	0	5	35
	889/3	0	2	20
	889/6	0	1	0
	847	0	0	5
	864	0	8	80
	860	0	26	15
	863	0	26	60
	862	0	5	80
	865	0	10	0
	861	0	7	40
	866	0	4	20
	1066	0	8	75
	1068	0	43	15
	1065	0	0	10
	1069	0	26	0
	1181	0	54	80
	1071	0	1	25
	1072	0	0	20
	1176	0	16	15
	1177	0	10	65
	1174	0	12	90
	<del>1202</del>	0	29	80
	1201	0	17	55
	1222	0	19	15
	1222	0	1	25
	1222	0	10	65
	1221/3	0	17	30
	1221/2	0	14	50
	<del>1216/2</del>	0	8	80
	1216/1	0	1	50
	1213	0	3	30
	1212	0	35	40
	1211	0	12	65
	1685	0	2	50
	1685	0	0	25
	1688	0	11	95
	1687	0	6	35
	1689	0	10	60

1	2	3	4	5
<b>SHANGAD</b>	873/1	0	3	10
	31	0	22	35
	871	0	14	45
	870	0	57	10
	868	0	29	0
	856/1	0	47	0
	36	0	28	50
	855/2	0	4	50
	38/2	0	26	50
	37	0	20	85
	37	0	0	70
	37	0	3	65
	851	0	0	75
	850	0	3	75
	848/1	0	0	40
	175	0	13	25
	176	0	8	10
	181/1	0	9	85
	181/2	0	3	65
	180	0	26	0
	185	0	4	40
	184	0	54	25
	187/1	0	23	20
	190	0	40	20
	191	0	41	5
	198/1	0	41	90
	198/2	0	43	10
	209	0	21	55
	205	0	16	70
	318	0	74	50
	317	0	4	20
	314	0	37	0
	308	0	18	80
	305	0	4	5
	306	0	58	15
	<del>293</del>	0	18	45
<b>3. RUPAKHEDA</b>	6	0	19	70
	7/1	0	22	90
	13/2	0	13	10
	11	0	3	35
	12/1	0	21	85
	11	0	0	45
	12/2	0	21	15
	104/2	0	27	45
	102	0	12	15
	103	0	5	80
	106	0	0	95
	107	0	27	50
	111	0	17	80
	136	0	5	70
	135	0	13	30
	137	0	19	15
	138	0	7	30
	139/1	0	40	15

1	2	3	4	5
	155/2/1	0	9	10
	155/2/3	0	19	0
	155/1	0	16	45
	156/1/2	0	20	65
	157/1	0	2	90
	168/2	0	32	30
	167/2	0	19	45
	167/2	0	0	45
	167/2	0	0	45
	165/1	0	0	5
	165/1	0	1	10
	165/1	0	1	95
	165/1	0	18	45
	165/2	0	13	15
	184	0	8	5
	187/3	0	1	80
	187/2	0	22	0
	187/1	0	26	40
	186	0	9	45
	185	0	27	10
4. BODLI	49/1	0	0	70
	49/2	0	19	80
	269	0	5	95
	268	0	0	20
	268	0	0	25
	268	0	6	15
	267	0	24	30
	261	0	23	15
	258	0	6	50
	258	0	0	60
	258	0	9	25
	258	0	1	30
	247	0	3	15
	232	0	3	30
	246	0	1	55
	232	0	1	70
	243/1	0	32	85
	243/2	0	18	5
	232	0	1	5
	242/2	0	0	50
	213	0	2	20
	198	0	13	55
5. KOTADA KALA	10/1	0	20	85
	12	0	13	25
	9	0	3	10
	10/2	0	18	80
	20	0	1	75
	21/1	1	3	0
	217	0	27	75
	220/1	0	43	75
	220/2	0	0	0
	221	0	8	0
	300	0	0	0
	302/1	0	7	15

1	2	3	4	5
	302/2	0	8	30
	580/1/1	0	46	45
	581	0	7	65
	630	0	3	30
	631	0	60	20
	632	0	0	5
	642	0	3	35
	824	0	10	15
	825/2	0	11	5
	823	0	2	30
	821	0	10	80
	820	0	27	55
	884	0	16	20
	881	0	1	70
	885	0	13	15
	889	0	64	80
6. CHOTIYA BALOD	55	0	15	95
	82	0	67	0
	100	0	7	20
	17	0	3	40
	16	0	27	50
	609	0	8	50
7. HANUMANTIYA PADAMPURA	540	0	28	40
	541	0	10	45
	542	0	2	80

[No. L 14014/34/2001-G P]

SWAMI SINGH, Director

नई दिल्ली, 21 मई, 2002

क्रा. आ. 1722.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि गुजरात राज्य में ज़ामनगर से मध्यप्रदेश राज्य में भोपाल तक पुनः गैसीकृत तरल प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए मैसर्स गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन और इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार को ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसके भीतर पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति, जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियां साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री ए. के. संघवी,, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एण्ड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड, पाइपलाइन परियोजना, 304, एटलान्टा टॉवर, तीसरी मंजिल इंकलाब सोसाइटी, गुलबाई टेकरा के सामने, आंबावाड़ी, अहमदाबाद-380015, गुजरात को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

अनुसूची

तालुका : झालोद

जिला : दाहोद

राज्य : गुजरात

गोथ का नाम	सर्वे नंबर	क्षेत्रफल		
		हेक्टर	आरे	स्क्वैर मी.
1	2	3	4	5
गोथा	215	0	35	70
	209	0	2	00

उपरोक्त सर्वे नम्बर पूर्व में अधिसूचित नहीं है—अधिसूचना क्रमांक का. आ. 301 तारीख 9-2-2002

[ फा. सं. एल. 14014/14/02-जी.पी. ]

म्यामी सिंह, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st May, 2002

S. O. 1722.— Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of re-gassified liquefied natural gas (LNG) from Jamnagar in the State of Gujarat to Bhopal in the State of Madhya Pradesh, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And, whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the such pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipeline, is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of the notification issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri A.K. Sanghavi, Competent Authority, GTICL Pipeline Project, 304, Atlanta Tower, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Opp. Inqulab Society, Gulbai Tekra, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad-380 015, Gujarat..

**SCHEDULE****Taluka : Jhalod****District : Dahod****State : Gujarat**

Name of the Village	Survey No. / Block No.	Area		
		Hectare	Are	Sq.Mtr.
1	2	3	4	5
1. Aamba	215	0	35	70
	209	0	02	00

The above survey numbers have not been notified earlier - S.O. 301 - dated 2/02/2002

[No. L 14014/14/02-G.P.]

SWAMI SINGH, Director

A. N. SINGH, Jhalod

नई दिल्ली, 21 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1723. — केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोकहित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि गुजरात राज्य में जामनगर से मध्यप्रदेश राज्य में भोपाल तक पुनः गैसीकृत द्रवित प्राकृतिक गैस के परिवहन के लिए गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एंड इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड द्वारा पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में, जिसके भीतर उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने का प्रस्ताव है और जो इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में वर्णित है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उनमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियां साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर, भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के लिए उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के संबंध में श्री एम. सी. रेजा,, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, गैस ट्रांसपोर्टेशन एवं इन्फ्रास्ट्रक्चर कम्पनी लिमिटेड, प्लॉट नं० 7, क्वालिटी बिजनेस सेन्टर, एम. पी. नगर, जोन-2, भोपाल को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

## अनुसूची

तहसील: सोनकच्छ		जिला: देवास	राज्य: मध्य प्रदेश	
गाँव का नाम			क्षेत्रफल	
	सर्वे नंबर	हेक्टर	आरे	सि-आरे
1	2	3	4	5
1. दौलतपुर	651	0	06	00
प.ह.नं. 29				
2. डकाच्या	782	0	02	00
प.ह.नं. 22	1152	0	01	50
	1128	0	05	00
	1309	0	03	50
	1373	0	03	00
3. सोनकच्छ	876-877	0	03	40
प.ह.नं. 26	888/2-889/5	0	16	00
	889/2	0	02	50
	888/1-889/4,890	0	60	50
	1315-1316-1317	}	38	90
	1318-1323			
4. पौलाय जागीर	892	0	05	00
प.ह.नं. 23	118	0	02	00
	470/1777	0	14	10

उपरोक्त सर्वे नम्बर पूर्व में प्रकाशित नहीं है—अधिसूचना क्रमांक का. आ. 78 तारीख 9-1-2002

[ फा. सं. एल. 14014/29/2001-जी.पी. ]

स्वामी सिंह, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st May, 2002

S. O. 1723.—Whereas it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of regassified liquefied natural gas from Jamnagar in the State of Gujarat to Bhopal in the State of Madhya Pradesh, a pipeline should be laid by M/s Gas Transportation and Infrastructure Company Limited;

And whereas it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty-one days from the date on which the copies of the notification issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the said Act, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein for laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri M.C. Reja, Competent Authority, GTICL., Plot No.7, Quality Business Center, M.P.Nagar, Zone-II, Bhopal.

SCHEDULE				
Tehsil: Sonkutch	District: Dewas		State: Madhya Pradesh	
Name of the Village	Survey No	Hectare	Area	C-Are
1	2	3	4	5
1. DAULATPUR	651	0	06	00
P.C.NO – 29				
2. DAKATCHIA	782	0	02	00
P.C.NO – 22	1152	0	01	50
	1128	0	05	00
	1309	0	03	50
	1373	0	03	00
3. SONKUTCH	876-877	0	03	40
P.C.NO – 26	888/2-889/5	0	16	00
	889/2	0	02	50
	888/1-889/4,890	0	60	50
	1315-1316-1317	}	38	90
	1318-1323			
4. POLAI JAGIR	892	0	05	00
P.C.NO – 23	118	0	02	00
	470/1777	0	14	10

The above Survey numbers have not appeared earlier vide S.O.78 dated 09.01.2002

[No L 14014/29/2001-G.P.]  
SWAMI SINGH, Director



नई दिल्ली, 21 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1724.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड 2 (ii) तारीख 12 जनवरी, 2002 में पृष्ठ 270 से पृष्ठ 294 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. 78, तारीख 09 जनवरी, 2002 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :-

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में -

स्तम्भ 1 में आने वाले "पौलाय जागीर प. ह. नं. 23" गाँव के सामने,

(क) स्तम्भ (2) में आने वाले सर्वेक्षण सं. "886" और स्तम्भ 3,4 और 5 में आने वाले "0-06-00" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर क्रमशः निम्नलिखित क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा, अर्थात् :-

"886/1"  
"886/3"

"0-08-00"  
"0-04-00"

(ख) स्तम्भ 2 में आने वाले सर्वेक्षण सं. "887" में, स्तम्भ 3,4 और 5 में आने वाले क्षेत्र "0-01-00" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-02-00" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ;

(ग) स्तम्भ 2 में आने वाले सर्वेक्षण सं. "103" में, स्तम्भ 3,4 और 5 में आने वाले "0-00-30" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-24-00" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ; और

(घ) स्तम्भ 2 में आने वाले सर्वेक्षण सं. "175" में, स्तम्भ 3,4 और 5 में, आने वाले "0-01-00" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-03-00" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ;

[ फा. सं. एन. 14014/29/2001-जी.पी. ]

स्वामी सिंह, निदेशक

New Delhi, the 21st May, 2002

S. O. 1724.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas, number S.O.78 dated 9th January, 2002 published at pages 270 to 294 in Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 12<sup>th</sup> January, 2002, namely:-

In the Schedule to the said notification:-

against village "Polai Jagir P.C.No.23" occurring in column 1,

(a) for Survey No. "886" occurring in column 2, and the area "0-06-00" occurring in columns 3,4 and 5, the following Survey Nos. and the areas shall respectively be substituted, namely:-

"886/1 "0-08-00"

"886/3 "0-04-00"

- (b) in Survey No. "887" occurring in column 2, for the area "0-01-00" occurring in columns 3,4 and 5, the area "0-02-00" shall be substituted
- (c) in Survey No. "103" occurring in column 2, for the area "0-00-30" occurring in columns 3,4 and 5, the area "0-24-00" shall be substituted; and
- (d) in Survey No. "175" occurring in column 2, for the area "0-01-00" occurring in columns 3,4 and 5, the area "0-03-00" shall be substituted.

[No. L. 14014/29/2001-G P.]  
SWAMI SINGH, Director

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1725.—केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोक हित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि गुजरात राज्य में विरमगाम से हरियाणा राज्य में पानीपत तक राजस्थान राज्य में चाकसू से होती हुई पेट्रोलियम (अपरिष्कृत) के परिवहन के लिए सलाया-मथुरा पाइपलाइन प्रणाली के विरमगाम-चाकसू, चाकसू-पानीपत व चाकसू-मथुरा सेक्शनों के संयर्जन के कार्यान्वयन हेतु इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और ऐसी पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए केन्द्रीय सरकार को यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उस भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि में हितबद्ध है, उस तारीख से जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती हैं, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री आर. एम. पंड्या, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, (पाइपलाइन प्रभाग), पो.बा.सं. 4, हाकधर विरमगाम, जिला-अहमदाबाद, गुजरात - 382150 को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

## अनुसूची

तालूका : अमीरगढ		जिला : बनासकांठा		राज्य : गुजरात	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे सं.	उप-खण्ड सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
राजपुरीया	7		0	10	65
इकबालगढ	21	1	2	33	88
	21	5	0	37	28
	21	6	0	38	31
जांजरवाव	8		0	43	42
	7		0	32	01
	12		0	20	59
	13		0	43	02
जूनी रो सरोत्री	96		0	12	47
	95		0	06	59
	98		0	02	71
	92		0	21	35
	91		0	07	84
	90		0	34	75
	83		0	16	03
	82		0	10	16
धोलीया	234		0	00	20
	235		0	10	34
	237		0	21	74
	239		0	55	95
	240		0	16	22
	242		0	12	47
आवल	282		0	28	16
	277		0	20	85
	276		0	15	15
बांटावाडा	16		0	19	33
लक्ष्मीपुरा	31		0	23	08
	30		0	24	44
	29		0	19	95
	28		0	00	20
	27		0	31	01
	26		0	14	61

[ फा. सं. आर. 25011/18/2001/ओ आर. I ]

एस. एस. कमन्साल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 2002

S. O. 1725.—Whereas, it appears to the Central Government that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of petroleum (crude) from Viramgam in the State of Gujarat to Panipat in the State of Haryana via Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited for implementing the "Augmentation of Viramgam - Chaksu, Chaksu Panipat and Chaksu - Mathura sections of Salaya - Mathura Pipeline System".

And, whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land described in the Schedule annexed to this notification;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein;

Any person, interested in the land described in the said Schedule, may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri R.M. Pandya, Competent Authority, Indian Oil Corporation Limited (Pipeline Division), P.B.No. 4, Viramgam, Distt. Ahmedabad, Gujarat – 382 150.

#### SCHEDULE

Taluka : AMIRGADH		District : BANASKANTHA		State : GUJARAT	
Name of the Village	Survey no	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq.mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6
RAJPURIYA	7		0	10	65
IQBALGADH	21	1	2	33	88
	21	5	0	37	28
	21	6	0	38	31
ZANZARVAV	8		0	43	42
	7		0	32	01
	12		0	20	59
JUNI ROH SAROTRI	13		0	43	02
	96		0	12	47
	95		0	06	59
	98		0	02	71
	92		0	21	35
	91		0	07	84
	90		0	34	75
	83		0	16	03
DHOLIA	82		0	10	16
	234		0	00	20
	235		0	10	34
	237		0	21	74
	239		0	55	95
	240		0	16	22
	242		0	12	47
	282		0	28	16
AWAL	277		0	20	85
	276	1	0	15	15
	16		0	19	33
BANTAWADA	31		0	23	08
LAXMIPURA	30		0	24	44
	29		0	19	95
	28		0	00	20
	27		0	31	01
	26		0	14	61

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1726.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ.1703 तारीख 16 जुलाई, 2001 द्वारा सलाया-मथुरा पाइपलाइन प्रणाली परियोजना के विरमगाम-चाक्सू, चाक्सू-पानीपत एवं चाक्सू-मथुरा सेक्शनों के संवर्धन के किर्यान्वयन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा अपरिष्कृत तेल का परिवहन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए गुजरात राज्य के विरमगाम से राजस्थान राज्य में चाक्सू से होते हुए हरियाणा राज्य के पानीपत तक पाइपलाइन बिछाने हेतु उस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी ;

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 27.07.2001 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी विल्लंगनों से मुक्त इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

## अनुसूची

तालूका : अमीरगढ		जिला : बनासकांठा	राज्य : गुजरात		
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे सं.	उप-खण्ड सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
राजपुरीया	4		0	11	33
	5	2पी1	0	10	24
	3	1	0	06	64
जैथी	98		0	19	06
	99		0	11	43
	100+101		0	47	26
	207		0	01	37
	203		0	24	11
	204		0	04	25
	199	पी 1	0	20	69
	198	2	0	14	37
	190		0	13	94
	189		0	08	71
	188		0	07	73
	95	1/1पी3	0	25	91
	95	8पी2	0	08	37
	95	8पी3	0	06	68
	95	8पी4	0	12	98
	95	9पी1	0	05	95
	95	9पी2	0	16	06
	95	12पी3	0	21	13
	95	12पी4	0	06	77
	95	1अ7पी	0	24	92
	95	2ब/5	0	45	76
	95	3/ब/1	0	19	20
	95	3/ब/2	0	23	87
	95	3ब3	0	10	56
	84+85		0	00	20

1	2	3	4	5	6
इकबालगढ़	12		0	18	08
	13		0	08	28
धोलीया	241		0	09	26
	243	1	0	00	30
	246		0	05	45
	247		0	04	90
	249		0	06	75
	250		0	02	07
	251		0	08	82
	252		0	06	10
	253		0	11	98
	265		0	02	93
	264		0	06	10
	263		0	06	12
	266		0	07	62
	272		0	14	05
	271	पी 1	0	17	32
	274	1/पी-1	0	06	10
	276	पी1	0	12	20
	277	पी1	0	08	49
	279		0	08	23
	280		0	02	18
कालीमाटी	56		0	05	63
	58		0	11	08
	59		0	12	20
	60		0	19	19
	61		0	00	98
	62		0	11	59
	83		0	03	87
	84		0	07	15
	88		0	02	87
	87		0	13	58
	85		0	09	69

1	2	3	4	5	6
धनपुरा	56	1	0	03	92
	57		0	06	37
	64		0	03	92
	63		0	05	04
	62		0	05	09
	60		0	13	18
	50	1	0	12	51
	50	2	0	07	85
	40	1	0	15	61
	40	2	0	16	52
	41		0	09	67
	39	1	0	12	12
	39	2	0	01	74
	38		0	11	22
	37	2	0	05	09
	37	1	0	04	52
	34		0	01	78
	35		0	08	89
	26		0	18	51
	20		0	24	62
	21	1	0	00	39
	19	2	0	04	90
	19	3	0	12	94
	18		0	00	20
जोरापुरा	29	1	0	08	93
	25		0	00	59
	22		0	06	70
	21		0	08	77
	14		0	06	20
	13		0	05	39
	11		0	19	17
	9	1	0	14	70



1	2	3	4	5	6
खुणीया	14		0	15	79
	15		0	10	29
	16		0	08	49
	17		0	05	88
	18		0	11	78
	20	1	0	06	70
	21		0	02	99
	28		0	07	08
	56		0	08	71
	57	1	0	05	32
	74		0	24	07
	76		0	04	41
	77		0	10	95
	80	पी1	0	08	50
	81		0	20	04
किडोतर	145		0	05	43
	124		0	00	67
	143		0	09	58
	125	1पी	0	08	28
	120	1पी	0	01	42
	119		0	12	46
	127	1पी	0	04	36
	117		0	15	74
	107		0	02	45
	102	1पी	0	10	02
	100		0	25	92
	99		0	11	29
अमीरगढ	28	2/1	0	07	84
	28	2/2	0	09	58
	14		0	12	30
	13		0	38	28
	26		1	00	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
डूंगरपुरा	7		0	63	92
	42		0	13	23
	43		0	13	29
	44		0	13	50
	45		0	12	03
	46		0	11	87
	47		0	11	54
	53		0	08	39
	54		0	09	20
	56		0	16	55
	68		0	05	66
	69		0	10	84
	72		0	03	27
आवल	265		0	32	78
	238	2	0	06	83
	238	3	0	04	90
	238	4	0	08	05
	239		0	22	08
	226		0	27	44

[फा. सं. आर. 25011/18/2001/ओ.आर. 1]

एम्. एम्. केमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 2002

S. O. 1726.—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1703, dated the 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2001 issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of Crude Oil from Viramgam in the State of Gujarat to Panipat in the State of Haryana via Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited for implementing the Augmentation of Viramgam - Chaksu, Chaksu - Panipat and Chaksu - Mathura sections of Salaya - Mathura Pipeline System Project ;

And whereas, copies of the said notification were made available to the public on 27.07.2001;

And whereas, the Competent Authority has under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act submitted his report to the Central Government;

And whereas, the Central Government, after considering the said report is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the lands specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest from the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances.

#### SCHEDULE

Taluka : AMIRGADH		District : BANASKANTHA		State : GUJARAT	
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq mtr
1	2	3	4	5	6
RAJPURIYA	4		0	11	33
	5	2P1	0	10	24
	3	1	0	06	64
JETHI	98		0	19	06
	99		0	11	43
	100+101		0	47	26
	207		0	01	37
	203		0	24	11
	204		0	04	25
	199	P1	0	20	69
	198	2	0	14	37
	190		0	13	94
	189		0	08	71
	188		0	07	73
	95	1/1P3	0	25	91
	95	8P2	0	08	37
	95	8P3	0	06	68
	95	8P4	0	12	98
	95	9P1	0	05	95
	95	9P2	0	16	06

1	2	3	4	5	6
	95	12P3	0	21	13
	95	12P4	0	05	77
	95	1A7P	0	24	92
	95	2B/5	0	45	76
	95	3/B/1	0	19	20
	95	3/B/2	0	23	87
	95	3B3	0	10	56
IQBALGADH	84+85		0	00	20
	12		0	18	08
	13		0	08	28
DHOLIA	241		0	09	26
	243	1	0	00	30
	246		0	05	45
	247		0	04	90
	249		0	06	75
	250		0	02	07
	251		0	08	82
	252		0	06	10
	253		0	11	98
	265		0	02	93
	264		0	06	10
	263		0	06	12
	266		0	07	62
	272		0	14	05
	271	P1	0	17	32
	274	1/P-1	0	06	10
	276	P1	0	12	20
	277	P1	0	08	49
	279		0	08	23
	280		0	02	18
KALIMATI	56		0	05	63
	58		0	11	08
	59		0	12	20
	60		0	19	19
	61		0	00	98
	62		0	11	59
	83		0	03	87

1	2	3	4	5	6
	84		0	07	15
	88		0	02	87
	87		0	13	58
	85		0	09	69
DHANPURA	56	1	0	03	92
	57		0	06	37
	64		0	03	92
	63		0	05	04
	62		0	05	09
	60		0	13	18
	50	1	0	12	51
	50	2	0	07	85
	40	1	0	15	61
	40	2	0	16	52
	41		0	09	67
	39	1	0	12	12
	39	2	0	01	74
	38		0	11	22
	37	2	0	05	09
	37	1	0	04	52
	34		0	01	78
	35		0	08	89
	26		0	18	51
	20		0	24	62
	21	1	0	00	39
	19	2	0	04	90
	19	3	0	12	94
	18		0	00	20
JORAPURA	29	1	0	08	93
	25		0	00	59
	22		0	06	70
	21		0	08	77
	14		0	06	20
	13		0	05	39
	11		0	19	17
	9	1	0	14	70

1	2	3	4	5	6
KHUNIYA	14		0	15	79
	15		0	10	29
	16		0	08	49
	17		0	05	88
	18		0	11	78
	20	1	0	06	70
	21		0	02	99
	28		0	07	08
	56		0	08	71
	57	1	0	05	32
	74		0	24	07
	76		0	04	41
	77		0	10	95
	80	P1	0	08	50
	81		0	20	04
KIDOTAR	145		0	05	43
	124		0	00	67
	143		0	09	58
	125	1P	0	08	28
	120	1P	0	01	42
	119		0	12	46
	127	1P	0	04	36
	117		0	15	74
	107		0	02	45
	102	1P	0	10	02
AMIRGADH	100		0	25	92
	99		0	11	29
	28	2/1	0	07	84
	28	2/2	0	09	58
	14		0	12	30
	13		0	38	28
	26		1	00	62

1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>DUNGARPURA</b>	7		0	63	92
	42		0	13	23
	43		0	13	29
	44		0	13	50
	45		0	12	03
	46		0	11	87
	47		0	11	54
	53		0	08	39
	54		0	09	20
	56		0	16	55
	68		0	05	66
	69		0	10	84
	72		0	03	27
<b>AWAL</b>	265		0	32	78
	238	2	0	06	83
	238	3	0	04	90
	238	4	0	08	05
	239		0	22	08
	226		0	27	44

[F No R-25011/18/2001OR-I]  
S S KEMWAL Under Secy

नई दिल्ली, 22 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1727.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) तारीख 21 जुलाई, 2001 में पृष्ठ 3467 से पृष्ठ 3478 तक पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1703 तारीख 16 जुलाई, 2001 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है —

उक्त अधिसूचना में, —

(क) पृष्ठ 3469 पर, गांव धोलीया शीर्षक में

- (i) सर्वेक्षण संख्या 243/2 के सामने " 0-14-03 " क्षेत्र के स्थान पर " 0-20-67 " क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ;
- (ii) सर्वेक्षण संख्या 244 के सामने " 0-07-41 " क्षेत्र के स्थान पर " 0-11-49 " क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ,
- (iii) सर्वेक्षण संख्या 282/1 के सामने " 0-00-20 " क्षेत्र के स्थान पर " 0-12-20 " क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ;

- (ख) पृष्ठ 3472 पर, गांव निचला शीर्षक में  
 सर्वेक्षण सं० 55 के सामने — " 0-22-27 " क्षेत्र के स्थान पर  
 " 0-31-72 " क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ;
- (ग) पृष्ठ 3472 पर, गांव आवल शीर्षक में  
 सर्वेक्षण सं० 274 के सामने — " 0-03-65 " के स्थान पर  
 " 0-07-11 " क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा ;

[फा. सं. आर. 25011/18/2001/ओ.आर. I]

एस. एस. केमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 22nd May, 2002

S. O. 1727.— In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby amends the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas S.O. number 1703 dated the 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2001 published in the Gazette of India, Part-II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) at pages 3467 to 3478 on 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2001,

In the said notification :-

- a) In the heading DHOLIA Village at Page 3475,
- (i) against Survey number 243/2, for the area "0-14-03", the area "0-20-67" shall be substituted.
- (ii) against Survey number 244, for the area "0-07-41", the area "0-11-49" shall be substituted.
- (iii) against Survey number 282/1, for the area "0-00-20" the area "0-12-20" shall be substituted.
- b) In the heading NICHLO BANDH Village at Page 3478,
- against Survey number 55, for the area "0-22-27", the area "0-31-72" shall be substituted.
- c) In the heading AWAL Village at Page 3478,
- against Survey number 274, for the area "0-03-65", the area "0-07-11" shall be substituted.

[F. No R-25011/18/2001 OR-I.]

S. S. KEMWAL, Under Secy.



नई दिल्ली, 23 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1728.— केंद्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962, (1962 का 50) की धारा 2 के खंड (क) के अनुसरण में, तारीख 20 अक्टूबर, 2001 को भारत के राजपत्र में प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का० आ० 2840 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है; अर्थात् :-

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में, स्तम्भ 1 में, “श्री एम. एन. मान, जिला राजस्व अधिकारी एवं भूमि अर्जन कलक्टर, जिला फरीदाबाद” शब्दों के स्थान पर “श्री रतन पाल सिंह, जिला राजस्व अधिकारी-सह-भूमि अर्जन अधिकारी, जिला फरीदाबाद” शब्द रखे जाएंगे।

[फा. सं. आर 25011/22/2001/ओ आर. I]

एम. एस. केमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 23rd May, 2002

S. O. 1728.— In pursuance of clause (a) of section 2 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 2840 published in the Gazette of India on the 20<sup>th</sup> October, 2001, namely :-

In the said notification, in the Schedule, in column 1, for the words, "Shri M.S. Mann, District Revenue Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Collector District Faridabad", the words "Shri Rattan Pal Singh, District Revenue Officer-cum-Land Acquisition Officer Faridabad" shall be substituted.

[F No R-25011/22/2001 OR-I]  
S. S. KEMWAL, Under Secy

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 2002

क का.आ. 1729.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत के राजपत्र भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) 21 जुलाई, 2001 को पृष्ठ 3491 से पृष्ठ 3506 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं० का० आ० 1705 तारीख 16 जुलाई, 2001 में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :-

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में

पृष्ठ 3495 पर स्तंभ 1 में आने वाले गांव पालनपुर के सामने, स्तंभ 2 में आने वाले सर्वेक्षण सं० "1021" में "0-09-15" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-12-70" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा।

[का. सं. आर 25011/20/2001/ओ.आर. 1]

एम. एम. कंमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th May, 2002

S. O. 1729.— In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendment in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O.1705, dated the 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2001 published at pages 3491 to 3506 in Part II, Section 3, Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 21<sup>st</sup> July, 2001, namely:-

In the Schedule to the said notification, occurring at page 3503, against village "Palanpur" occurring in column (1) in Survey No. "1021" occurring in column 2, in Sub-Division No. "2" occurring in column 3, for the area "0-09-15" occurring in columns 3, 4 and 5, the area "0-12-70" shall respectively be substituted.

[F. No R-25011/20/2001 OR-L.]

S. S. KEMWAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1730.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का.आ.1705 तारीख 16 जुलाई, 2001 द्वारा सलाया-मथुरा पाइपलाइन प्रणाली परियोजना के विरमगाम-चाक्सू, चाक्सू-पानीपत एवं चाक्सू-मथुरा सेक्शनों के संवर्धन के किर्यान्वयन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा अपरिष्कृत तेल का परिवहन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए गुजरात राज्य के विरमगाम से राजस्थान राज्य के चाक्सू से होते हुए हरियाणा राज्य में पानीपत तक पाइपलाइन बिछाने हेतु उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी ;

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 27.07.2001 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उपधारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् यह समाधान हो जाने पर कि पाइपलाइन बिछाई जाने के लिए उक्त भूमि अपेक्षित है, उस में उपयोक्ता का अधिकार अर्जित करने का विनिश्चय किया है;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाता है;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि पाइपलाइन बिछाने के लिए उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार, इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से, केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी विल्लंगमों से मुक्त इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा।

## अनुसूची

तालूका : पालनपुर		जिला : बनासकांठ		राज्य : गुजरात	
गाँव का नाम	सर्वे सं.	उप-खण्ड सं.	क्षेत्रफल		
			हेक्टर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6
काणोदर	302	3	0	03	34
	301		0	25	17
	300		0	01	31
	305		0	18	59
	299		0	00	20
	297		0	00	54
	306	1	0	11	80
	306	6	0	08	07
	306	7	0	05	43
	349	2	0	05	11
	349	3	0	02	74
	349	4	0	02	96
	348	1	0	03	26
	348	2	0	03	19
	348	3	0	03	57
	347	2	0	00	20
	347	3	0	01	80
	347	5	0	04	53
	311	1	0	02	19
	311	3	0	00	40
	312	1	0	07	27
	312	2	0	05	54
	313	1	0	01	30
	313	2	0	00	45
	314	1	0	07	28
	314	2	0	12	33
	286		0	00	20
	285	1	0	07	10
	284	1	0	11	49

1	2	3	4	5	6
	284	2	0	14	07
	284	3	0	00	86
	278	1	0	00	20
	282		0	02	54
	281		0	07	71
	180	3	0	03	31
	183	2	0	00	10
	183	3	0	09	70
	184		0	00	71
	185	1	0	15	18
	185	3	0	18	32
	189	1	0	01	72
	188	1	0	06	75
	188	2	0	13	54
	192		0	11	05
	193		0	15	24
	194	1	0	09	44
	154		0	20	14
	153		0	25	85
	150		0	03	32
	152		0	00	78
	125	1	0	25	85
	126		0	01	18
	121	1	0	04	89
	121	2	0	10	43
	119	2	0	06	40
	127	1	0	02	95
	127	2	0	01	17
	128		0	23	74
	118		0	08	40
	117	1	0	00	25
	116	1	0	17	82
	116	2	0	24	59
	58	1	0	01	07

1	2	3	4	5	6
जगाणा	165		0	00	20
	169		0	40	81
	174		0	29	58
	175	पी1	0	38	39
	175	पी3	0	01	88
	176	पी1	0	04	63
	178+179+180+182	3	0	48	65
	178+179+180+182	4	0	02	31
	178+179+180+182	5	0	02	61
	181	1	0	15	41
	187		0	27	17
	188	2पी1	0	04	40
	188	2पी2	0	06	05
	188	1	0	00	20
	189		0	14	43
	192	1	0	00	32
	192	5	0	01	56
	190	1पी	0	17	07
	214	4	0	07	24
	214	3	0	04	25
	214	1पी	0	05	28
	215		0	05	23
	213	4पी2	0	07	13
	213	7	0	01	72
	220	पी	0	07	49
	221	9	0	09	62
	221	6	0	01	42
	221	7	0	03	95
	221	4	0	04	85
	222	1	0	00	20
	228		0	10	02
	237		0	10	45
	238	4	0	06	97
	240		0	17	75

1	2	3	4	5	6
	241	पी1	0	04	14
	265	2	0	08	43
	265	3	0	04	52
	264		0	26	19
	269	पी	0	13	94
	268	पी1	0	09	10
	270		0	05	93
	285+286	1	0	31	80
	284	3पी2	0	13	05
	311		0	12	47
	312	पी	0	07	55
	313		0	14	16
	314	2	0	08	95
इसबीपुरा	14		0	23	72
	16	1	0	21	23
	16	2	0	07	74
	16	4	0	13	37
	17	1पी	0	17	10
पालनपुर	1026	2	0	21	56
	1021	1	0	00	80
	1022		0	12	20
	905		0	21	00
	898		0	01	65
	900		0	07	08
	904		0	05	99
	902		0	17	86
	819	अ	0	00	15
	819	ब	0	11	18
	817+818	2	0	14	37
	817+818	13	0	09	13
	817+818	12	0	01	55
	816+830+831	2	0	17	21
	806	ब	0	00	20
	807+814+815		0	24	06

1	2	3	4	5	6
	838+839+841+842	2	0	19	80
	843		0	16	74
	844	पी	0	13	94
	753	1	0	06	20
	753	2पी	0	05	56
	754		0	04	57
	746+747	2	0	22	00
	655		0	10	34
	661	3	0	03	76
	662	2पी	0	10	50
	666		0	15	68
	668	2अ	0	11	88
	668	2ब	0	07	18
	676	2	0	10	56
	673+674	1	0	11	36
	673+674	3/1	0	12	63
	673+674	3/2	0	03	76
	493	1	0	18	75
	492	1	0	19	40
	492	2	0	07	13
	488	2क	0	00	20
	491	अ	0	45	00
	491	ब	0	15	03
	489		0	11	98
	490		0	04	19
	483+484	3	0	13	37
	483+484	4	0	27	97
	411	4	0	05	00
	411	6	0	02	30
	410		0	11	54
	363	1	0	01	96
	362	1	0	02	61
	362	1/1	0	05	53
	362	2	0	08	20



1	2	3	4	5	6
	335		0	10	02
	336+337	1पी	0	06	72
	336+337	2	0	07	40
	336+337	3	0	05	22
	285+287	1/1	0	06	64
	285+287	2	0	05	51
	285+287	3	0	01	35
	284	2	0	00	20
	286	1	0	19	07
	286	2	0	02	61
	283	1	0	03	05
	289	1	0	05	45
	291		0	27	45
	290	2	0	12	12
	292	1	0	30	47
	293		0	04	01
	302		0	22	61
	304		0	20	72
	305	1	0	16	45
	307	अ	0	18	40
	306	1	0	04	36
	310	2अ	0	05	45
	310	4	0	18	73
सोनगढ	12	3	0	05	18
	10+11	1	0	11	97
	10+11	2	0	05	13
	16	3	0	00	20
	16	5	0	07	95
	16	6	0	11	53
	17	1	0	15	99
	17	2	0	00	78
	8+9	1अ	0	04	98
	18	2	0	09	21
	19	7	0	08	50

1	2	3	4	5	6
	19	8	0	10	40
	21+22	10	0	07	65
	30+31	2	0	08	24
	30+31	3	0	04	83
	30+31	4	0	05	82
	30+31	5	0	07	81
	30+31	8	0	07	12
	34	1	0	09	97
	28+29	4	0	04	49
	28+29	5	0	10	32
	36	1	0	01	09
	37		0	11	11
	38+45+49	पी1	0	06	73
	39+40+41	1	0	00	30
	39+40+41	2	0	16	61
वरवाढीया(सेगंपरीया)	20+21	1	0	00	96
	20+21	2	0	18	64
	17		0	05	06
	22+23	2पी1	0	12	27
	22+23	2पी2	0	24	80
	22+23	4पी1	0	07	20
	22+23	4पी2	0	05	45
	22+23	5	0	01	00
	124+125	2पी2	0	35	73
	116+122	पी1	0	00	74
खेमाणा	123		0	19	96
	126+127+128+129	2पी2	0	26	19
	126+127+128+129	3	0	28	87
	10		0	19	96
	11	1	0	07	20
	11	2	0	08	83
	11	3	0	06	76
	11	4	0	00	20
	12		0	17	17

1	2	3	4	5	6
	13		0	03	03
	22		0	05	39
	14		0	02	80
	21	1	0	00	48
	15		0	05	17
	19	1	0	07	16
	19	2	0	00	50
	18		0	08	29
	17	1	0	00	45
	17	2	0	02	40
	50+51		0	30	47
	52+53	पी1	0	11	32
	52+53	पी2	0	11	11
मलाणा	154	2	0	00	20
	151+152+153	1अ	0	25	50
	150		0	21	56
	149		0	05	66
	146+148	1 पी	0	31	15
हेबतपुर	16	1	0	16	60
	15	1	0	10	04
	15	2	0	04	12
	14	पी3	0	15	32
	14	पी4	0	08	17
	3		0	10	51
	9		0	07	73
	8		0	07	61
	4	2	0	02	43
	4	1	0	04	00
	7	2	0	06	29
	7	1	0	05	77
चित्रासणी	96	1	0	07	87
	96	2	0	15	21
	96	3	0	07	76
	96	4	0	01	64
	101		0	07	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
	104		0	05	50
	105		0	09	95
	106		0	07	65
	108		0	02	25
	140	1/1 पी	0	09	88
	140	2/1	0	15	71
	140	2/2	0	00	85
	146	7	0	01	93
	139		0	12	41
	148	1	0	00	93
	148	2	0	10	16
	150		0	07	85
	151		0	30	30
चांडगाढा कोटाडा	67	2	0	05	55
	67	6	0	02	45
	67	5	0	01	24
	63	3	0	03	05
	62		0	30	60
	54	1	0	16	58
	53	पी 1	0	01	30
	53	पी 2	0	06	11
	53	पी 4	0	12	30
	53	पी 5	0	06	89
	47	1/1 पी 1	0	07	00
	47	1/2	0	20	90

[फा. सं. आर. 25011/20/2001/ओ.आर. 1]

एम्. एम्. फेमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th May, 2002

**S. O. 1730.**—Whereas by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 1705, dated the 16<sup>th</sup> July, 2001 issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of user in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of crude oil from Viramgam in the State of Gujarat to Panipat in the State of Haryana via Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited for implementing the Augmentation of Viramgam - Chaksu, Chaksu - Panipat and Chaksu - Mathura sections of Salaya - Mathura Pipeline System Project :

And whereas, copies of the said notification were made available to the public on the 27<sup>th</sup> July, 2001;

And whereas the competent authority has under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act submitted report to the Central Government,

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report and on being satisfied that the said land is required for laying the pipeline, has decided to acquire the right of user therein.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired for laying the pipeline;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land for laying the pipeline shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest, on the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances

## SCHEDULE

Taluka : PALANPUR		District : BANASKANTHA		State : GUJARAT	
Name of the Village	Survey no.	Sub-Division no.	Area		
			Hectare	Are	Sq mtr
1	2	3	4	5	6
KANODAR	302	3	0	03	34
	301		0	25	17
	300		0	01	31
	305		0	18	59
	299		0	00	20
	297		0	00	54
	306	1	0	11	80
	306	6	0	08	07
	306	7	0	05	43
	349	2	0	05	11
	349	3	0	02	74
	349	4	0	02	96
	348	1	0	03	26
	348	2	0	03	19
	348	3	0	03	57
	347	2	0	00	20
	347	3	0	01	80
	347	5	0	04	53
	311	1	0	02	19
	311	3	0	00	40
	312	1	0	07	27
	312	2	0	05	54
	313	1	0	01	30
	313	2	0	00	45
	314	1	0	07	28
	314	2	0	12	33
	286		0	00	20
	285	1	0	07	10
	284	1	0	11	49

1	2	3	4	5	6
	284	2	0	14	07
	284	3	0	00	86
	278	1	0	00	20
	282		0	02	54
	281		0	07	71
	180	3	0	03	31
	183	2	0	00	10
	183	3	0	09	70
	184		0	00	71
	185	1	0	15	18
	185	3	0	18	32
	189	1	0	01	72
	188	1	0	06	75
	188	2	0	13	54
	192		0	11	05
	193		0	15	24
	194	1	0	09	44
	154		0	20	14
	153		0	25	85
	150		0	03	32
	152		0	00	78
	125	1	0	25	85
	126		0	01	18
	121	1	0	04	89
	121	2	0	10	43
	119	2	0	06	40
	127	1	0	02	95
	127	2	0	01	17
	128		0	23	74
	118		0	08	40
	117	1	0	00	25
	116	1	0	17	82
	116	2	0	24	59
	58	1	0	01	07

1	2	3	4	5	6
<b>JAGANA</b>	165		0	00	20
	169		0	40	81
	174		0	29	58
	175	P1	0	38	39
	175	P3	0	01	88
	176	P1	0	04	63
	178+179+180+182	3	0	48	65
	178+179+180+182	4	0	02	31
	178+179+180+182	5	0	02	61
	181	1	0	15	41
	187		0	27	17
	188	2P1	0	04	40
	188	2P2	0	06	05
	188	1	0	00	20
	189		0	14	43
	192	1	0	00	32
	192	5	0	01	56
	190	1P	0	17	07
	214	4	0	07	24
	214	3	0	04	25
	214	1P	0	05	28
	215		0	05	23
	213	4P2	0	07	13
	213	7	0	01	72
	220	P	0	07	49
	221	9	0	09	62
	221	6	0	01	42
	221	7	0	03	95
	221	4	0	04	85
	222	1	0	00	20
	228		0	10	02
	237		0	10	45
	238	4	0	06	97
	240		0	17	75



1	2	3	4	5	6
	241	P1	0	04	14
	265	2	0	08	43
	265	3	0	04	52
	264		0	26	19
	269	P	0	13	94
	268	P1	0	09	10
	270		0	05	93
	285+286	1	0	31	80
	284	3P2	0	13	05
	311		0	12	47
	312	P	0	07	55
	313		0	14	16
	314	2	0	08	95
ESBIPURA	14		0	23	72
	16	1	0	21	23
	16	2	0	07	74
	16	4	0	13	37
	17	1P	0	17	10
PALANPUR	1026	2	0	21	56
	1021	1	0	00	80
	1022		0	12	20
	905		0	21	00
	898		0	01	65
	900		0	07	08
	904		0	05	99
	902		0	17	86
	819	A	0	00	15
	819	B	0	11	18
	817+818	2	0	14	37
	817+818	13	0	09	13
	817+818	12	0	01	55
	816+830+831	2	0	17	21
	806	B	0	00	20
	807+814+815		0	24	06

1	2	3	4	5	6
	838+839+841+842	2	0	19	80
	843		0	16	74
	844	P	0	13	94
	753	1	0	06	20
	753	2P	0	05	56
	754		0	04	57
	746+747	2	0	22	00
	655		0	10	34
	661	3	0	03	76
	662	2P	0	10	50
	666		0	15	68
	668	2A	0	11	88
	668	2B	0	07	18
	676	2	0	10	56
	673+674	1	0	11	36
	673+674	3/1	0	12	63
	673+674	3/2	0	03	76
	493	1	0	18	75
	492	1	0	19	40
	492	2	0	07	13
	488	2C	0	00	20
	491	A	0	45	00
	491	B	0	15	03
	489		0	11	98
	490		0	04	19
	483+484	3	0	13	37
	483+484	4	0	27	97
	411	4	0	05	00
	411	6	0	02	30
	410		0	11	54
	363	1	0	01	96
	362	1	0	02	61
	362	1/1	0	05	53
	362	2	0	08	20

1	2	3	4	5	6
	335		0	10	02
	336+337	1P	0	06	72
	336+337	2	0	07	40
	336+337	3	0	05	22
	285+287	1/1	0	06	64
	285+287	2	0	05	51
	285+287	3	0	01	35
	284	2	0	00	20
	286	1	0	19	07
	286	2	0	02	61
	283	1	0	03	05
	289	1	0	05	45
	291		0	27	45
	290	2	0	12	12
	292	1	0	30	47
	293		0	04	01
	302		0	22	61
	304		0	20	72
	305	1	0	16	45
	307	A	0	18	40
	306	1	0	04	36
	310	2A	0	05	45
	310	4	0	18	73
SONGADH	12	3	0	05	18
	10+11	1	0	11	97
	10+11	2	0	05	13
	16	3	0	00	20
	16	5	0	07	95
	16	6	0	11	53
	17	1	0	15	99
	17	2	0	00	78
	8+9	1A	0	04	98
	18	2	0	09	21
	19	7	0	08	50

1	2	3	4	5	6
	19	8	0	10	40
	21+22	10	0	07	65
	30+31	2	0	08	24
	30+31	3	0	04	83
	30+31	4	0	05	82
	30+31	5	0	07	81
	30+31	8	0	07	12
	34	1	0	09	97
	28+29	4	0	04	49
	28+29	5	0	10	32
	36	1	0	01	09
	37		0	11	11
	38+45+49	P1	0	06	73
	39+40+41	1	0	00	30
	39+40+41	2	0	16	61
VARVADIYA(SENGPARIA)	20+21	1	0	00	96
	20+21	2	0	18	64
	17		0	05	06
	22+23	2P1	0	12	27
	22+23	2P2	0	24	80
	22+23	4P1	0	07	20
	22+23	4P2	0	05	45
	22+23	5	0	01	00
	124+125	2P2	0	35	73
	116+122	P1	0	00	74
KHEMANA	123		0	19	96
	126+127+128+129	2P2	0	26	19
	126+127+128+129	3	0	28	87
	10		0	19	96
	11	1	0	07	20
	11	2	0	08	83
	11	3	0	06	76
	11	4	0	00	20
	12		0	17	17

1	2	3	4	5	6
	13		0	03	03
	22		0	05	39
	14		0	02	80
	21	1	0	00	48
	15		0	05	17
	19	1	0	07	16
	19	2	0	00	50
	18		0	08	29
	17	1	0	00	45
	17	2	0	02	40
	50+51		0	30	47
	52+53	P1	0	11	32
	52+53	P2	0	11	11
MALANA	154	2	0	00	20
	151+152+153	1A	0	25	50
	150		0	21	56
	149		0	05	66
	146+148	1P	0	31	15
HEBATPUR	16	1	0	16	60
	15	1	0	10	04
	15	2	0	04	12
	14	P3	0	15	32
	14	P4	0	08	17
	3		0	10	51
	9		0	07	73
	8		0	07	61
	4	2	0	02	43
	4	1	0	04	00
	7	2	0	06	29
	7	1	0	05	77
CHITRASANI	96	1	0	07	87
	96	2	0	15	21
	96	3	0	07	76
	96	4	0	01	64
	101		0	07	43

1	2	3	4	5	6
	104		0	05	50
	105		0	09	95
	106		0	07	65
	108		0	02	25
	140	1/1P	0	09	88
	140	2/1	0	15	71
	140	2/2	0	00	85
	146	7	0	01	93
	139		0	12	41
	148	1	0	00	93
	148	2	0	10	16
	150		0	07	85
	151		0	30	30
CHANDGADH KOTADA	67	2	0	05	55
	67	6	0	02	45
	67	5	0	01	24
	63	3	0	03	05
	62		0	30	60
	54	1	0	16	58
	53	P1	0	01	30
	53	P2	0	06	11
	53	P4	0	12	30
	53	P5	0	06	89
	47	1/1P1	0	07	00
	47	1/2	0	20	90

[F. No R-25011/20/2001 OR-I.]

S. S. KEMWAL, Under Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1731.— केन्द्रीय सरकार ने पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन्स (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) (जिसे इसमें इसके पश्चात् उक्त अधिनियम कहा गया है) की धारा 3 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन जारी भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. का. आ. 3044 तारीख 8 नवंबर, 2001 द्वारा सलाया-मथुरा पाइपलाइन प्रणाली परियोजना हेतु के विरमगाम-चाकसू, चाकसू-पानीपत एवं चाकसू-मथुरा सेक्शनों के संवर्द्धन के किर्यान्वयन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा अपरिष्कृत तेल का परिवहन करने के प्रयोजन के लिए गुजरात राज्य में विरमगाम से राजस्थान राज्य के चाकसू होते हुए हरियाणा राज्य के पानीपत तक पाइपलाइन बिछाने हेतु उक्त अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार के अर्जन के अपने आशय की घोषणा की थी ;

और उक्त अधिसूचना की प्रतियाँ जनता को तारीख 21 नवंबर, 2001 को उपलब्ध करा दी गई थी ;

और सक्षम प्राधिकारी ने उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) के अधीन केन्द्रीय सरकार को अपनी रिपोर्ट दे दी है ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार का उक्त रिपोर्ट पर विचार करने के पश्चात् यह समाधान हो गया है कि इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाना चाहिए ;

अतः, अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करती है कि इस अधिसूचना से उपाबद्ध अनुसूची में विनिर्दिष्ट भूमि में पाइपलाइन बिछाने के उपयोग का अधिकार अर्जन किया जाता है ;

और केन्द्रीय सरकार, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 6 की उप-धारा (4) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए यह निदेश देती है कि उक्त भूमि में उपयोग का अधिकार इस घोषणा के प्रकाशन की तारीख से केन्द्रीय सरकार में निहित होने की बजाय सभी विल्लंगों से मुक्त इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड में निहित होगा ।

## अनुसूची

तहसील : मसूदा		जिला : अजमेर		राज्य : राजस्थान	
गाँव का नाम	खसरा सं.	क्षेत्रफल			वर्ग मीटर
		हेक्टेयर	एयर		
1	2	3	4		5
काना खेड़ा	2179	0	13		84
	2178/1	0	12		93
	2178/2	0	13		11
	2163/3	0	04		72
	2202	0	12		87
	2163/7	0	20		68
	2106	0	01		79
	2103	0	02		29
	2105	0	02		31
	2104	0	04		50
	2099	0	00		90
	2111	0	01		51
	2098	0	06		69
	2112	0	03		71
	2097	0	02		67
	2096	0	02		41
	2113/2	0	00		34
	2074	0	00		20
	2073	0	08		82
	2081	0	02		88
	2082	0	01		47
	2083	0	07		41
	2065	0	09		91
	1945/2	0	10		62
	1945/1	0	05		08
	1946	0	10		55
	919	0	08		57
	1941	0	03		80
	1940	0	06		18
	1934/3	0	09		52



1	2	3	4	5
कैसरपुरा	697	0	00	20
	698/1	0	13	11
	696	0	01	81
	699	0	01	69
	706/1	0	03	17
	708	0	07	66
	709	0	04	68
	710	0	05	99
	711	0	01	49
	712	0	17	00
	790	0	03	09
	638	0	00	24
	634/2	0	00	40
	630	0	22	58
	487	0	02	73
	494	0	06	48
	493/1	0	14	93
	493/2	0	01	16
	413	0	05	11
	416	0	03	45
	412	0	09	29
	401	0	06	42
	397	0	02	34
	396	0	04	71
	395	0	01	33
	402	0	00	20
	394	0	14	16
	393	0	04	83
	392	0	04	18
	347	0	06	28
	345	0	04	99
	330	0	02	96
	323	0	06	28
	326	0	00	35
	325/1	0	02	10
	325/2	0	03	63
	309/1	0	00	43

1	2	3	4	5
	318/1	0	08	77
	316/1	0	07	39
	316/2	0	00	33
	315/1	0	05	48
	315/2	0	01	23
	312	0	00	24
	311	0	00	20
	310/1	0	02	88
	1091/1	0	05	11
	1092	0	03	15
	1093	0	01	74
	1094/1	0	06	15
	1095	0	01	70
	1097	0	01	37
	1099	0	03	86
संख्या	6848/1	0	11	91
	6852	0	02	96
	6850/1	0	06	76
	6834	0	09	40
	6836	0	09	32
	6835	0	01	09
	6837	0	08	72
	6826	0	02	13
	6825	0	01	20
	6824	0	04	55
	6752/2	0	00	20
	6752/1	0	11	87
	6765	0	07	40
	6763	0	04	19
	6762	0	08	17
	6756	0	01	91
	6761	0	02	95
	6758	0	00	20
	6759	0	04	81
	6286	0	10	75
	6285	0	04	68
	6290	0	00	40

1	2	3	4	5
	6275	0	14	25
	6274	0	05	39
	6266	0	08	88
	6267	0	03	18
	5946	0	00	20
	5947	0	07	72
	5943	0	04	30
	5948	0	00	20
	5949	0	04	56
	5934	0	05	08
	5935	0	00	62
	5926	0	04	41
	5925	0	02	06
	5924	0	02	19
	5923	0	10	55
	5920	0	01	58
	5919	0	05	15
	5992	0	09	43
	5874/1	0	01	71
	5873/2	0	06	75
	5873/1 मिन्न	0	04	99
	5872/1	0	09	22
	5871/1	0	13	72
	5867	0	00	48
	5866	0	17	64
	5865	0	00	20
	3982	0	24	26
	3983	0	00	76
	4040	0	00	20
	4039	0	03	59
	3997	0	05	47
	4015/1	0	08	64
	4016	0	05	79
	4017/1	0	04	63
	4018/1	0	06	77
	4020	0	02	27
	4019	0	01	55

1	2	3	4	5
	4022	0	00	48
	4023	0	01	57
	5299	0	00	20
	5298	0	09	98
	5263/1	0	05	97
	5264	0	04	30
	5262	0	05	17
	5268	0	00	20
	5269	0	01	58
	5257	0	09	16
	5255/1	0	06	77
	5254	0	06	24
	5231	0	00	89
	5230	0	07	48
	5228	0	08	20
	5227	0	09	18
	5226	0	08	41
	5225	0	00	67
	5215	0	03	11
	5213	0	01	31
	5214	0	04	67
	5207	0	17	75
	5211	0	00	20
	5206	0	02	74
	5200	0	16	48
	5201	0	09	95
	5196	0	08	62
	5195	0	09	00
	5194	0	05	08
नासून	5/5	1	12	23
	6/2	0	10	42

[ फा सं. आर. 25011/38/2001/ओ.आर. 1 ]

एम. एम. केमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th May, 2002

S. O. 1731.—Whereas by notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S O 3044, dated the 8<sup>th</sup> November, 2001 issued under sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the Central Government declared its intention to acquire the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to that notification for the purpose of laying pipeline for the transportation of crude oil from Viramgam in the State of Gujarat to Panipat in the State of Haryana via Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited for implementing the Augmentation of Viramgam - Chaksu, Chaksu - Panipat & Chaksu - Mathura sections of Salaya - Mathura Pipeline System Project ;

And whereas copies of the said Gazette notification were made available to the public on the 21<sup>st</sup> November, 2001.

And whereas, the competent authority has, under sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, submitted report to the Central Government;

And whereas the Central Government, after considering the said report, is satisfied that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification should be acquired;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 6 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby declares that the right of user in the land specified in the Schedule appended to this notification is hereby acquired;

And further, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of section 6 the said Act, the Central Government hereby directs that the right of user in the said land shall, instead of vesting in the Central Government, vest on the date of publication of this declaration, in the Indian Oil Corporation Limited, free from all encumbrances.

## SCHEDULE

Tehsil : MASUDA		District : AJMER		State : RAJASTHAN	
Name of the Village	Khasara No.	Area			
		Hectare	Are	Sq. mtr.	
1	2	3	4	5	
KANA KHERA	2179	0	13	84	
	2178/1	0	12	93	
	2178/2	0	13	11	
	2163/3	0	04	72	
	2202	0	12	87	
	2163/7	0	20	68	
	2106	0	01	79	
	2103	0	02	29	
	2105	0	02	31	
	2104	0	04	50	
	2099	0	00	90	
	2111	0	01	51	
	2098	0	06	69	
	2112	0	03	71	
	2097	0	02	67	
	2096	0	02	41	
	2113/2	0	00	34	
	2074	0	00	20	
	2073	0	08	82	
	2081	0	02	88	
	2082	0	01	47	
	2083	0	07	41	
	2065	0	09	91	
	1945/2	0	10	62	
	1945/1	0	05	08	
	1946	0	10	55	
	919	0	08	57	
	1941	0	03	80	
	1940	0	06	18	
	1934/3	0	09	52	

1	2	3	4	5
<b>KESHARPURA</b>	697	0	00	20
	698/1	0	13	11
	696	0	01	81
	699	0	01	69
	706/1	0	03	17
	708	0	07	66
	709	0	04	68
	710	0	05	99
	711	0	01	49
	712	0	17	00
	790	0	03	09
	638	0	00	24
	634/2	0	00	40
	630	0	22	58
	487	0	02	73
	494	0	06	48
	493/1	0	14	93
	493/2	0	01	16
	413	0	05	11
	416	0	03	45
	412	0	09	29
	401	0	06	42
	397	0	02	34
	396	0	04	71
	395	0	01	33
	402	0	00	20
	394	0	14	16
	393	0	04	83
	392	0	04	18
	347	0	06	28
	345	0	04	99
	330	0	02	96
	328	0	06	28
	326	0	00	35
	325/1	0	02	10
	325/2	0	03	63
	309/1	0	00	43

1	2	3	4	5
	318/1	0	08	77
	316/1	0	07	39
	316/2	0	00	33
	315/1	0	05	48
	315/2	0	01	23
	312	0	00	24
	311	0	00	20
	313/1	0	02	88
	1091/1	0	05	11
	1092	0	03	15
	1093	0	01	74
	1094/1	0	06	15
	1095	0	01	70
	1097	0	01	37
	1099	0	03	86
KHARWA	6848/1	0	11	91
	6852	0	02	96
	6850/1	0	06	76
	6834	0	09	40
	6836	0	09	32
	6835	0	01	09
	6837	0	08	72
	6826	0	02	13
	6825	0	01	20
	6824	0	04	55
	6752/2	0	00	20
	6752/1	0	11	87
	6765	0	07	40
	6763	0	04	19
	6762	0	08	17
	6756	0	01	91
	6761	0	02	95
	6758	0	00	20
	6759	0	04	81
	6286	0	10	75
	6285	0	04	68
	6290	0	00	40



1	2	3	4	5
	6275	0	14	25
	6274	0	05	39
	6266	0	08	88
	6267	0	03	18
	5946	0	00	20
	5947	0	07	72
	5943	0	04	30
	5948	0	00	20
	5949	0	04	56
	5934	0	05	08
	5935	0	00	62
	5926	0	04	41
	5925	0	02	06
	5924	0	02	19
	5923	0	10	55
	5920	0	01	58
	5919	0	05	15
	5992	0	09	43
	5874/1	0	01	71
	5873/2	0	06	75
	5873/1 Min	0	04	99
	5872/1	0	09	22
	5871/1	0	13	72
	5867	0	00	48
	5866	0	17	64
	5865	0	00	20
	3982	0	24	26
	3983	0	00	76
	4040	0	00	20
	4039	0	03	59
	3997	0	06	47
	4015/1	0	08	64
	4016	0	05	79
	4017/1	0	04	63
	4018/1	0	06	77
	4020	0	02	27
	4019	0	01	55

1	2	3	4	5
	4022	0	00	48
	4023	0	01	57
	5299	0	00	20
	5298	0	09	98
	5263/1	0	05	97
	5264	0	04	30
	5262	0	05	17
	5268	0	00	20
	5269	0	01	58
	5257	0	09	16
	5255/1	0	06	77
	5254	0	06	24
	5231	0	00	89
	5230	0	07	48
	5228	0	08	20
	5227	0	09	18
	5226	0	08	41
	5225	0	00	67
	5215	0	03	11
	5213	0	01	31
	5214	0	04	67
	5207	0	17	75
	5211	0	00	20
	5206	0	02	74
	5200	0	16	48
	5201	0	09	95
	5196	0	08	62
	5195	0	09	00
	5194	0	05	08
<b>NASOON</b>	5/5	1	12	23
	6/2	0	10	42

[F No. R-25011/38/2001 OR-I.]  
S S KEMWAL. Under Secy

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 2002

शुद्धिपत्र

का. आ. 1732.— भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना संख्या का. आ. 3044 दिनांक 08.11.2001 जो भारत के राजपत्र, भाग 2, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) दिनांक 10.11.2001 के पृष्ठ सं. 6414 पर हिन्दी में प्रकाशित है, की पंक्ति सं. 21 में खसरा सं. 5214 का क्षेत्रफल निम्नानुसार पढ़ा जावे।

तहसील : मसूदा

जिला : अजमेर

राज्य : राजस्थान

के स्थानपर				पढ़ा जाये			
क्षेत्रफल				क्षेत्रफल			
खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर	खसरा सं.	हेक्टेयर	एयर	वर्ग मीटर
5214	0	04	69	5214	0	04	67

[ फा सं आर. 25011/38/2001/ओ.आर. I ]

एस. एस. केमवाल, अवर मंचिव

नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 2002

ब का. आ. 1733.— केन्द्रीय सरकार को लोक हित में यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि गुजरात राज्य में विरमगाम से हरियाणा राज्य में पानीपत तक, राजस्थान राज्य में चाकसू से होती हुई अपरिष्कृत तेल के परिवहन के लिए इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड द्वारा एक पाइपलाइन बिछाई जानी चाहिए ;

और, केन्द्रीय सरकार को उक्त पाइपलाइन बिछाने के प्रयोजन के लिए यह आवश्यक प्रतीत होता है कि उस भूमि में जो इस अधिसूचना से संलग्न अनुसूची में वर्णित है और जिसमें पाइपलाइन बिछाए जाने का प्रस्ताव है, उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन किया जाए ;

अतः अब, केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइपलाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने के अपने आशय की घोषणा करती है ;

कोई व्यक्ति जो उक्त अनुसूची में वर्णित भूमि से हितबद्ध है उस तारीख से जिसको भारत के राजपत्र में यथा प्रकाशित इस अधिसूचना की प्रतियां साधारण जनता को उपलब्ध करा दी जाती है, इक्कीस दिन के भीतर उसमें उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन करने या भूमि के नीचे पाइपलाइन बिछाने के संबंध में श्री जे. के. आहूजा, सक्षम प्राधिकारी, सलाया-मथुरा पाइपलाइन (संवर्द्धन) परियोजना, इंडियन ऑयल कॉर्पोरेशन लिमिटेड, कोठी नं. 1439, सेक्टर-15, अरबन इस्टेट, सोनीपत-131001 (हरियाणा) को लिखित रूप में आक्षेप भेज सकेगा।

तहसील: रेवाड़ी		जिला: रेवाड़ी		राज्य: हरियाणा		
गँव का नाम	हदबस्त संख्या	मुस्ततील संख्या	खसरा/किला संख्या	क्षेत्रफल		
				हेक्टेयर	एयर	बर्गमीटर
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
हुसैनपुर	134	26	18/12/1	0	00	25
			71	0	01	01
			163	0	00	76
			171	0	00	51
काना माजरा	126	9	21/1/2	0	00	25
धान्दावास	117	26	12/3	0	06	07
भूढ़ पुर	116	-	222	0	00	25
गिन्दोखर	113	60	2	0	00	25
लसाना	220	8	23	0	13	66
			24	0	00	76
		9	6	0	09	87
			25	0	09	36
		10	1/1	0	00	25
			2	0	15	18
			10/2	0	07	34
		21	1	0	05	06
		22	5	0	07	08
			6	0	00	25
			104/1	0	00	51
			108	0	02	02
होखपुर शिकारपुर	224	-	252	0	00	25
			263	0	00	25
रोहड़ाई	230	53	2	0	00	25
			116/2	0	01	27
रसूलपुर	234	-	54	0	01	01

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
पाहलावास	236	-	126	0	01	77
			663	0	00	51
पहराजवास	239	20	7	0	05	31
			14/1	0	05	06
			14/2	0	01	77
			17	0	06	83
			24	0	06	83
		21	3/2	0	03	54
			4	0	03	54
			8	0	06	83
			13	0	06	83
			18	0	06	83
			23	0	06	58
		36	2/2	0	01	52
			3/1	0	03	54
			3/2	0	00	76
			101	0	05	06
गुरावड़ा	245	177	10/1	0	01	26
			10/2	0	05	32
			10/3	0	00	25
			246	0	00	76
हंसावास	240	-	295	0	02	02
काहनोरी	242	-	86/2	0	01	26

फा. सं. आर. 25011/30/2001/ओ.आर. I]

एस. एस. केमवाल, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th May, 2002

S. O. 1733.— Whereas, it appears to the Central Government, that it is necessary in the public interest that for the transportation of crude oil from Viramgam in the State of Gujarat to Panipat in the State of Haryana via Chaksu in the State of Rajasthan, a pipeline should be laid by the Indian Oil Corporation Limited ;

And, whereas, it appears to the Central Government that for the purpose of laying the said pipeline, it is necessary to acquire the right of user in the land under which the said pipeline is proposed to be laid and which is described in the Schedule annexed to this notification ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby declares its intention to acquire the right of user therein ;

Any person interested in the land described in the said Schedule may, within twenty one days from the date on which the copies of this notification, as published in the Gazette of India, are made available to the general public, object in writing to the acquisition of the right of user therein or laying of the pipeline under the land to Shri J.K. Ahuja, Competent Authority, Salaya-Mathura Pipeline (Augmentation) Project, Indian Oil Corporation Ltd., Kothi No. 1439 , Sector-15, Urban Estate , Sonapat (Haryana) – 131001.

Tehsil:Rewari

Dist:Rewari

State:Haryana

Name of Village	Hadbast No.	Mustatil No.	Khasra/ Killa No.	Area		
				Hectare.	Are.	Sq.Mtr.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Husainpur	134	26	18/12/1	0	00	25
			71	0	01	01
			163	0	00	76
			171	0	00	51
Kana Majra	126	9	21/1/2	0	00	25
Chandawas	117	26	12/3	0	06	07
Bhudpur	116	-	222	0	00	25
Gindokhar	113	60	2	0	00	25
Lasana	220	8	23	0	13	66
			24	0	00	76
		9	6	0	09	87
			25	0	09	36
		10	1/1	0	00	25
			2	0	15	18
			10/2	0	07	34
		21	1	0	05	06
		22	5	0	07	08
			6	0	00	25
Shekhpur Shikarpur	224	-	104/1	0	00	51
			108	0	02	02
Rohrai	230	53	252	0	00	25
			263	0	00	25
Rohrai	230	53	2	0	00	25
			116/2	0	01	27
Rasulpur	234	-	54	0	01	01

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<b>Pahlawas</b>	<b>238</b>	-	126 663	0 0	01 00	77 51
<b>Pehrajwas</b>	<b>239</b>	20	7 14/1 14/2 17 24 3/2 4 8 13 18 23	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	05 05 01 06 06 03 03 06 06 06 06	31 06 77 83 83 54 54 83 83 83 58
		36	2/2 3/1 3/2 101	0 0 0 0	01 03 00 05	52 54 76 06
<b>Gurawara</b>	<b>245</b>	177	10/1 10/2 10/3 246	0 0 0 0	01 05 00 00	26 32 25 76
<b>Hansawas</b>	<b>240</b>	-	295	0	02	02
<b>Kahnori</b>	<b>242</b>	-	86/2	0	01	26

[F. No. R-25011/30/2001 OR-I.]  
S. S. KEMWAL, Under Secy.



नई दिल्ली, 24 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1734.— केन्द्रीय सरकार, पेट्रोलियम और खनिज पाइप लाइन (भूमि में उपयोग के अधिकार का अर्जन) अधिनियम, 1962) (1962 का 50) की धारा 3 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, भारत के राजपत्र, भाग II, खंड 3, उपखंड (ii) तारीख 22 सितंबर, 2001 के पृष्ठ 5245 से पृष्ठ 5284 तक पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के पेट्रोलियम और प्राकृतिक गैस मंत्रालय की अधिसूचना सं. क. आ. 2526, तारीख 18 सितम्बर, 2001 की अधिसूचना में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करती है, अर्थात् :-

उक्त अधिसूचना की अनुसूची में, -

- (क) पृष्ठ 5248 पर, स्तम्भ 1 में आने वाले गाँव "काना भाजरा" के सामने, स्तम्भ 2 में आने वाले हदबस्त सं. 126 में, मुस्ततिल सं. 9 में, खसरा/किला सं. 20/1/2 में, स्तम्भ 5, 6 और 7 में आने वाले "0.03.54" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-3-79" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा।
- (ख) पृष्ठ 5251 से 5252 तक, स्तम्भ 1 में आने वाले गाँव "लसाना" के सामने, हदबस्त सं. 220 में,
  - (i) मुस्ततिल सं. 8 में, खसरा/किला सं. 17 में, स्तम्भ 5, 6 और 7 में आने वाले "0.01.01" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-10-12" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा।
  - (ii) मुस्ततिल सं. 10 में, खसरा/किला सं. "1/3" में स्तम्भ 5, 6 और 7 में आने वाले "0-01-26" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-03-54" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा।
  - (iii) स्तम्भ 4 में खसरा/किला सं. "10" में, स्तम्भ 5, 6 और 7 में आने वाले "0-01-26" क्षेत्र के स्थान पर "0-11-38" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा।
- (ग) पृष्ठ 5256 पर, गाँव "रोहड़ाई" के सामने, हदबस्त सं. 230 में मुस्ततिल संख्या- 70 खसरा किला संख्या 5 में, स्तम्भ 5, 6 और 7 में आने वाले क्षेत्र "0-02-53" के स्थान पर "0-03-29" क्षेत्र रखा जाएगा।

फा. सं. आर. 25011/30/2001/ओ.आर. I]

एम. एस. केमवाल, अपर सचिव

New Delhi, the 24th May, 2002

S. O. 1734.— In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Petroleum and Minerals Pipelines (Acquisition of Right of User in Land) Act, 1962 (50 of 1962), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas number S.O. 2526, dated the 18th September, 2001, published at pages 5245 to 5284 in part I, Section 3, Sub-Section (ii) of the Gazette of India, dated the 22nd September, 2001 namely:-

In the Schedule to the said notification, -

- (a) at page 5268, against village "Kana Majra" , occurring in column 1, in Hadbast No. 126 occurring in column 2, in Mustatil No. 9, in Khasra/Killa No. 20/1/2, for the area "0-3-54" occurring in columns 5, 6 and 7, the area "0-3-79" shall be substituted.
- (b) At pages 5271 to 5272, against village "Lasana" occurring in column 1, in Hadbast No. 220,-
  - (i) In mustatil No. 8, in Khasra/Killa No. 17, for the area "0-01-01", occurring at columns 5, 6 and 7, the area "0-10-12" shall be substituted.
  - (ii) In Mustatil No. 10, in Khasra/Killa No. "1/3", for the area "0-01-26" occurring in columns 5, 6 and 7, the area "0-03-54" shall be substituted.
  - (iii) In Khasra/Killa No. "10", occurring in column 4, for the area "0-01-26" occurring in columns 5, 6 and 7, the area "0-11-38" shall be substituted.
- (c) At page 5276, against village "Rohrai" in Hadbast No. 230, in Mustatil No. 70, khasra / Killa No. 5, for the area "0-02-53", occurring in columns 5, 6 and 7, the area "0-03-29" shall be substituted.

[F No R-25011/30/2001 OR-I.]  
S S KEMWAL, Under Secy.

## उपभोक्ता मामले और सार्वजनिक वितरण मंत्रालय

( उपभोक्ता मामले विभाग )

## भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो

नई दिल्ली, 9 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1735.--- भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो ( प्रमाणन ) विनियम 1988 के उपनियम ( 5 ) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन लाइसेंसों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं, वे स्वीकृत कर दिए गए हैं :—

## अनुसूची

क्रम सं.	लाइसेंस संख्या	स्वीकृत करने की तिथि वर्ष/माह	लाइसेंस धारी का नाम व पता	भारतीय मानक का शीर्षक	भा मा संख्या	भाग/अनु. वर्ष
1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	6202403	010928	मै. मल्लिकार्जुन इंडस्ट्रीज, नं. डी. 1, इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, लिंगामुगर, गयचुर जिला	निमज्जनीय पम्पसेट	08034	89
2.	6293778	010928	मै. मानसरोवर मिनरल वाटर प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, एस.नं. 93/1, 1 बी और 2, कट्टरपूथुर गाँव, उधिरामेरूर तालुक, कोच्चिपुरम जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल ( पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा )	14543	98
3.	6293879	010925	मै. ट्रस्टी एक्वा, एस नं. 345/2, उलुण्दई गाँव, वयालूर रोड, थिरुवल्लूर जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल ( पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा )	14543	98
4.	6293980	010924	मै. वी आर इंटरप्राइमेज, प्लॉट नं 39, लम्मीरेडडी नगर, हयातनगर मण्डल, रंगारेडडी जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल ( पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा )	14543	98
5.	6294073	010924	मै. नन्दी मिनरल वाटर इंडस्ट्रीज, एस.नं. 351, उडुमलपुरम गाँव, एन एच 18, नंडियाल, कुरनूल जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल ( पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा )	14543	98
6.	6294174	010926	मै. बाला कम्प्यूटर ग्राफिक्स एण्ड प्रिंटिंग ( प्रा. ) लिमिटेड, एसएफ 71, कुट्टई थोट्टम, अरुमुगगौण्डानूर, पेरूर, चेन्नैपलायम, कोयम्बतूर-641010	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित ( तीसरा पुनरीक्षण )	00398	02 96
7.	6294275	010926	मै. गायत्री वायर्स एण्ड केबल्स ( प्रा. ) लिमिटेड, शेड नं. 22, फेस 5, सिडको इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुम्भीडिपौडी-601201	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित ( तीसरा पुनरीक्षण )	00398	02 96

1	2	3	4	5	6
8.	6294376	010925	मै. वेंकन्ना केमोक्ल्स प्रा. लि., 185-बी, आईडीए, मल्लापुर, निप्पलमंडल, रंगारेडडी जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश	ब्लीचिंग पाउडर स्टेबल (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01065 89
9.	6294477	010921	मै. सुधारकर पॉलीमर्स लि., बलराम थाण्डा, समीप इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, नलगौंडा जिला, आंध्र प्रदेश सूर्यापेट 508214	पेयजल आपूर्ति हेतु सीवरोचित पीवीसी फिटिंग भाग 2 सर्किट हेतु विशिष्ट अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	10124 02 88
10.	6294578	010928	मै. टैक्समो इंडस्ट्रीज, डोर नं. 2/200, करायपलायम, मायलमपट्टि रोड, कोयम्बतूर-641014	निमज्जनीय पम्पसेट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08034 89
11.	6294679	012003	मै. एल जी बालाकृष्णन एण्ड ब्रॉदर्स लिमिटेड, वेदाचन्दूर तालुक, गुडलूर, डिण्डीगुल 624620	लघु अंतराल प्रेषण परिशुद्धता रोलर जंजीरे तथा जंजीरयुक्त पहिये (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	02403 91
12.	6294780	010924	मै. अम्बिका इंडस्ट्रियल इंटरप्राइसेज, बी-93, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, वीरसान्द्रा बंगलौर-560046	सिंचाई उपस्कर-सर्जक	13487 92
13.	6294881	010928	मै. वाई. वी. इंजीनियरिंग वर्क्स, 13-49, होंगुन्टा रोड, शाहबाद, जिला गुलबर्गा-585228	गहराई से पानी निकालने के हथवरमे (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	09301 90
14.	6294982	010907	मै. सूर्या इंडस्ट्रीज, सर्वे नं. 24, मदुगुलपल्ली गाँव, थिप्पति मण्डल, नलगौंडा जिला आ. प्र.	53 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैण्ड सीमेंट	12269 87
15.	6295075	010907	मै. धिरूमाला पुलवराइजर्स, इंड. एस्टेट, वेंकटाद्रिपालेम, मिरयलगुडा 508207	53 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैण्ड सीमेंट	12269 87
16.	6295277	010924	मै. कुरियन इब्राहिम (प्रा.) लि., मुप्पनडल लेटैक्स फैक्टरी, 6/4-1, मुप्पण्डल, अरावूझमाप्पी, पी.ओ. थोवलई तालुक, नागरकोइल 629301	अमोनिया परिरक्षित प्राकृतिक रबड़ का सांद्र लेटैक्स (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	05430 81
17.	6295378	010919	मै. मथमा एलॉय इंड. लि., मुलुगु गाँव गजवेल तालुक, मेडक जिला 502279	पूर्व प्रतिबलित कंक्रीट के लिए बिना लेपित प्रतिबल मुक्त तार	06006 83
18.	6295479	010917	मै. सारा ली टी टी के लिमिटेड, ई-5 और ई-7, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, मारामलाईनगर 603209	मेटल पालिश, तरल (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	05487 92

1	2	3	4	5	6
19.	6295580	010917	मै. सारा ली टी टी के लिमिटेड, ई-5 और ई-7, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, मारामलाईनगर 603209	फर्श और लकड़ी के फर्निचर के लिए पॉलिश लेप (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08541 93
20.	6295681	010927	मै. पार्वती इंडस्ट्रीज, नं. 3, जयहिन्द नगर, एर्नावूर, चेन्नई 600057	पिटवी एल्युमीनियम के बर्तन भाग 1	01660 01 82
21.	6295782	010926	मै. महेश्वरी बुडस प्रा. लि., 51, नज्जनगुड इंड. एरिया, नज्जनगुड मैसूर जिला	ब्लॉक बोर्ड (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01659 90
22.	6295883	011003	मै. राज इंडस्ट्रीज, 24-डी-केआईडीबी इंड., एरिया, हॉसकोट, बंगलौर 560038	गहराई से पानी निकालने के हथबरमें (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	09301 90
23.	6295984	011001	मै. मानकेरियल सेरेमिक्स, एच. नं. 18-15, लक्सेट्टुईपेट रोड, मानकेरियल जिला, आदिलाबाद, आन्ध्र प्रदेश 504208	लवण काँचाभ स्टोन वेयर के पाइप और फिटिंग (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	00651 92
24.	6296077	010925	मै. एल्फा इंजीनियरिंग इंडस्ट्री, 2-ए, 6टा क्रॉस, वी.के. रोड, थन्नेरपण्डल, पीलामेडु, कोयम्बतूर 641004	जेट अपकेंन्दी पम्प सम्मिलित (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	012225 97
25.	6296178	010924	मैसर्स कर्नाटक पाइप्स एण्ड टयूब्स, प्रा. लि. 24 बी, 27सी और 24डी, (पार्ट) के आईएडीबी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, हॉसकोट 562114	मृदु इस्पात की नालियाँ नलिकाकार सामग्रियाँ तथा पिटवी इस्पात की अन्य फिटिंग	01239 01 90
26.	6296279	010925	मै. मीनाक्षी फूड्स (इंडिया) प्रा. लिमिटेड, एस.नं. 349/1, 2ए, 350/15, 16, 17 और 19 नागरी, अय्यनकोट्टई पी.ओ., मदुरै 625221	बिस्कुट (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01011 92
27.	6296380	011009	मै. कॉवरी मिनरल वाटर्स प्रा. लि., पं. 82, अन्जनानगर, मागदी-मेन रोड, विश्वानदीम पोस्ट, बंगलौर 560091	पैकेजबन्द पेयजल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
28.	6296481	010924	मै. वर्षा केबल्स प्रा. लि., 65-ए-2, हूतागल्ली इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, डीआईसी लेआउट, कर्नाटक राज्य मैसूर 571186	पीवीसी रोधित (भारी कार्य) बिजली की केबल भाग I 1100 वोल्ट तक की कार्यकारी वोल्टता के लिए	01554 01 88
29.	6296582	011009	मै. ऐश्वर्या बेबरेजिस कम्पनी, XXX/2(3) of तिरुवल्ल म्यूनिसिपैलिटी चमाथरा पी.ओ., तिरुवल्ल पथानसन्ति टीटीए जिला 689103	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6
30.	6296683	011010	मै. राजेश केबल एण्ड कंडक्टर्स, एसपी-113/2, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, अम्बाला, चैन्नई 600058	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96
31.	6296784	011009	मै. बाला जी वायर्स एण्ड केबल्स, शेड नं. 21, फेज 5, सिडको इंड. एस्टेट, गुम्मीडिपीडी 601201	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96
32.	6296885	011009	मै. साई राम एक्वा मिनेरल्स, जनारेड्डी मण्डल, नलगौडा जिला 508214	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनेरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
33.	6296986	01109	मै. एवरग्रीन इरिगेशन, 38, चौथा मेन, सेम्पिज लेआउट, प्रशान्तनगर, बंगलौर 560079	सिंचाई उपस्कर-सर्जक	13487 92
34.	6297156	010924	मै. टूबुड्स प्रा. लि., सर्वे नं. 14/4 तलारिवापीपालेम (गाँव) गजुवाका मण्डल विसाखापटनम आन्ध्र प्रदेश 530046	सामान्य प्रयोजन हेतु प्लाईवुड (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00303 89
35.	6297180	011003	मै. डीपवेल इंजीनियर्स, एस.एफ. नं. 343, डा. एम.एस. उदयमूर्ति नगर, इडायरपलायम, कोयम्बतूर 641025	निमज्जनीय पम्पसेट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08034 89
36.	6297281	010926	मै. रेडिएन्ट केबल्स लि., बी 1-बी2, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, सन्तनगर, हैदराबाद 500018	अनुप्रस्थ जुड़े हुए पॉलीइथाइलीन विद्युतरोधी ताप स्थायी ढके केबल भाग 2 3.3 किवा. तक की कार्यकारी वोल्टता के लिए	07098 02 85
37.	6297382	010928	मै. सुधाकर पॉलीमर्स लि., बलराम थाण्डा, समीप इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, नलगौडा जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश सूर्यापेट 508214	बोर नलकूपों के लिए अनम्यकृत पीवीसी जाली और आवरक पाइप (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12818 92
38.	6297483	011010	मै. ब्ल्यू माउण्टेन स्प्रिंग (प्राइवेट) लि., दिम्बस्ती रोड, कोटागिरि तालुक दि नीलगिरि 643217	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनेरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
39.	6297584	011011	मै. सेनसन केमिकल इंडस्ट्रीज, बिल्डिंग नं. 494ए/viii, पणचिकडपंचायत, डवलपमेंट प्लॉट, पूवन्तूरुथू पी. ओ., कोट्टयम 686012	कॉपर सल्फेट (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00261 82

1	2	3	4	5	6
40.	6297685	011011	मै. साइबेक्स केबल प्रा. लि., VII/243, कनक्के पी.ओ., इट्टुमनूर, कोट्टयम-686632	1100 यो. तक की कार्यकारी वोल्टता के लिए पीवीसी रोधित केबल (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00694 90
41.	6297786	011012	मै. वथिस बेवरेजिस एण्ड फूड्स प्रा. लि., नं. 235 एन, बोम्मसान्द्रा इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, बंगलौर-560158	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
42.	6297887	011011	मै. के.सी. बी पम्पस एण्ड सिस्टम्स, 19ए, ननजप्पा नगर, त्रिचै रोड, सिंगनाल्लूर, कोयम्बतूर-641005	निमज्जनीय पम्पसेट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08034 89
43.	6297988	011011	मै. के.सी. बी पम्पस एण्ड सिस्टम्स, 19ए, ननजप्पा नगर, त्रिचै रोड, सिंगनाल्लूर, कोयम्बतूर-641005	निमज्जन पम्प सेटों के लिए मोटरें	09283 95
44.	6298081	011009	मै. बीपीएल सॉफ्ट एनर्जी सिस्टम्स लि., 7ए, (पार्ट) केआईएडीबी इंड. एरिया, सोमाहल्ली, जिला माण्डया कर्नाटक राज्य, मूडूर-571428	भारी कार्य शुष्क बैटरियां	09128 99
45.	6298182	011017	मै. श्री रामानुजम इंडस्ट्रीज, यूनिट 4 और 5 इंड. एस्टेट, डिण्डीगुल-624006	पेयजल आपूर्ति के लिए उच्च घनत्व वाले पोलिएथिलीन पाइप	04984 95
46.	6298283	011010	मै. सुधाकर पॉलीमर्स लि., बलराम थाण्डा, समीप इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, नलगौंडा जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश सूर्यपेट-508214	पेयजल आपूर्ति हेतु सीवरोचित पीवीसी फिटिंग भाग I सामान्य अपेक्षाएं	10124 01 88
47.	6298384	011009	मै. कार्तिक मिनरल वाटर, 8-12-35/ए, शास्त्रीपुरम कोट्टेडन पोस्ट, राजेन्द्र नगर, रंगारेड्डी जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
48.	6298485	011011	मै. हिन्दुस्तान मेटल इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. पी-6/2, आईडीए, नचारम, हैदराबाद-501506	जल गैस, सीवर के लिए क्षेतिज ढले लोहे के दोहरे फ्लैजयुक्त पाइप (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	. 07181 86
49.	6298586	011011	मै. हिन्दुस्तान मेटल इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. पी-6/2, आईडीए, नचारम, हैदराबाद-501506	ऐस्बेस्टॉस सीमेंट के दाब पाइपों के साथ प्रयुक्त अलग हो सकने वाले ढलवां लोहे के जोड़ (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08794 88
50.	6298687	011016	मै. गोयल इस्पात लिमिटेड, 24, पाडालम सुगर मिल रोड, पाडालम, पालयनूर पी.ओ., मदुरथकम तालुक, काँचीपुरम जिला-603308	कंक्रीट प्रबलन के लिए उच्च सामर्थ्य विन्धापित इस्पात सरिफ और तार	01786 85

1	2	3	4	5	6
51.	6298788	010928	मै. विनायक इंडस्ट्रीज, एस नं. 3ए-3, गन्नेवरिपल्ली पंचायत, चक्कलूर रोड, अल अनन्तपुर जिला, आ.प्र. ताड़पत्री-515411	43 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08112 89
52.	6298889	011017	मै. एन.एस.एस. प्रॉडक्ट्स, 26-2-66/1 पीछे-उर्वशी थिएटर, गाँधीनगर, आन्ध्र रतना रोड, विजयवाड़ा	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
53.	6298990	011017	मै. धानमई मिनरल इंटरप्राइजिज, केसरारूपल्ली, नरसारावपेट, गुन्टूर जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
54.	6299083	011016	मै. कला एसोसिएट्स, 23-7-12/2, गिरि स्ट्रीट, सत्यानारायणपुरम, विजयवाड़ा 11	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
55.	6299184	010928	मै. सुजाया रबड़ इंडस्ट्रीज, पिरिवुसाला, कोयम्बतूर रोड, पालघाट	गैसमेन, जलमेन और सीवरों के लिए रबड़ की सीलिंग रिंग (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	05382 85
56.	6299285	011012	मै. गायत्री वायर्स एण्ड केबल्स (प्रा.) लि., शेड नं. 21, फेज v सिडको इंड. एस्टेट, गुम्मीडिपौंडी-601 201	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 4 एल्युमीनियम मिश्रधातु के लड़दार चालक (एल्युमीनियम- मेग्नीशियम-सिलिकॉन टाइप) (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 04 94
57.	6299386	011011	मै. वीकेपी मिनरल वाटर एण्ड कं., वर्मा कॉम्प्लेक्स (बार्डर)इचापुरम, श्रीकाकुलम जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द) प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा	14543 98
58.	6299487	011012	मै. क्रिशोर सन्स टॉयलेटरीज, थिम्मपुर, मेहबूबनगर जिला-509325	शेम्पू-सिंथेटिक डिटर्जेंट आधारित (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	07884 92
59.	6299588	011016	मै. केबीएन इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 33, ग्रीन पार्क कालोनी, सन्नगर, रंगारडु जिला-500 060	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द) प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
60.	6299689	011012	मै. ज्योति सोलर इक्विपमेंट्स (प्रा.) लि., नं. 1, टाटानगर, कोडोगेहल्ली नागशती हल्ली मेन रोड सहकारा नगर पोस्ट, बंगलौर-560 092	सौर सपाट पट्टी संग्राहक भाग 1 अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12933 01 92
61.	6299970	011012	मै. आशा एण्ड कम्पनी, 24 देवांग हाई स्कूल रोड, आर.एम.पुरम, कोयम्बतूर-641 002	जैट अपकेन्द्री पम्प सम्मिलित (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12225 97
62.	6299891	011016	मै. वागलक्ष्मी स्टार्च इंडस्ट्रीज लि., पर्मारिडुपति (पी.ओ.) धर्मपुरी जिला-636 905	खाद्य तम्बाकू (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01319 83



1	2	3	4	5	6
63.	6299992	011003	मै. श्री बजरंग इस्पात एण्ड प्लाईवुड लि., 271, वेल्सनकी गाँव आनन्दपुरम मण्डल, विशाखापटनम-531 163	कंक्रीट शटरिंग कार्य के लिए प्लाईवुड (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	04990 93
64.	6300042	011017	मै. सनकेयर सोलर सिस्टम्स (प्रा.) लि., 3 और 4 बुझाना इंड. एस्टेट, विद्यापीठ रोड, केनगिरी, बंगलौर-560 060	सौर सपाट पट्टी संग्राहक भाग 1 अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12933 01 92
65.	6300143	011017	मैं जेनेका एग्रो केमीकल्स लि., पलॉट नं. के 4, 9वां क्रॉस रोड, सिपकोट इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुम्मीडिपौडी, चेन्नई-601 201	लेम्बडा-साइहेलोथिन घुलनशील पाउडर	14510 97
66.	6300244	011009	मै. सुप्रीम केमीकल्स वेन्चर्स (प्रा.) लि., ए-10, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, मौला अली, हैदराबाद-500 040	कॉपर सल्फेट (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00261 82
67.	6300345	011031	मै. ड्रम एण्ड बैरल्स (मद्रास) प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 41, वैद्यनाथ मुदलई स्ट्रीट, टोण्डियारपेट, चेन्नई-600 081	बन्द सिरे वाले बड़े ड्रम भाग 1 ग्रेड ए ड्रम (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01783 01 93
68.	6300446	011029	मै. जीके हाईजेनिक्स (प्रा.) लिमिटेड, v/373 बी, पुन्नयम, असमानूर पी.ओ., एर्नाकुलम जिला-683 549	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
69.	6300547	011101	मै. नेस्ट फूड्स एण्ड बेवरेज कारपोरेशन, v/34 ए, बी और सी, वाझाहलमपंचायत, पल्लीपुरम, मारम्पिल्ली पी. ओ., एर्नाकुलम जिला-683 107	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
70.	6300648	011018	मै. पीएसएल होल्डिंग्स लिमिटेड, (पाइप मिल डिबीजन) नं. 22, वेयावूर गाँव, वेदान्तान्जल रोड, मधुरान्तकम तालुक काँचीपुरम जिला, तमिलनाडू-603 308	सर्पिल वेल्डित पाइप विशिष्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	05504 97
71.	6300749	010927	मै. मिकी स्टील वर्क्स प्रा. लि., 21 सी और डी, के आईएडीबी इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, अतिबेला, नेरालूर पोर्ट, अनेकल तालुक, बंगलौर ग्रामीण जिला-562 107	पूर्व प्रतिबलित कंक्रीट के लिए सादे कठोर-कर्षित इस्पात के तार भाग 1	01785 01 83
72.	6300850	011029	मै. त्रवणकोर कॉपर फंगोसाइड प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, सर्वे नं. 239/आईपीटी. डी.पी.वाराकुलम कुन्नाथुनाडु तालुक, वाराकुलम गाँव, एर्नाकुलम जिला-683105	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
73.	6300951	011029	मै. पेरियाल बेवरेजिस प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, VI/601 ए, कीझामड पंचायत, एरूमठला पी.ओ., अल्वा, एर्नाकुलम जिला-683 105	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6
74.	6301044	011011	मै. नन्दी स्टील द्यूब्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, 83-84, नेशनल हाइवे, कोम्पल्ली, मेडचल तालुक, रंगारेड्डी जिला	संरचनात्मक उपयोग के लिए इस्पात के पाइप	01161 98
75.	6301145	011029	मै. सुरज इंटरप्राइजिज, नं. 930/3, 10वाँ क्रास, श्रीनगर, बंगलौर-560050	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
76.	6301246	011011	सिद्धगंगा सीमेंट्स प्रा. लि., शिवकुमार नगर सदरहल्ली, सी.एन.हल्ली तालुक तुमकुर जिला	पोर्टलैंड धातुमल सीमेंट (चौथा पुनरीक्षण)	00455 89
77.	6301347	011101	मै. युनिवर्सल ग्लोव्स प्रा. लि., 15, कोचीन स्पेशल इक्नोमिकजोन, कक्कालाड कोचीन-30	एक बार उपयोग वाले रबड़ के शल्य क्रिया दस्ताने	13422 92
78.	6301448	011030	मै. के.एस.एस. इंडस्ट्रीज, साइट नं. 2-बी, एस.एफ. नं. 288/2, पीलामेडू, इंड, एस्टेट, वी.के. रोड, कोयम्बतूर-4	पम्प-पुनर्योजी स्वच्छ ठंडे पानी के लिए	08472 98
79.	6301549	011029	मै. मंगला इंटरप्राइजिज, सर्वे नं. 11, साइट नं. 44, शामपुरा, आर.टी. नगर पी.ओ., बंगलौर-560 032	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
80.	6301650	011029	मै. रिमेडी हेल्थ प्रोडक्ट्स (प्रा.) लि., प्लॉट नं. 36, फेज 1, आईडीए, जीडिमेटला, हैदराबाद	सख्त चाशनी वाली चीनी मिष्ठान (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01008 81
81.	6301751	011029	मै. रिमेडी हेल्थ प्रोडक्ट्स (प्रा.) लि., प्लॉट नं. 36, फेज 1, आईडीए, जीडिमेटला, हैदराबाद	टॉफियाँ (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01667 81
82.	6301852	011029	मै. रिपेल्स फूड्स एण्ड बेवरेजिस प्रा. लि., कोसारा दयारा एस. नं. 159, कोसारा मंडल, रंगारेड्डी जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
83.	6301953	011016	मै. नयु लक्ष्मी ज्वेलरी, 3, चिन्नाकडई स्ट्रीट, मलईवासल, त्रिचै-620 002	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01417 99
84.	6302046	011029	मै. वी.एस.वी एण्ड संस ज्वेलर्स, 598 राजा स्ट्रीट, कोयम्बतूर- 641 001	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01417 99
85.	6302147	011109	मै. त्रिजय शान्ति बिल्डर्स लिमिटेड, थैल्लियार अग्रम गाँव, अय्यप्पनथान्गल, श्री पेरुम्बदूर तालुक	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6
86.	6302248	011029	मै. श्रीमाता बेवरेजिज, प्लॉट नं. 186/ए/3, बौवेनपल्ली, सिकन्दराबाद 500 041	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
87.	6302349	011108	मै. बी.एस.आर. इंडस्ट्रीज, बी-346, वनस्थलीपुरम, हैदराबाद 500 070	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
88.	6302450	011108	मै. एस.पी. मिनरल वाटर्स (इकाई : एस.पी. कोरुगेशन बोर्ड) प्लॉट नं. 37 और 38, आईडीए, फेस 2, रामनय्यरपेट काकीनाडा 533 005	पैकेजबन्द पेय यजल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
89.	6302551	011112	मै. के आर सर्विसेस, 125, के आर गार्डन, श्री मत्तूर गाँव, पडप्पई 601 301	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
90.	6302652	011112	मै. गुप्ता केबल्स (प्रा) लि., शेड नं. 18, फेस 5, सिडको इंड. एस्टेट, गुम्मीडिपौडी 601 201	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96
91.	6302753	011108	मै. सागर वाटर वर्क्स, 3-784, वेंकटपालेम रोड, उण्डावल्ली गाँव, गुन्दूर जिला 522 515	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिलीरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
92.	6302884	011109	मै. कैनेडियन क्लोथर मिनरली वाटर (प्रा) लि., 70/1ए1, 70/1बी1-ए, एलिन्जीवक्कम गाँव, पोन्नेरी तालुक, तिरुवल्लूर जिला, चेन्नई 600 067	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
93.	6302955	011029	मै. टीसीएम लिमिटेड, ग्लास फैक्टरी रोड, कलनामस्सेरी पी.ओ., एर्नाकुलम जिला 683 104	2, 4-डी सोडियम लवण, तकनीकी (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01488 39
94.	6303048	011008	मै. विजयलक्ष्मी इसेक्टीसाइडस एण्ड पेस्ट. लि., युनिट 1, डोर नं. 4-12, इथाकोटा 533 238	कीटनाशक-कारतप हाइड्रोक्लोराइड जी	14184 94
95.	630 3149	011011	मै. नागार्जुन एग्रीकेम लि., प्लॉट नं. 177, एरिनामा अक्किवालसा पी.ओ., अल्लिनगरम, समीप चिलकपालम जंक्शन, इतचेरला मंडल, श्रीकाकुलम	एट्रीजिन डक्ल्यूपी	12931 90
96.	6305153	011207	मै. मास्टर वाल्व इंडस्ट्रीज, बोम्बूबुरू-521 105 नचारम वाया हनुमान जंक्शन कृष्ण जिला	जल कल प्रयोजनो हेतु स्नूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
97.	6310449	020115	मै. एन.सी.एल. इंडस्ट्रीज, सोमापुरी मत्तमपल्ली गाँव एण्ड मण्डल, वाया हजुरनगर, नलगौंडा जिला, आन्ध्र प्रदेश	पोर्टलैंड पोजोलाना सीमेंट भाग 1 फलाईएश आधारित	01489 01 91

1	2	3	4	5	6
98.	6303250	011102	मै. रम्प ट्रेडिंग कम्पनी, एसएफ नं. 209/2ए1, त्रिचे पुडुकोट्टई मैन रोड, माथूर पुडु कोट्टई जिला 622515	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
99.	6303351	011101	मै. मार्कवेल होज इंडस्ट्रीज प्रा. लि., 26-29 फेस 4, आईडीए, पाटनचेरू, मेडक जिला 502319	द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गैस (एलपीजी) के लिए रबड़ के होज (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	09573 98
100.	6303452	011112	मै. साहुवाला सिलिंडर्स लि., 242, 'डी' ब्लॉक, ऑटोनगर, विशाखापटनम 530012	स्वचल उपयोग के लिए द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गैस (एलपीजी) के धारक विशिष्ट	14899 00
101.	6303553	011102	मै. भारत आयरन एण्ड स्टील वर्क्स, 371/1+ 2बी, पूना-बंगलौर रोड, बेलगाम 590016	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु रलूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
102.	6303654	011012	मै. माइक्रो हार्ड-टेक इंडस्ट्रीज, नं. 98/3/1 और 2, बिलेकाहल्ली, बन्नरघाटा रोड, बंगलौर	द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गैसों के साथ प्रयुक्त घरेलू गैस चूल्हा (चौथा पुनरीक्षण)	04246 92
103.	6303755	011112	मै. गोयल इस्पात लिमिटेड, 24, पाडालाम शुगर मिल रोड, पाडालाम गाँव, मदुरन्थकम तालुक, काँचीपुरम जिला, तमिलनाडु 603308	सामान्य संरचना इस्पात (पाँचवाँ पुनरीक्षण)	02062 99
104.	6303856	011105	मै. जीप डिबीजन (जिलेट डायवर्सिफाईड ऑपरेशन लि.) नं. 120, 121 और 122, बेलागोला इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, मेटागल्ली, मैसूर 570016	भारी कार्य शुष्क बैटरियाँ	09128 98
105.	6303957	011112	मै. इंटरनेशनल कैन्स प्राइवेट लि., 6-48/1, गगनपहाड, कजेन्द्रनगर तालुक, रंगारेड्डी जिला 501323	चौकोर कनस्तर—15 क्रिग्रा. घी. वनस्पति, खाद्य तेलों और बेकरी मोयन के लिए (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	10325 89
106.	6304050	011121	मै. गोदरेज मारा ली लिमिटेड, सी-35, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, थट्टनचावडी, पौडिचेरी 605009	प्रॉपाक्सर, पायसनीय सांद्र	09665 81
107.	6304151	011108	मै. एक्वा-डीवाईएन, (एक्वा रिसर्च सेन्टर की एक डिबीजन) (औरोविल्ले फाउण्डेशन की एक इकाई) एस्पिरेशन, औरोविल्ले 605101	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
108.	6304252	011109	मै. रत्नराज एग्रो फूड्स (प्रा.) लि., एस. नं. 271/9-सी कुन्नाम गाँव, श्री पेरुम्बदूर तालुक, काँचीपुरम जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6
109.	6404353	011112	मै. एम.एस. इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 22, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, सतरामपेडू, इलूरु, डब्ल्यू.जी. जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक भिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
110.	6304454	011102	मै. शारदा एगो इंजिनियरिंग प्रॉडक्ट्स, 189, प्लसो लाइन्स, बोवेरपल्ली, सिकन्दराबाद 500011	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
111.	6304555	011102	मै. श्री श्रीनिवास इंडस्ट्रीज, एस. नं. 132-1, दिन्नेडेवरापेडू (गाँव), गूटी रोड, एनएच-7, कुरनूल 518002	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
112.	6304656	011102	मै. रवि कृष्णा कास्टिंग्स, दिन्नेडेवरापाडू (गाँव) अनन्तपुर रोड, कुरनूल 510002	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
113.	6304757	011102	मै. श्री बेंकटेवरा इंडस्ट्रीज, एस. नं. 131-1, गूटी रोड, एनएच-7, दिन्नेडेवरापाडू (गाँव), कुरनूल 518002	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
114.	6304858	011102	मै. ललिता मेटल्स, ए1 और ए2, एपीआईई, ऑटोनगर, बिशाखापटनम 530012	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
115.	6304959	011127	मै. धिमसन इन्स्ट्रुमेंट्स (इंडिया), ए-78, हेब्ल इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, 4था मेन रोड, हेब्ल, मैसूर 570016	द्रव-घनत्वमापी, भाग 1 अपेक्षाएँ	03104 01 82
116.	6305052	011102	मै. प्रिंसीजन ऑटो प्रॉडक्ट्स, जेआरडी टाटा इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, कनूरु (गाँव) विजयवाड़ा 520007	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
117.	6305254	011204	मै. स्टीलेज इंडस्ट्रीज लि., 98-बी (एनपी), अम्बानूर इंड. एस्टेट, चेन्नई 600098	आग बुझाने के लिए बड़े खम्बत जल नियामक (मॉनीटर) की कार्यपरक अपेक्षाएँ	08442 77
118.	6305355	011204	मै. स्टीलेज इंडस्ट्रीज लि., 98 बी (एनपी), अम्बानूर इंड. एस्टेट, चेन्नई 600098	अग्नि शामक-50 लिटर धारिता यांत्रिक ड्राग टाइप	13386 92
119.	6305456	011210	मै. श्रीवात्सा फास्टेनर्स, ए-4, III फेस, तिरु-वी-का इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुइण्डी, चेन्नई 600032	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्य के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96

1	2	3	4	5	6
120.	6305557	011210	मै. इलैक्ट्रोवायर लिंक इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 138, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, पुराना महाबलीपुरम रोड, पेरुन्गुडी, चेन्नई 600096	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96
121.	6305658	011211	मै. रेडसन इंजीनियर्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, सर्वे नं. 165, और 166, गाजिलापुर गाँव, कुतबुल्लापुर मण्डल, आर आर जिला	अल्पदाब द्रवणीय गैसों के लिए 5-लिटर से अधिक जलक्षमता वाले वेल्डित अल्प कार्बन इस्पात के सिलिण्डर भाग 1 एलपीजी सिलिण्डर (चौथा पुनरीक्षण)	03196 01 92
122.	6305759	011211	मै. आर. के. केमीकल्स, नं. 17/8, पाक्कुडी रोड, माथुर कुलातूर तालुक, पुडुकोट्टई जिला 622515	ब्लीचिंग पाउडर स्टेबल (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01065 89
123.	6305860	011102	मै. हिन्दुस्तान मेटल इंडस्ट्रीज प्लॉट नं. पी-6/2, आईडीए, नचारम, हैदराबाद 500076	जल कल प्रयोजनों हेतु स्लूस वाल्व (50 से 1200 मिमी. साइज)	14846 00
124.	6305961	011211	मै. सूरया आर्क प्रा. लि., 1/119, केलम्बकम-वनडालूर रोड, पुडुपक्कम, चिंगलीपेट तालुक, चिंगलीपेट एमजीआर जिला 603103	हस्त्य धातु आर्क वेल्डिंग के लिए आवृत्त कार्बन और कार्बन मैंगनीज इस्पात इलैक्ट्रोड (पांचवां पुनरीक्षण)	00814 91
125.	6306054	011213	मै. मारवेल इंजीनियरिंग इंडस्ट्रीज, नं. 24, अम्मानकुलम रोड, कोयूम्बतूर 641037	जेट अपकेन्ट्री पम्प (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12225 97
126.	6306155	011211	मै. युनिवर्सल फूड्स एण्ड बेवरेजिज, सी-10, 11/2, ई सी कॉम्प्लैक्स, कुशाईगुडा, हैदराबाद 500062	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
127.	6306256	011211	मै. मीनाक्षी मिनरल (इंडिया), समीप वाटर टैंक गोविन्दशेट्टी पाल्या, इलैक्ट्रॉनिक्स सिटी, फेस 2, बंगलौर 560068	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
128.	6306357	011211	मै. सप्राजा इंटरप्राइसेज, 2-4-63/28/सी/1, को-आपरेटिव बैंक कालोनी, नागोले (गाँव) रंगारेश्वरी जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
129.	6306458	011210	मै. गुड्डडी इंटरप्राइसेज, सी-47, थिरू-वो-का इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुड्डडी, चेन्नई 600032	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96

1	2	3	4	5	6
130.	6306559	011210	मै. लामार्क इलैक्ट्रिकल्स, डवलपड पलॉट नं. 30/2 (एसपी), थिरू-वी-का इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुड्डुडी, चेन्नई-600032	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96
131.	6306660	011210	मै. इलैक्ट्रोटेक इंटरप्राइसेज (गुड्डुडी) प्रा.लि., डवलपड पलॉट नं. 2 सी, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, गुड्डुडी, चेन्नई-600032	शिरोपरि प्रेषण कार्यों के लिए एल्युमीनियम के चालक भाग 2 एल्युमीनियम चालक जस्तीकृत इस्पात प्रबलित (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00398 02 96
132.	6306761	011210	मै. भूरथनाम एण्ड कं., सर्वे नं. 61, गुण्डला पोचमपल्ली, मेडचल रोड, रंगारेड्डी जिला	पूर्व प्रबलित कंक्रीट पाइप (फिटिंग सहित) (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	00784 78
133.	6306862	011210	मै. मेक गोल्ड केबल इंडस्ट्रीज, 137/53-4, कामाक्षीपाल्या इंड, एरिया, बंगलौर-560079	1100 वोल्ट तक की कार्यकारी बोल्टता के लिए पीवीसी रोधित केबल (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00694 90
134.	6306963	011211	मै. एग्रोप्लास्ट, डी-3 और डी-4, इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, एस.एच. रोड, तिपटूर-572201	सिंचाई उपस्कर-सर्जक	13487 92
135.	6307056	011212	मै. सेयी केम इंडस्ट्रीज, 45-2-ए, समीप वेलातल कोविल, पुलियन्कडु, दि-गोण्डनपलायम, कडाचनल्लूर पोस्ट, तिरुचेनगोडु, नामक्कल-638008	जिंक सल्फेट हेप्टर हाइड्रेट, कृषि ग्रेड (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08249 94
136.	6307157	011211	मै. एसपीए एकवा प्रॉडक्ट्स, 285 और 286, वलजाबाद रोड, कुन्नम गाँव, श्री पेरुम्बदूर जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
137.	6307258	011211	मै. दक्कन स्प्रिंग्स प्राइवेट लिमिटेड, सर्वे नं. 17 और 28, रागिहल्ली गाँव, जिगानी हेब्नी, अनेकल तालुक, बंगलौर जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
138.	6307359	011212	मै. साई एक्वा इंडस्ट्रीज, 70-1-ए, आर. के. गार्डन्स, वेल्लाक्कलपति पी. ओ. ओमाल्लूर, सालेम-12	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
139.	6307460	011212	मै. एवन फूड्स एण्ड बेवरेजिज, प्लॉट नं. 34, सीआईई, फेस 2, गाँधीनगर, हैदराबाद-500 037	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6
140.	6307561	011221	मै. हरिता इरिगेशन प्रॉडक्ट्स (प्रा) लि., प्लॉट नं. 0 130/1, आईडीए, फेस-2, केर्लापल्ली, हैदराबाद-500 051	सिंचाई उपस्कर-सर्जक	13487 92
141.	6307662	011224	मै. लोटस रूफिंग्स प्रा. लि., सेदरपेट, थिरुचित्रमबलम (पी.ओ.), पौडिचेरी-605 111	बोर नलकूपों के लिए अनम्यकृत पीवीसी जाली और आवरक पाइप (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12818 92
142.	6307763	011214	मै. यागचि ऑटो गजेट्स प्रा. लि., 34/1, 35/1, रूपना अग्रहार, मडिवाला, हुसूर रोड, बंगलौर-560 068	खान श्रमिकों के टोप-लैम्पों के लिए बल्ब (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	02596 80
143.	6307864	011211	मै. एक्वा पार्क, मैयूर रोड मामन्दूर गाँव, मधुरन्थाकम ब्लॉक और तालुक-603 001	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
144.	6307965	011227	मै. चेत्तीनाड सीमेंट कारपोरेशन लि., कारिक्कली पोस्ट, गुंजीलियमपरई (वाया) डिण्डीगुल जिला-624 703	43 ग्रेड साधारण पोर्टलैंड सीमेंट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08112 89
145.	6308058	011213	मै. माधुर पाइप्स, आर.एस.नं. 154/6, मानापेट, बहौर कोम्पयुन, पौडिचेरी-607 402	लक्षण कौचाभ स्टोन वेयर के पाइप और फिटिंग (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	00651 92
146.	6308159	011221	मै. श्री कृष्णावेणी मिनरल वर्क्स, एस. नं. 221, नन्दीपाड़ गाँव, मिरयालगुडा मंडल, नलगौंडा जिला-508 207	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
147.	6308260	011221	मै. श्री वासवी एग्रो प्रॉडक्ट्स, अन्तकापल्ली गाँव, राजम मंडल, श्रीकाकुलम जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
148.	6308361	011221	मै. रेणुका इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 19/बी, केआईएडीबी इंड, एरिया, माचेनहल्ली, निदिजी, शिमोगा तालुक-577 222	गहराई से पानी निकालने के हथबरमे (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	09301 90
149.	6308462	011228	मै. चित्रा इरिगेशन्स, केएसएसआईडीसी "बी" शेड, समीप-केईबी सेक्शन ऑफिस, मैसूर-तुमकुर रोड, बेल्लूर क्रॉस, नागमनगाला तालुक, माण्ड्या जिला	सिंचाई उपस्कर-सर्जक	13487 92
150.	6308563	011226	मै. युनाइटेड इलेक्ट्रिकल इंडस्ट्रीज लि., पाल्लीमुक्कु, कोल्लम-691 010	ए सी स्थैतिक वाट घंटामीटर वर्ग 1 और 2- बिशिष्ट	13779 99
151.	6308664	011221	मै. क्लनेयर फूड्स एंड बेवरजिज प्रा. लि., नं. 19, कलाथरू गार्डन, लक्ष्मीपुरा क्रॉस, विद्यारानयापुरा पोस्ट, बंगलौर-560 097	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98



1	2	3	4	5	6
152.	6308765	021224	मै. मोयालान इलैक्ट्रोड्स प्राइवेट लि., III/305, नेमनीकारा पंचायत चेत्तिसरी रोड थालोर, त्रिस्सूर जिला-680 306	हस्त्य धातु आर्क वेल्टिंग के लिए आवृत कार्बन और कार्बन मैंगनीज इस्पात इलैक्ट्रोड (पांचवां पुनरीक्षण)	00814 91
153.	6308866	011224	मै. थलूवनिकल पाइप्स प्रा. लि., पोपीएक्स, 491 ए, 491बी, 491सी, 491डी और 491ई, पेरियारम चिथापिलापोइल पोस्ट, तलिपरम्बा तालुक, जिला कन्नूर-670 502	पूर्व ढलित कंक्रीट पाइप (प्रबलन सहित और रहित) (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00458 88
154.	6308967	011221	मै. गोदावरी इंडस्ट्रीज, डुरशेड गाँव, करीमनगर मण्डल, करीमनगर, जिला-505 001	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
155.	6309060	011226	मै. हनी हिल्स सिनर्जी सिस्टम्स, नं. 365, 10वां क्रॉस, फेस 4, पीनया इंडस्ट्रियल एरिया, बंगलौर-560 058	सौर सपाट पट्टिकर संग्राहक भाग 1 अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12933 01 92
156.	6309161	011207	मै. नेशनल प्लाइवुड इंडस्ट्रीज लि., प्लॉट नं. 215/8, कलुकांडापल्ली होसूर-थल्ली रोड, धर्मपुरी जिला	सजावटी थर्मोसेटिंग संश्लिष्ट रेजिनबद्ध परतदार चहरे	02046 95
157.	6309262	11226	मै. गंगा इंडस्ट्रीज, 4020/3562, बी.एम. शंकरप्पा एस्टेट, मागडीमेन रोड, विश्वनीदाम पी.ओ., बंगलौर-560 091	सौर सपाट पट्टिकर संग्राहक भाग 1 अपेक्षाएं (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	12933 01 92
158.	6309363	020102	मै. हरि हर मिनरल्स (प्रा.) लि., एस. नं. 17-1-391/एस/401/ए, सिंगरेणी कालोनी, सैदाबाद, हैदराबाद-500 059	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
159.	6309464	020101	मै. तृप्ति एक्वा मिनरल्स, ओपी 1/463, ओक्कल पंचायत, ओक्कल पी.ओ. कुन्नाधिनाडु तालुक, एर्नाकुलम जिला-683 550	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
160.	6309565	011221	मै. दूरवानी केबल्स प्रा. लि., नं. 139/140, मुनिवेंकटप्पा इंडस्ट्रियल एस्टेट, एन.एस. पाल्या बन्नरधाटा रोड, बंगलौर-560 076	1100 वो. तक की कार्यकारी वोल्तता के लिए पीवीसी रोधित केबल (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00694 90
161.	6309666	020102	माधुरी इंडस्ट्रीज, प्लॉट नं. 36बी, मयूरी नगर, रामाचन्द्रापुरम, हैदराबाद-502 032	इस्पात के ढक्कनदार कब्जे (छटा पुनरीक्षण)	01341 92
162.	6309767	020102	मै. सेन्चुरी एक्वा प्रॉडक्ट्स, 8वां मील, तुमकर रोड, बंगलौर-560 073	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6
163.	6309868	020102	मै. अमृत वाटर्स, 177-2, भीमाराम (गाँव) हसनपार्ति मंडल, चारंगल जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
164.	6309969	011219	मै. कर्नाटक सीमेंट वर्क्स, पी.बी.नं. 74, जीएडीएजी रोड, कोप्पल राइचूर जिला, कर्नाटक-583 231	पूर्व छलित कंक्रीट पाइप (प्रबलन सहित और रहित) (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00458 88
165.	6310045	020107	मै. स्वास्तिक इंडस्ट्रीज, 25, 5वां क्रॉस स्ट्रीट, कृष्णा नगर, पाम्पल, चेन्नई-600 075	द्रवित पेट्रोलियम गैस के साथ प्रयुक्त घरेलू गैस चूल्हा (चौथा पुनरीक्षण)	04246 92
166.	631146	020111	मै. टाइडल वाटर सप्लाय, एस एफ. नं. 516/2, कौंडिपेडु गाँव, कतपडि तालुक, वेल्लोर जिला	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
167.	6310247	020109	मै. अराधना बेयरिज मैन्यूफैक्चरिंग कम्पनी, VIII/597, "ए" विश पार्क इंडस्ट्रियल डेव. एरिया, कान्जिकोडे (पूर्व) पी.ओ., जिला पालक्कड-678 621	पैकेजबन्द पेय जल (पैकेजबन्द प्राकृतिक मिनरल जल के अलावा)	14543 98
168.	6310348	020111	मै. दि के सी पी लिमिटेड, सीमेंट यूनिट, गुन्दूर जिला, मचेरिया, आन्ध्र प्रदेश-522 426	पोर्टलैंड पोजोलाना सीमेंट भाग 1 प्लाईएश आधारित	01489 01 91
169.	6310550	011231	मै. नवोदय सेरेमिक्स, सर्वे नं. 71 और 72 मेडचल, आर आर जिला-501 401	लवण कांचाभा स्टोन वेयर के पाइप और फिटिंग (पांचवां पुनरीक्षण)	00651 92
170.	6310651	020108	मै. अरूण प्रिन्टेस्सड कंक्रीट प्रॉडक्ट्स प्रा. लि., 46 और 47, बी, कटेहल्ली इंडस्ट्रीयल एरिया, हासन-573 201	पूर्व प्रबलित कंक्रीट पाइप (फिटिंग सहित) (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	00784 78
171.	6310752	020107	मै. फेरांक बोर्ड लि., वीपी 4/394, फेरीक कॉलेज (वाया) कराड़ पी.ओ., कालीकट-673 632	ब्लॉक बोर्ड (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01659 90
172.	6310853	020121	मै. टी.बी.ज्वेलरी, 10, नागेश्वरन रोड, टी. नगर, चेन्नई-600 017	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01417 99
173.	6310954	020107	मै. एपैक्स प्रैसफेब्स (प्रा.) लि., प्लॉट नं. 172, आईडीए, बोल्लागम, जिन्नाराम मंडल, मेडक जिला	गहराई से पानी निकालने के हथंबरमें -घटक-स्टैनलैस स्टील-विशिष्टि	14105 94

1	2	3	4	5	6
174.	6311047	020124	मै. ग्लोबल कारपोरेशन लि., पेरियापलायम रोड, कन्नियगयपर गौंव, अथुकोट्टई तालुक, तिरुवेल्सूर जिला-601 102	ब्लॉक बोर्ड (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01659 90
175.	6311148	020122	मै. लोकेश फाउण्ड्रीज प्रा.लि., आर.एस. नं. 102/8, डोनेअत्तूरु (गौंव), निदमनूरु मण्डल, विजयवाड़ा रूरल, कृष्णा जिला-521 104	पानी, गैस और मलजल के लिए लोहे के अपेकन्दी ढले (स्पन) दाब पाइप (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01536 89
176.	6311249	020122	मै. शार्प टूल्स (यूनिट 2), 9/10, शार्प नगर, कलापति, कोयम्बतूर- 641 035	निमज्जनीय पम्पसेट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08034 89
177.	6311350	020122	मै. ट्रॉस डयूसर्स एण्ड कंट्रोल्स प्रा. लि., सी-15, कॉ-आपरेटिव इंडस्ट्रीयल एस्टेट, बालानगर, हैदराबाद-500 037	बिजली के उपकरणों के लिए ज्वालासह आवरण (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	02148 81
178.	6311451	020121	मंगल एण्ड मंगल, नं. 25, एनएसबी रोड, तिरुचिरापल्ली-620002	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01417 99
179.	6311552	020126	मै. दि एरोड जि. कॉ-आप. मिल्क प्रॉड्यूसर्स यूनियन लि., वासवी कॉलेज (पीओ) एरोड, एरोड जिला-638 316	मलाई युक्त दूध पाउडर भाग 2 अतिरिक्त ग्रेड	13334 02 92
180.	6311653	020122	मै. ए.आर. इंडस्ट्रीज, नं. 42ए, पांचवां क्रॉस थनीर पण्डल, पीलामेंडू, कोयम्बतूर-641 004	पम्प-पुनर्योजी स्वच्छ ठण्डे पानी के लिए (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	08472 98
181.	6311754	020129	मै. इमेराल्ड ज्वेलर्स, 333, दूसरा तल, बिग बाजार स्ट्रीट, कोयम्बतूर-641 001	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01417 99
182.	6311855	020129	मै. चन्द्रा ब्रॉ. ज्वेलर्स, विशाखापटनम, जगदम्बा सेन्टर, डॉ. काम्पलेक्स, विशाखापटनम आ.प्र.	स्वर्ण एवं स्वर्ण मिश्रधातुएं, आभूषण/शिल्पकारी शुद्धता एवं मुहरांकन विशिष्टि (तीसरा पुनरीक्षण)	01417 99

[ सं.सीएमडी-1/13:11 ]

चरण 1, अपर महामा 5

## MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION

(Department of Consumer Affairs)

## BUREAU OF INDIAN STANDARDS

New Delhi, the 9th May, 2002

**S.O. 1735.**—In pursuance of sub-regulation (5) of the Bureau of Indian Standards (Certification) Regulation, 1988 of the Bureau of Indian Standards, hereby notifies the grant of licences particular of which are given in the following schedule :—

## SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No.	Operative Date	Name and Address (Factory) of the party	Title of the Standard	IS No.	Part/Sec.	Year
1	2	3	4	5	6		
1.	6202403	010928	M/s Mallikarjuna Industries No. D1, Industrial Area, Lingasugar, Raichur District.	Submersible Pumpsets (First Revision)	08034		89
2.	6293778	010928	M/s Mansarovar Mineral Water Private Limited, S. No. 93/1, 1B & 2, Kattuputhur Village, Uthiramerur Taluk, Kanchipuram District.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543		98
3.	6293879	010925	M/s Trust Aqua, S. No. 345/2, Ulundai Village, Vayalur Road, Thruvallur District.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543		98
4.	6293980	010924	M/s. V R Enterprises, Plot No. 39, Laxma Reddy Nagar, Hayathnagar Mandal Rangareddy District.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543		98
5.	6294073	010924	M/s. Nandi Mineral Water Industries, S. No. 351, Udumalpuram Village, NH-18, Nandyal, Kurnool District.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543		98
6.	6294174	010926	M/s. Bala Computer Graphics & Printing (P) Ltd. SF-71 Kuttai Thottam, Arumugangoindanoor, R. Perur Chellipalayam, Coimbatore-641 010	Aluminium Conductors for overhead Transmission Purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel- Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02	96
7.	6294275	010926	M/s Gayatri Wires & Cables (P) Ltd. Shed No. 22, Phase V, Sidco Industrial Estate, Gummidipoondi 601 201.	Aluminium Conductors for overhead Transmission Purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel- Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02	96
8.	6294376	010925	M/s Venkanna Chemicals Pvt. Ltd., 185-B, IDA, Mallapur, Nippal Mandal Ranga Reddy District AP.	Bleaching powder, stable (Second Revision)	01065		89

1	2	3	4.	5	6	
9.	6294477	010921	M/s Sudhakar Polymers Ltd. Balaram Thanda, Near Industrial Estate, Nalgonda District Andhra Pradesh Suryapet 508 214	Fabricated Pvc Fitting for potable water supplies part 2 specific requirements for sockets (First Revision) Part 8 Specific Requirements for 90 Degree Bends (First Revision)	10124	2 88
10.	6294578	010928	M/s Texmo Industries Door No. 2/200, Karayampalayam, Mylampatti Road, Coimbatore 641014.	Submersible Pumpsets (First Revision)	08034	89
11.	6294679	011003	M/s L G Balakrishnan & Bros. Limited, Vedachandur Talukd. Gudalur Dindigul 624 620	Short Pitch Transmission Precision Steel Roller Chains and Chain Wheels (Second Revision)	02403	91
12.	6294780	010924	M/s. Ambica Industrial Enterprises. B-93, Industrial Estate, Veerasandra, Bangalore-560 046	Irrigation Equipment-Emitters	13487	92
13.	6294881	010928	M/s Y.V. Engineering Works 13-49, Hongunta, Road Shahabad, Dist. Gulbarga-585 228	Deepwell Hand Pumps (First Revision)	09301	90
14.	6294982	010907	M/s. Surya Industries Survey No. 24, Madugulapally Village, Thipparthi Mandal Nalgonda District-A.P.	53 Grade Ordinary Portland Cement	12269	87
15.	6295075	010907	M/s Thirumala Pulverisers Indl. Estate, Venkatadripalem, Miryalguda-508 207	53 Grade Ordinary Portland Cement	12269	87
16.	6295277	10924	M/s Kurian Abraham (P) Ltd., Muppandal Latex Factory, 6/4-1, Muppandal, Aralvoimozhy, P.O. Thovalai TK., Nagercoil-629 301	Ammonia Preserved concentra- ted Natural Fubber Latex (First Revision)	05430	81
17.	6295378	010919	M/s Mayma Alloy Inds. Ltd. Mulugu Village Gajwel Taluk, Medak District-502 279	Specification for uncoated Stress Relieved Strand for Prestressed Concrete (First Revision)	06006	83
18.	6295479	010917	M/s Sara Lee TTK Limited, E-5 & E-7, Industrial Estate, Maraimalainagar, 603 209	Metal Polish, Liquid (First Revision)	05487	92
19.	6295580	010917	M/s Sara Lee TTK Limited, E-5 & E-7, Industrial Estate, Maraimalainagar, 603 209	Polish, Paste for Floor and Wooden Furniture (First Revision)	08541	93
20.	6295681	010927	M/s. Parvathi Industries No. 3, Jaihind Nagar, Ernavoor, Chennai-600 057	Wrought Aluminium Utensils, Part I Cooking Table, Serving, Storing and Baking Utensils (Second Revision)	01660	01 82

1	2	3	4	5	6	
21.	6295782	010926	M/s Maheswari Woods Pvt. Ltd., 51, Nanjangud Indl. Area, Nanjangud, Mysore District	Block Board (Third Revision)	01659	90
22.	6295883	011003	M/s Raj Industries 24-D, Kiadb Indl. Area Hoskote, Bangalore 560 038	Deepwell Hand Pumps (First Revision)	09301	90
23.	6295984	011001	M/s Mancherial Ceramics H. No. 18-15, Luxettuipet Road Mancherial Dist. Adilabad, Andhra Pradesh 504 208	Salt Glazed Stoneware pipes and Fittings (Fifth Revision)	00651	92
24.	6296077	010925	M/s Alfa Engineering Industry 2-A, 6th Cross, V.K. Road, Thanneerpandal, Peela Medu, Coimbatore 641 004	Centrifugal Jet Pumps (First Revision)	12225	97
25.	6296178	010924	M/s. Karnataka Pipes & Tubes Pvt. Ltd., 24B, 27C & 24D, (Part) Kiadb Industrial Area, Hoskote 562 114	Mild Steel Tubes, Tubulars and Other Wrought Steel Fittings : Part 1 Mild Steel Tubes (Fifth Revision)	01239	01 90
26.	6296279	010925	M/s Meenakshi Foods (India) Pvt. Limited S. No. 349/1 2A, 350/15, 16, 17 & 19 Nagari, Ayyankottai P.O., Madurai 625 221	Biscuits (Third Revision)	01011	92
27.	6296380	011009	M/s. Cauvery Mineral Waters Pvt. Ltd., No. 82, Anjananagar, Magadi Main Road, Vishwanadeem Post, Bangalore 560 091	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
28.	6296481	010924	M/s Varsha Cables Pvt. Ltd., 65-A-2, Hootagalli Indl. Area, Dic Layout, Karnataka State, Mysore 571 186	PVC Insulated (Heavy Duty) Electric Cables : Part 1 for working Voltages upto and including 1100V (Third Revision)	01554	01 88
29.	6296582	011009	M/s Aiswarya Beverages Company, XXX/2 (3) of Thiruvallamunicipality Chumathra P.O., Thiruvalla, Pathanasnathi TTA District. 689 103.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
30.	6296683	011010	M/s Rajesh Cable & Conductors, SP-113/2, Industrial Estate, Ambattur, Chennai 600 058	Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Transmission Purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel-Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02 96
31.	6296784	011009	M/s Balaji Wires & Cables, Shed No. 21, Phase V, Sidco Indl. Estate, Gummidipoondi 601 201	Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Transmission Purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel-Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02 96

1	2	3	4	5	6	
32.	6296885	011009	M/s Sai Ram Aqua Minerals, Janareddy Nagar, Durajpalli, Penpahad Mandal, Nalgonda District 508214	Packaged drinking water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
33.	6296986	011009	M/s. Evergreen Irrigations, 38, 4th Main, Sampigelayout, Prashant Nagar, Bangalore—560079.	Irrigation Equipment-Emitters	13487	92
34.	6297156	010924	M/s. Truwoods Pvt. Ltd., Survey No. 14/4, Talarivanipalem (village) Gajuwaka Mandalvishakha-patnam Andhra Pradesh—530046	Plywood for General Purposes (Third Revision)	00303	89
35.	6297180	011003	M/s. Deepwell Engineers, S. F. No. 343, Dr. M. S. Udayamurthy Nagar, Edayarpalayam, Coimbatore 641 025.	Submersible pumpsets (First Revision)	08034	89
36.	6297281	010926	M/s. Radiant Cables Ltd., B1-B2, Industrial Estatesnatnagar Hyderabad 500 018	Cross Linked polyethylene insulated PVC sheathed cables : Part 2 for working voltages from 3.3 KV upto and including 33 KV (First Revision)	07098	02 85
37.	6297382	010928	M/s. Sudhakar Polymers Ltd., Balaram Thanda, Near Industrial Estate, Nalgonda District Andhra Pradesh Suryapet 508 214	Unplasticized PVC screen and casing pipes for bore/tubewell (First Revision)	12818	92
38.	6297483	011010	M/s. Blue Mountain Springs (private) Ltd., Dimbatti Road, Kotagiri Taluk, The Nilgiris. 643 217.	Packaged drinking water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
39.	6297584	011011	M/s. Sanson Chemical Industries, Blg. No. 494A/VIII Panachicad-panchayat, Development Plot, Poovanthuruthu P. O., Kotayam 686012	Copper Sulphate, (Second Revision)	00261	82
40.	6297685	011011	M/s. CYBX Cable Pvt. Ltd. VII/243, Kanakkay P. O., Etumandoor, Kotayam 686 632	PVC Insulated Cables for working Voltages upto and including 1100V (Third Revision)	00694	90
41.	6297786	011012	M/s. Pathis Beverages and Food Pvt. Ltd., No. 235N, Bommasandrain Industrial Area, Bangalore, 560 158	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
42.	6297887	011011	M/s. K C BEE Pumps and systems 19 A, Nanjappa Nagar, Trichy Road, Singanallur, Coimbatore 641 005.	Submersible Pumpsets (First Revision)	08034	89
43.	6297988	011011	M/s. K. C. BEE Pumps and Systems 19A, Nanjappa Nagar, Tiruchy Road Singanallur Coimbatore 641 005.	Motors for Submersible Pump sets (First Revision)	09283	95
44.	6298081	011009	M/s. BPL Soft Energy Systems Ltd., 7A (Part) KIADB Indl. Area, Somahalli, Distt. Mandyakarnataka State Muddur 571 428.	Heavy Duty Dry Batteries	09128	99

1	2	3	4	5	6	
45.	6298182	011017	M/s. SRI Ramanujam Industries Unit 4 & 5 Indl. Estate Dindigul 628 006	High Density Polyethylene Pipes for water supply (Fourth revision)	04984	95
46.	6298283	011010	M/s. Sudhakar Plastics Ltd. Balaram Thanda, Near Industrial Estate, Nalgonda District Andhra Pradesh Suryapet 508 214	Fabricated PVC fittings for port- able water supplies part 1 General requirements (First Revision) Part 2 specific requirements for Sockets (First Revision) Part 8 specific requirements for 90 degree Bends (First Revision)	10124	01 88
47.	6298384	011009	M/s. Karthik Mineral Water, 8-12- 35A, Shastripuram, Kattedan Post, Rajendra Nagar, Rangareddy District.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
48.	6298485	011011	M/s. Hindustan Metal Industries, Plot No. P-6/2, IDA, Nacharam Hyderabad 501 506	Horizontally cast Iron double flanged pipes for water, Gas and Sewage (First Revision)	07181	86
49.	6298586	011011	M/s. Hindustan Metal Industries, Plot No. P-6/s, IDA, Nacharam, Hyderabad. 501 506	Cast Iron Detachable Joints for use with asbestos cement pressure pipes (First Revision)	08794	88
50.	6298687	011016	M/s. Goyal ISPAT Ltd. 24, Padalam Sugar Mills Road, Padalam, Palayanur P.O., Maduranthakam Taluk, Kancheepuram District. 603 308.	High Stgrength Deformed Steel Bars and wires for concrete re- inforcement (Third Revision)	01786	— 85
51.	6298788	011928	M/s. Vinayaka Industries S. No. 3 A-3, Gannevaripalli Panchayath, Chukkalur Road, AL, Ananthapur District Andhra Pradesh Tadpatri 515 411	43 Grade Ordinary Portland cement (First Revision)	08112	89
52.	6298889	011017	M/s. N.S.S. Products, 26-2-66/1, Beside Urvasi Theatre, Gandhinagar, Andhra Ratna Road, Vijayawada 3	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
53.	6298990	011017	M/s. Thanmai Minwat Enterprises, Kersarupally, Narasaraopet, Guntur District.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
54.	6299083	011016	M/s. Kala Associates, 23-7-12/2, Giri Street, Satyanarayanapuram, Vijayawada.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
55.	6299184	010928	M/s. Sujaya Rubber Industries, Pirivusala, Coimbatore Road, Palghat	Rubber Sealing Rings for Gas Mains, Water mains and Sewers (First Revision)	05382	85
56.	6290285	011012	M/s. Gayatyri wires & Cables (P) Ltd. Shed No. 21, Phase V, SIDCO Indl. Estate, Gummidipoondi 601 201	Aluminium conductors for over- head transmission purposes : Part 4 Aluminium alloy stranded Conductors (Aluminium Magne- sium Silicon type) (Third Revision)	00398	04 94



1	2	3	4	5	6	
57.	6299386	01101	M/s. VKP Mineral water and Co., Varma Complex (border), Ichapuram, Srikakulam District.	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
58.	6299487	011012	M/s. Kishoresons Toiletries, Thimmapur, Mahaboobnagar District. 509 325	Shampoo, synthetic Detergent based (second revision)	07884	92
59.	6299588	011016	M/s. KVN Industries, Plot No. 313 Green Park Colony, Saroornagar, Rangareddy District 500 060	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
60.	6299689	011012	M/s. Jyothi solar Equipments (P) Ltd. No. 1, Tatanagar, Kodogehallinagashetty Halli Main Roadsahkara Nagar Post Bangalore 560 092	Solar Flat Plate Collector Part I (First Revision)	12933	01 92
61.	6299790	011012	M/s. ASHA and Company 24, Devanga High School Road, R. S. Puram, Coimbatore 641 002.	Centrifugal Jet Pump (First Revision)	12225	97
62.	6299891	011016	M/s. Varalakshmi Starch Industries Ltd., Pappireddypatty (P.O.), Dharmapuri District. 636 905	Edible Tapioca Starch (Second Revision)	01319	83
63.	6299992	011003	M/s. SRI Bajrang Ispat & Plywood Ltd. 271, Vellanki Villageanadapuram Mandal Visakhapatnam 431 163.	Plywood for concrete sheltering work (Second Revision)	04990	93
64.	6300042	011017	M/s. Suncare Solar systems (P) Ltd. 3 & 4, Buddanna Indl. Estate, Vidhyapeetha Road, Kengeri, Bangalore 560 060	Solar Flat Plate collector : Part I requirements (First Revision)	12933	01 92
65.	6300143	011017	M/s. Zeneca Agro Chemicals Ltd., Plot No. K4, 9th Cross Road, Sipcot Industrial Estate, Gummidipoondi, Chennai. 601 201	Lambada Cyhalothrin WP	14510	97
66.	6300244	011009	M/s. Supreme Chemicals Ventures (P) Ltd., A-10, Industrial Estate, Moula Ali, Hyderabad. 500 040	Copper sulphate, (Second Revision)	00261	82
67.	6300345	011031	M/s. Drums & Barrels (Madras) Private Limited, 41, Vaidyanatha Mudalai street, Tondiarpet, chennai. 600 081.	Drums, Large, fixed ends : Part I Grade a Drums (Third Revision)	01783	01 93
68.	6300446	011029	M/s. Geckay Hygenics (P) Limited, V/373B, Punnayam, Asamannur P. O., Ernakulam District. 683 549.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaed Natural Mineral)	14543	98
69.	6300547	011101	M/s. Nest Goods & Beverages Corporation, V/34 A, B & C, Vazhahu-lampanchayat, Pallipuram, Marampilly P. O., Ernakulam district. 683 107	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged Natural Mineal)	14543	98
70.	6300648	011018	M/s. PSL: Holdings Limited, (Pipe Mill Division), No. 22, Vaiyavoor Village, Vedanthangal Road, Madhurantakam Taluk, Kancheepuram District Tamil Nadu 603 308	Spiral Welded Pipes (fourth Revision )	05504	97

1	2	3	4	5	6
71.	6300749	010927	Miki Steel works Pvt. Ltd., 21 C & D, KIADB Industrial Areaattibela, Neralur, Port, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore Urban District, 562 107	Plain Hard drawn steel wire for prestressed concrete : Part I cold-drawn stress relieved wire (Second Revision)	01785 01 83
72.	6300850	011029	M/s. Travancore Copper fungicide Private Limited, Survey No. 239/IPT D. P. Varhakulam, Kunnathunadu Taluk, Varhakulam Village, Eranakulam District. 683 1057	Copper Sulphate (Second Revision)	00261 82
73.	6300951	011029	M/s. Periyar Beverages Private Limited, VI/601A, Keezhmad Panchayat, Erumathala P. O., Aluva, Ernakulam District. 683 105	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaed Natural Mineral)	14543 98
74.	6301044	011011	M/s. Nandi Steel Tubes Private Limited, 83-84, National Highway, Kompally, Medical Taluk, Ranga Reddy District	Steel Tubes for Structural Purposes (fourth revision)	01161 98
75.	6301145	011029	M/s. Suraj enterprises, No. 930/3, 10th Cross, Sreenagar, Bangalore, 560 050	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543 98
76.	6301246	011011	Siddaganga Cements Pvt. Ltd. Shivakumaranagara, Sadarha LLI, C. N. Halli Taluk, Tumkur District	Portland Slag cement (fourth revision)	00455 89
77.	6301347	011101	M/s. Universal Gloves P. Ltd., 15, cochin special Economic Zone, Kakkanad, Cochin 30	Disposable Surgical Rubber Gloves	13422 92
78.	6301448	011030	K.S.S., Industries site No. 2-B, S. F. No. 288/2, Peelamedu Indl. Esate, V. K. road, Coimbatore 4.	Regenerative Self priming Pumps for clear, cold, fresh water	08472 98
79.	6301549	011029	M/s. Mangala Enterprises, Surey No. 11, site No. 44, Shampura, R. T. Nagar P. O., Bangalore 560 032.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543 98
80.	6301650	011029	M/s. Remedyu Health Products (P) Ltd., Plot No. 36, Phase I, IDA, Jee dimetla, Hyderabad. 35	Hard boiled sugar confectionery (Second Revision)	01008 81
81.	6301751	011029	Ms. Remedy Health Products (P) Ltd. Plot No. 36, Phase I, IDA, Jee dimetla, Hyderabad 55	Toffees (Second Revision)	01667 81
82.	6301852	011029	M/s Repels Foods & Beverages Pvt. Ltd., Keesara Dayara, S. No. 159, Keesara Mandal, Rangareddy District	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543 98
83.	6301953	011016	M/s. New Lakshmi Jewellery, 3, Chinnakadai Street, Malaivaal, Trichy-620 002.	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery Artefacts-fineness and marking specification (third revision)	01417 99
84.	6302046	011029	M/s. V.S.V. and Sons Jewellers, 598, Raja Street, Coimbatore-641 001.	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/ Artefacts—Fineness and Marking Specification (Third Revision)	01417 99

1	2	3	4	5	6	
85.	6302147	011109	M/s. Vijay Shanthi Builders Limited, 51, Thelliyar Agaram Village, Ayyappanthangal, Sri Perumbadur Taluk.	Packaged drinking water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
86.	6302248	011029	M/s. Shree Matha Beverages, Plot No. 186/A/3, Bowenpally, Secunderabad-500011.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural mineral)	14543	98
87.	6302349	011108	M/s. B.S.R. Industries, B-346, Vanasthalipuram, Hyderabad-500 070.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
88.	6302450	011108	M/s. S.P. Mineral Waters, (Unit of S.P. Corrugation Board) Plot No. 37 & 38, IDA, Phase-II, Ramanayyapeta, Kakinada-533 005.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
89.	6302551	011112	M/s. Kayaar Wattro Services, 125, Kayaar Garden, Sri Mattur Village, Padappai-601 301.	Packaged Drinking Water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543	98
90.	6302652	011112	Gupta Cables (P) Ltd., Shed No. 18, Phase-V, SIDCO Indl. Estate, Gummidipoondi-601201	Aluminium Conductors for overhead transmission purposes : Part 2 Aluminium conductors, Galvanized Steel—reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02 96
91.	6302753	011108	M/s. Sagar Water Works, 3-784, Venkatapalem Road, Undavalli Village, Guntur District-522 515.	Packaged drinking water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
92.	6302854	011109	M/s. Canadian Clear Mineral Water (P) Ltd., 70/1A1, 70/1B1-A, Alinjivakkam Village, Ponneri Taluk, Thiruvallur District, Chennai-600 067.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
93.	6302955	011029	M/s. TCM Limited, Glass Factory Road, Kalamassery P.O., Ernakulam District-683 104.	2, 4-D, Sodium Salt Technical (Third Revision)	01488	89
94.	6303048	011008	M/s. Vijayalakshmi Insecticides & Pest. Ltd., Unit-I, Door No. 4-12, Ethakota-533 238.	Pesticide—Cartap Hydro-chloride G	14184	94
95.	6303149	011011	M/s. Nagarjuna Agrichem Ltd., Plot No. 177, Arinama Akkivalsa, P.A. Allinaguram, Near Chilakapalam Junction, Echterla Mandal, Srikakulam.	Atrazine, WP.	12931	90
96.	6305153	011207	M/s. Master Valve Industries Bommuburu-521 105, Nacharam, Via Hanuman Junction, Krishna District	Sluice Valve for Water Works Purposes (50 to 1200 mm size)—Specification	14846	99

1	2	3	4	5	6		
97.	6310449	020115	M/s. NCL Industries Simhapuri, Mattampally Village & Mandal, via Hazurnagar, Nalgonda District, Andhra Pradesh	Specification for Portland Pozzolana Cement Part 1 Flyash based	01489	01	91
98.	6303250	011102	M/s. Ramp Trading Company, SF No. 209/2A1, Trichy- pudukottai Main Road, Mathur, Pudukottai District-622 515.	Packaged drinking water (other than Packaged Natural Mineral).	14543		98
99.	6303351	011101	M/s. Markvel Hose Industries Pvt. Ltd., 26-29, Phase-IV, IDA, Patancheru, Medak District-502 319.	Rubber Hose for liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) (Second Revision	09573		98
100.	6303452	011112	M/s. Sahuwala Cylinders Ltd. 242, 'D' Block, Autonagar, Visakhapatnam-530 012	Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) Container for automotive use- Specification.	14899		00
101.	6303553	011102	M/s. Bharat Iron & Steel Works 371/1 + 2B, Poona-Bangalore Rd., Belgaum-590 016	Sluice Valve for Water Works Purposes (50 to 1200mm size) Specification	14846		00
102.	6303654	011012	Micro Hi-Tech Industries, No. 98/3/1 & 2, Bilekahalli, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore.	Domestic Gas Stoves for use with liquefied Petroleum Gases (Fourth Revision)	04246		92
103.	6303755	011112	M/s. Goyal Ispat Limited, 24, Padalam Sugar Mill Road, Padalam Village, Maduranthakam Taluk, Kancheepuram District, Tamil Nadu-603 308.	Steel for General Structural purposes (Fifth Revision)	02062		99
104.	6303856	011105	Geep Division (Gillette Diversified Operation Ltd.) No. 120, 121, & 122, Belagola Industrial Area, Metagalli, Mysore-570 016.	Heavy Duty Dry Batteries	09128		98
105.	6303957	011112	M/s. International Cans Private Ltd. 6-48/1, Gaganpahad, Kajendranagar Tq., Ranga Reddy District-501 32	Square Tins—15 Kg. for Ghee, Vanaspati, Edible Oils and Bakery Shortennings (First Revision).	10325		89
106.	6304050	011121	M/s. Godrej Sara Lee Limited, C-35, Industrial Estate, Thattanchavady, Pondicherry-605 009.	Propoxur Emulsifiable concentrates	09665		81
107.	6304151	011108	M/s. Aqua-Dyn, (A Division of Aqua Research Centre), A unit of Auroville Foundation, Aspiration, Auroville-605 101.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than Packaged Natural Mineral)	14543		98
108.	6304252	011109	M/s. Ratnraj Agro Food (P) Ltd., S. No. 271/9-C, Kunnam Village, Sri Perumbadur Taluk, Kancheepuram District.	Packaged drinking water (Other than packaged Natural Mineral).	14543		98

1	2	3	4	5	6	
109.	6304353	01112	M/s. M.S. Industries, Plot No. 22, Industrial Estate, Satrampadu, Eluru, W.G. District.	Packaged drinking water (other than Packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
110.	6304454	011102	M/s. Sarda Agro Engineering Products, 189, Plassey Agro Engineering Products, 189, Plassey Lines, Bowerpally, Secunderabad-500 011.	Sluice valve for water works Purposes (50 to 1200 mm size)—Specification.	14846	00
111.	6304555	011102	M/s. Sree Srinivasa Industries, S.No. 132-1, Dinnedavarapadu (Village), Gooty Road, NH-7, Kurnool-518 002.	Sluice Valve for Water Works Purposes (50 to 1200 mm size)—specification.	14846	00
112.	6304656	011102	M/s. Ravi Krishna Castings, Dinnedavarapadu (Village), Anantapur Road, Kurnool-518 002.	Sluice Valve for Water Works purposes (50 to 1200 mm size)—specification.	14846	00
113.	6304757	011102	M/s. Sri Venkateswara Industries, S. No. 131-1 Gooty Road, NH-7, Dinnedavarapadu (Village), Kurnool-518 002.	Sluice Valve for Water Works Purposes (50 to 1200mm size)-specification.	14846	00
114.	6304858	011102	M/s. Lalitha Metals, A1 & A2, Apie, Autonagar, Visakhapatnam-530 012.	Sluice valve for water works purposes (50 to 1200 mm size)—Specification.	14846	00
115.	6304959	011127	M/s. Thimson Instruments (India), A-78, Herbal Industrial Estate, 4th Main Road, Herbbal, Mysore-570 016.	Density Hydrometers : Part 1 Requirements (First Revision)	03104	01 82
116.	6305052	011102	M/s. Precision Auto Products, JRD Tata Industrial Estate, Kanuru (V), Vijayawada-520 007.	Sluice valve for Water Works Purposes (50 to 1200mm Size)—Specification.	14846	00
117.	6305254	011204	M/s. Steelage Industries Ltd., 98-B, (NP), Ambattur Indl. Estate, Chennai-600 098.	Functional Requirements for Stand, Post Type Water Monitor for Fire Fighting.	08442	77
118.	6305355	011204	M/s. Steelage Industries Ltd., 98-B (NP), Ambattur Indl., Estate, Chennai-600 098	50 L Capacity Fire Extinguisher, Mechanical Foam Type.	13386	92
119.	6305456	011210	M/s. Sreevatsa Fasteners, A-4, III Phase, Thiru-VI-Ka Industrial, Estate, Guindy, Chennai-600 032.	Aluminium Conductors for overhead Transmission Purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel-Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02 96
120.	6305557	011210	M/s. Electrowire Link Industries, Plot No. 138, Industrial Estate, Old Mahabalipuram Road, Perungudi, Chennai-600 096.	Aluminium Conductors for overhead Transmission Purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel—Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02 96

1	2	3	4	5	6		
121.	6305658	011211	M/s. Redson Engineers Private Ltd., Sy. No. 165 & 166, Gagillapur- village, Qutbullapur Mandal, RR District.	Welded Low Carbon Steel Cylinder Exceeding 5 Litre Water Capacity for low Pressure Liquefiable Gases : Part I Cylinders for Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) (Fourth Revision)	03196	01	92
122.	6305759	011211	M/s. R.K. Chemicals, No. 17/8, Pakkudi Road, Mathur, Kulathur Taluk, Pudukottai District-622 515.	Bleaching Powder, Stable, (Second Revision)	01065		89
123.	6305860	011102	M/s. Hindustan Metal Industries, Plot No. P-6/2, IDA, Nacharam, Hyderabad-500 076.	Sluice Valve for Water Works Purposes (50 to 1200 mm Size)— Specification.	14846		00
124.	6305961	011211	M/s. Suraya Arc Pvt. Ltd., 1/119, Kelambakam-Vandalur Road Pudupakkam, Chinglepet Taluk, Chenglepet MGR District-603 103.	Covered Electrodes for Manual Metal Arc Welding of Carbon and Carbon Manganese Steel (Fifth Revision)	00814		91
125.	6306054	011213	M/s. Marvel Engineering Industries No. 24, Ammankulam Road, Coimbatore-641 037.	Centrifugal Jet Pump (First Revision).	12225		97
126.	6306155	011211	M/s. Universal Foods & Beverages, C-10, 11/2, E.C. Complex, Kushaiguda, Hyderabad-500 062.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543		98
127.	6306256	011211	M/s. Meenakshi Mineral (India), Near Water Tank, Govindashetty Palya, Electronics City-II Phase, Bangalore-560 068.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543		98
128.	6306357	011211	M/s. Supraja Enterprises, 2-4-63/28/C/1, Co-operative Bank Colony, Nagole (V), Rangareddy District.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543		98
129.	6306458	011210	M/s. Giundy Enterprises, C-47, Thiru-VI-KA Industrial Estate, Giundy, Chennai-600 032.	Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Transmission purposes Part-2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel-Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02	96
130.	6306559	011210	M/s. Lamark Electricals Developed Plot No. 30/2 (SP), Thiru-VI-KA Industrial Estate, Giundy, Chennai-600 032.	Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Transmission purposes : Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel-Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02	96
131.	6306660	011210	M/s. Electrotech Enterprises (Giundy) Pvt. Ltd. Developed Plot No. 2C, Industrial Estate, Giundy, Chennai-600 032	Aluminium Conductors for Overhead Transmission purposes. Part 2 Aluminium Conductors, Galvanized Steel-Reinforced (Third Revision)	00398	02	96

1	2	3	4	5	6
132.	6306761	011210	M/s. Bhoorathnam & Co. Survey No. 61, Gundla Pochampally, Medchal Road, Ranga Reddy District.	Prestressed Concrete Pipes (Including Fittings) (First Revision)	00784 78
133.	6306862	011210	M/s. Mak Gold Cable Industries, 137/53-4, Kamakshipalya Indl. Area, Bangalore-560 079.	PVC insulated cables for work- ing voltages upto and including 1100V (Third Revision).	00694 90
134.	6306963	011211	M/s. Agroplast, D-3 & D-4, Industrial Estate, S.H. Road, Tiptur-572 201.	Irrigation Equipment-Emitters	13487 92
135.	6307056	011212	M/s. Sayce Chem Industries, 45-2-A, Near Velathal Kovil, Puliankadu, The Goundanpalayam, Kadachanal Lur Post, Tiruchengodu, Namakkal-638 008.	Zinc Sulphate, Heptahydrate, Agricultural Grade, (First Revision).	08249 94
136.	6307157	011211	M/s. Spa Aqua Products, 285 & 286, Valajabad Road, Kunnam Village, Sri Perumbudur Taluk.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543 98
137.	6307258	011211	M/s. Deccan Springs Private Limited, SY. No. 17 & 28, Ragihalli Village, Jigani Hebli, Anekal Taluk, Bangalore District.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral).	14543 98
138.	630359	011212	M/s. Sai Aqua Industries, 70-1-A, R.K. Gardens, Vellakkalpatty P.O., Omalur, Salem-12.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543 98
139.	6307460	011221	M/s. Avon Foods & Beverages, Plot No. 34, CIE, Phase-II Gandhinagar, Hyderabad-500 037.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral).	14543 98
140.	6307561	011221	M/s. Haritha Irrigation Products (P) Ltd., Plot No. 130/1, IDA, Phase-II, Cherlapally, Hyderabad-500 051.	Irrigation Equipment-Emitters	13487 92
141.	6307662	011224	M/s. Lotus Roofings P. Ltd., Sederapet, Thiruchitrambalam (P.O.) Pondicherry-605 111	Unplasticized PVC screen and casing pipes for bore/tubewell (First Revision).	12818 92
142.	6307763	011214	M/s. Yagachi Auto Gadgets Pvt. Ltd. 34/1, 35/1, Roopana Agrahara, Madiwala, Hosur Road, Bangalore-560 068.	Bulbs (Lamps) for miners caps lamps (First Revision)	02596 80
143.	6307864	011211	M/s. Aqua Park, Maiyur Road, Mmamandoor Village, Madhuranthakam Block & Taluk-603 001.	Packaged Drinking Water (Other than packaged natural mineral)	14543 98

1	2	3	4	5	6	
144.	6307965	011227	M/s. Chettinad Cement Corporation Ltd. Karikkali Post, Guziliamparai (Via) Dindugul District 624 703	43 Grade Ordinary Portland Cement (First Revision)	08112	89
145.	6308058	011213	M/s. Mathur Pipes R. S. No. 154/6, Manapet, Bahour Commune, Pondicherry 607 402	Salt Galzed Stoneware Pipes and Fittings (Fifth Revision)	00651	92
146.	6308159	011221	M/s. SRI Krishnaveni Mineral Work's S. No. 221, Nandipad Village, Miryalguda Mandal, Nalgonda District. 508 207	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
147.	6308260	011221	M/s. Sri Vasavi Agro Products, Antakapally Village, Rajam Mandal, Srikakulam District.	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
148.	6308361	011221	M/s. Renuka Industries Plot No. 19/B, KIADB Indl. Area Machenahalli, NIDIGE Shimoga Taluk 577 222	Deepwell Handpumps (Third Revision)	09301	90
149.	6308462	011228	M/s. Chaitra Irrigations, KSSIDC 'B' Shed, near KEB section office, Mysore Tumkur Road, Bellur Cross, Nagamangala TK., Mandya District.	Irrigation Equipment-Emitters	13487	92
150.	6308563	011226	M/s. United Electrical Industries Ltd. Pallimukku, Kollam 691 010	AC Static Watthour Meters, Class 13779 1 and 2-specification		99
151.	6308664	011221	M/s. Claire Foods and Beverages Pvt. Ltd., No. 19, Kalathru Garden, Laxmipura Cross, Vuidyaryapura Post, Bangalore. 560 097	Packaged Drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
152.	6308765	011224	M/s. Moyalan Electrodes Private Limited, III/305, Nemanikara Panchayath, Chettissery Road, Thalore, Thrissure District. 680 306	Covered electrodes for manual metal ARC welding of Carbon and Carbon Manganese Steel (Fifth Revision)	00814	91
153.	6308866	011224	M/s. Thuluvanickal Pipes Pvt. Ltd. PPX, 491 A, 491 B, 491 C, 491 D & 491 E Periyaram, Chithapilapoyil P. O., Taliparamba Taluk, District Kannur 670 502	Specification for precast concrete pipes (with and without Reinforcement) (Third Revision)	00458	88
154.	6308967	011221	M/s. Godavari Industries, Durshed village, Karimnagar Mandal, Karimnagar District. 505 001	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
155.	6309060	011226	M/s. Honey Hills Synergy Systems No. 365, 10th Cross, IV Phase, Peenya Industrial Area, Bangalore 560 058	Solar flat plate collector specification part I requirements (First Revision)	12933	01 92
156.	6309161	011207	M/s. National Plywood Industries Limited, Plot No. 215/8, Kalukondapalli, Hosurthally Road, Dharmapuri District.	Decorative thermosetting synthetic resign bonded laminated specification (Second Revision)	02046	95



1	2	3	4	5	6	
157.	6309262	011226	M/s. Ganga Industries 4020/3562, B. M. Shankarappa Estate, Magadi Main Road, Viswanedam P. O., Bangalore 560 091	Solar Flat Plate Collector Specification part 1 requirements (First Revision)	12933	01 92
158.	6309363	011102	M/s. Hari Hara Minerals (P) Ltd., H. No. 17-1-391/S/401/A, Singareni Colony, Saidabad, Hyderabad, 500 059	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
159.	6309464	020101	M/s. Thripathy Aqua Minerals, Op 1/463, Okkal Panchayat, Okkal P. O., Kummathinadu Taluk, Ernakulam District. 683 550	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	
160.	6309565	011221	M/s. Doorvani Cables Pvt. Ltd., No. 139/140, Munivenkatappa Industrial Estate, N. S. Palya, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore 560 076	PVC insulated cable for working voltage upto and including 1100 V (Third Revision)	00694	90
161.	6309666	020102	M/s. Madhuri Industries Plot No. 36 B, Mayuri Nagar, Ramachandrapuram, Hyderabad 502 032	Specification for Steel Butt Hinges (Sixth Revision)	01341	92
162.	6309767	020102	M/s. Century Aqua Products, 8th Mile, Tumkur Road, Bangalore. 560 073	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
163.	6309868	020102	M/s. Amrutha Waters, 177-2, Bheemaram (V), Hasanparthy (M), Warangal District.	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
164.	6309969	011219	M/s. Karnataka Cement Works, P. B. No. 74, Gadag Road, Koppal, Raichur District, Karnataka 583 231	Specification for precast concrete Pipes (with and without reinforcement) (Third Revision)	00458	88
165.	6310045	020107	M/s. Swastik Industries 25, 5th Cross Street, Krishna Nagar, Pammal, Chennai 600 075	Domestic Gas Stoves for use with liquefied Petroleum Gases-specification (Fourth Revision)	04246	92
166.	6310146	020111	M/s. Tidel Water supply, S. F. No. 516/2, Kandipedu Village, Katpadi Taluk, Vellore District.	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
167.	6310247	020109	M/s. Aradhana Beverages Manufacturing Company, VIII/597 'A' Wise Park Industrial Dev. Area, Kanjicode (East) P. O., District Palakkad. 678 621	Packaged drinking water (other than packaged natural mineral)	14543	98
168.	6310348	020111	M/s. The KCP Limited, Cement Unit, Guntur District, Macheria Andhra Pradesh 522 426	Specification for portland pozzolana cement part 1 flyash based	01489	01 91
169.	6310550	011231	M/s. Navudaya Ceramics Survey No. 71 & 72 Medchal, R. R. District 501401	Specification for salt glazed stone-ware pipe and fittings (Fifth Revision)	00651	92
170.	6310651	020108	M/s. Arun Prestressed Concrete Products Pvt. Ltd., 46 & 47, B, Kately Industrial Area, Hassan 573 201	Specification for Prestressed concrete pipes (Second Revision)	00784	78

1	2	3	4	5	6	
171.	6310752	020107	M/s. Feroke Board Ltd., VP 4/394, Feroke College (Via), Karad P. O., Calicut 673 632.	Specification for Block Boards (Third Revision)	01659	90
172.	6310853	020121	M/s. T. B. Jewellery, 10, Nageswaran Road, T. Nagar, Chennai 600 017	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/ Artefacts-Fineness and marking Specification (Third Revision)	01417	99
173.	6310954	020107	M/s. Apex Pressfabs (P) Ltd., Plot No. 172, IDA Bollaram, Jinnaram Mandal, Medak District.	Deepwell Handpumps-Components stainless steel-specification	14105	94
174.	6311047	020124	M/s. Global Corporation Ltd. Periyalayam Road, Kannigaiper Village, Uthukottai Taluk, Thiruvellore District 601 102	Specification for Block Boards (Third Revision)	01659	90
175.	6310148	020122	M/s. Lokesh Foundries Pvt. Ltd., R.S. No. 102/8, Done Atkuru (V), Niddamanuru Mandal, Vijayawada Rural, Krishna District 521 104	Centrifugally cast (spun) Iron Pressure Pipe for water, Gas and Sewage (Third Revision)	01536	89
176.	6311249	020122	M/s. Sharp Tools (Unit II) 9/10, Sharp Nagar, Kalapatti, Coimbatore 641 035	Submersible Pumpsets (First Revision)	08034	89
177.	6311350	020122	M/s. Transducers & Controls P. Ltd., C-15m Co-operative Ubdystruak Estate, Balanagar, Hyderabad 500 037	Flameproof enclosures for electrical apparatus (Second Revision)	02148	81
178.	6311451	020121	M/s. Mangal & Mangal, No. 25, NSB Road, Tiruchirapalli 620 002	Gold and Gold alloys, jewellery/ Artefacts-Fineness and marking specification (Third Revision)	01417	99
179.	6311552	020128	M/s. The Erode Dt. Co-Op Milk Producers Union Ltd., Vasavi College (PO), Erode, Erode District. 638 316	Skim Milk Powder-specification-Part-2 Extra Grade	13334	02 92
180.	6311653	020122	M/s. A. R. Industries, No. 42 A, 5th Cross, Thaneer Pandal, Peelamedu, Coimbatore 641 004	Pumps-regenerative for clear, cold water-specification (First Revision)	08472	98
181.	6311754	020129	M/s. Emerald Jewellers, 333, II Floor, Big Bazar Street, Coimbatore 641 001	Gold and Gold alloys, Jewellery/ Artefacts-fineness and marking specification (Third Revision)	01417	99
182.	6311855	020129	M/s. Chandra Bros. Jewellers, Visakhapatnam, Jagadamba Centre, Dandoo Complex, Visakhapatnam. Andhra Pradesh.	Gold and Gold Alloys, Jewellery/ Artefacts-Fineness and marking specification (Third Revision)	01417	99

नई दिल्ली, 9 मई, 2002

का. आ. 1736.— भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो नियम 1987 के नियम 7 के उपनियम (1) के खंड (ख) के अनुसरण में भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो एतद्वारा अधिसूचित करता है कि जिन भारतीय मानकों के विवरण नीचे अनुसूची में दिए गए हैं वे स्थापित हो गए हैं :—

## अनुसूची

क्रम संख्या	स्थापित भारतीय मानक (कों) की संख्या, वर्ष और शीर्षक	नये भारतीय मानक द्वारा अतिक्रमित भारतीय मानक अथवा मानकों यदि कोई हो, की संख्या और वर्ष	स्थापित तिथि
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	आई एस 2458 : 2001 गियर शब्दों की शब्दावली-ज्यामिति से सम्बन्धित परिभाषाएं ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 2458 : 1965	2001-12-31
2.	आई एस 2556 ( भाग 17 ) : 2001- काँचाभ स्वच्छता साधित्र ( काँचाभ चीनी मिट्टी )- विशिष्ट भाग 17 दीवार पर लगने वाले बिडेट के लिए विशिष्ट अपेक्षाएं	--	2001-12-31
3.	आई एस 3055 ( भाग 2 ) : 2002- डाक्टरी थर्मामीटर भाग 2 बन्द स्केलनुमा-विशिष्ट ( दूसरा पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 3055 ( भाग 2 ) : 1977	2002-03-31
4.	आई एस 4199 : 2001- नहाने का द्रव साबुन-विशिष्ट ( तीसरा पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 4199 : 1990	2001-12-31
5.	आई एस 4955 : 2001- धरेलु धुलाई के लिये अपमार्जक पाउडर-विशिष्ट ( चौथा पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 4955 : 1993	2001-12-31
6.	आई एस 5299 : 2001- रंजक मध्यवर्ती के नमूने लेने और परीक्षण की पद्धतियां ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 5299 : 1969	2001-12-31
7.	आई एस 5401 ( भाग 1 ) : 2002- सूक्ष्मजैविकी-कोलीफार्म की गणना के लिए सामान्य मार्गदर्शन भाग 1 कोलोनी गणना तकनीक ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 5401 : 1969	2002-02-28
8.	आई एस 6028-2002- हरे केले-भंडारण एवं परिवहन हेतु निर्देशिका ( दूसरा पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 6026 : 1985	2002-01-31
9.	आई एस 6594-2001- इस्पात वायर रोपों और लड़ों की तकनीकी आपूर्ति शर्तें ( दूसरा पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 6594 : 1977	2001-12-31
10.	आई एस 6669-2001- सेब-शीत भंडारण हेतु निर्देशिका ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आई एस 6669 : 1972	2001-12-31

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
11.	आई एस 7028 (भाग-1)-2002- पूरे भरित परिवहन पैकेज का कार्यकारिता परीक्षण भाग-1 स्थैतिक भार का प्रयोग करते हुए स्टैंकिंग परीक्षण (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 7028(भाग-1) : 1987	2001-02-28
12.	आई एस 7028 (भाग-3)-2002- पूरे भरित परिवहन पैकेज का कार्यकारिता परीक्षण भाग 3 क्षैतिज संघटक परीक्षण (क्षैतिज अथवा आनत परीक्षण, पेण्डुलम परीक्षण) (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 7028 (भाग-3) : 1987	2002-02-28
13.	आई एस 7191-2001- टमाटर-शीत भंडारण एवं शीतलीकृत परिवहन हेतु निर्देशिका (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 7191 : 1974	2001-12-31
14.	आई एस 7900-2001- आंकड़ा घटक और अंतर्विनियम फॉर्मेट-सूचना का अंतर्विनियम-तिथि और समय देना (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 7900 : 1999	2001-12-31
15.	आई एस 7957(भाग-1)-2001- ग-नेटवर्क में शून्य फेज तकनीक द्वारा क्वार्टज क्रिस्टल इकाई के पैरामीटरों का मापन भाग 1 ग-नेटवर्क में शून्य फेज तकनीक द्वारा क्वार्टज क्रिस्टल इकाईयों की अनुनाद आवृत्ति तथा अनुनाद प्रतिरोध के मापन की मूल पद्धति (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 7957(भाग-1)-1974	2001-12-31
16.	आई एस 9138-2002- एजोटोबेक्टर जीवाणु कल्चर-विशिष्ट (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 9138 : 1979	2002-02-28
17.	आई एस 9311-2001- प्याज़-भंडारण हेतु निर्देशिका (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 9311 : 1979	2001-12-31
18.	आई एस 9609(भाग 0)-2001- तकनीकी उत्पाद प्रलेखन-वर्णविन्यास भाग 0 सामान्य अपेक्षाएं	--	2001-11-30
19.	आई एस 9609(भाग 6)-2001- तकनीकी उत्पाद प्रलेखन-वर्णविन्यास भाग 6 लैटिन वर्ण, संख्याओं और चिह्नों का कैड वर्ण विन्यास	--	2001-11-30
20.	आई एस 9897-2001- कटिंग औजारों के लिए परिवर्त्याइंसर्ट-अभिनाम (दूसरा पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 9897 : 1993	2001-11-30
21.	आई एस 10318-2002- कृषि ट्रैक्टर एवं मशीनरी-पावर टेक-आफ चाक धुरी और शक्ति निविष्टि (पावर इनपुट) संयोजक की स्थिति (पहला पुनरीक्षण)	आई एस 10318 : 1982	2002-01-31

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
22.	आईएस 12427 : 2001- बंधन सामग्री—चूड़ीदार इस्पात बंधन सामग्री— षट्कोणीय शीर्ष वाले संप्रेषण टावर काबले- विशिष्ट ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आईएस 12427 : 1988	2001-12-31
23.	आईएस 12563 : 2001- घूर्णी एवं आघात वातिल औजार—कार्यकारिता परीक्षण ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	[ आईएस 12563 ( भाग 1 ) : 1988 और आईएस 12563 ( भाग 2 ) : 1988 ]	2001-12-31
24.	आईएस 13288 ( भाग 5 ) : 2002- श्रृंखला 1 मालधारक-विशिष्ट और परीक्षण भाग 5 प्लेटफार्म एवं प्लेटफार्म आधारित धारक ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आईएस 13288 ( भाग 5 ) : 1992	2002-01-31
25.	आईएस 13342 : 2001- विद्युत् पूर्ति में उपयोगों से संबंधित चुम्बकीय आक्साइड कोड ( ईटीडी-क्रोड )—आयाम ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आईएस 13342 : 1992	2001-12-31
26.	आईएस 13656 : 2002— अन्तर्दहन इंजनों के लिए क्रैंक केस तेल-( पेट्रोल और डीजल )—विशिष्ट ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आईएस 13656 : 1993	2002-02-28
27.	आईएस 14234 ( भाग 1 ) : 2002— स्पार्क—प्रज्वलन वायु-शीतित इंजन के लिए स्नेहक—विशिष्ट भाग 1 दो स्ट्रोक स्पार्क-प्रज्वलन इंजन ( पहला पुनरीक्षण )	आईएस 14234 ( भाग 1 ) : 1996	2002-02-28
28.	आईएस 14881 : 2001— धगमाके द्वारा कंपन की निरीक्षण पद्धति— मार्गदर्शन	—	2001-12-31
29.	आईएस 14958 ( भाग 5 ) : 2001— वित्तीय लेन देन कार्ड—एकीकृत परिपथ कार्ड प्रयोग करते हुए वित्तीय लेन देन पद्धतियों की सुरक्षा संरचना भाग 5 एल्गोरिथ्म का प्रयोग	—	2001-12-31
30.	आईएस 14958 ( भाग 7 ) 2001- वित्तीय लेन देन कार्ड—एकीकृत परिपथ कार्ड प्रयोग करते हुए वित्तीय लेन देन पद्धतियों की सुरक्षा संरचना भाग 7 कुंजी प्रबंधन	—	2001-12-31
31.	आईएस 14958 ( भाग 8 ) 2001- वित्तीय लेन देन कार्ड—एकीकृत परिपथ कार्ड प्रयोग करते हुए वित्तीय लेन-देन पद्धतियों की सुरक्षा संरचना भाग 8 सामान्य सिद्धांत एवं सिद्धान्तलोकन	—	2001-12-31

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
32.	आईएस 14985 : 2001- मिथाइल एक्रीलेट और इथाइल एक्रीलेट-सुरक्षा संहिता	—	2001-12-31
33.	आईएस 15036 : 2001- डिपेंडेबिलिटी प्रबंधन-अनुप्रयोग नियामिका- डिपेंडेबिलिटी विश्लेषण तकनीके	—	2001-12-31
34.	आईएस 15047 : 2002- फोटोग्राफी-इलैक्ट्रॉनिक फ्लैश उपस्कर- भेददर्शी तार के कनेक्टर	—	2002-01-31
35.	आईएस 15049 : 2002 फोटोग्राफी-हाथ वाले कैमरे-फ्लैश कनेक्टर आयाम	—	2002-01-31
36.	आईएस 15051 : 2001- उच्च दबाव वाले अग्नि होज प्रदाय युगमन- विशिष्ट	—	2001-12-31
37.	आईएस 15056 ( भाग 1/अनु 1 ) : 2002- प्रकाशिक तंतु भाग 1 सामान्य विशिष्ट अनुभाग 1 सामान्य	—	2002-01-31
38.	आईएस 15059 : 2001- विद्युत ध्वनिकी-ध्वनि अंशशोधक	—	2001-12-31
39.	आईएस 15061 : 2002- स्वचल वाहन-ज्वलनशीलता अपेक्षाएं	—	2002-01-31
40.	आईएस 15065 : 2001- आघाती वेधन के लिए समाकलन स्तम्भ में प्रयोग के लिए कार्यादि टिप-विशिष्ट	—	2001-12-31
41.	आई एस 15075 : 2001- कठोर धातु की नोक वाले घूर्णी तथा घूर्णी संघट्ट चिनाई बरमा अनी-आयाम	—	2001-12-31
42.	आईएस 15085 : 2001- डेसीमीटर क्यूब ( स्कूल में प्रयोग के लिए )- विशिष्ट	—	2001-12-31
43.	आईएस 15089 : 2002- पूरी तरह भौतिक तरीकों द्वारा संरक्षित अन्नानास का रस-विशिष्ट	—	2002-02-28
44.	आईएस 15094 : 2002- चिप ब्रेकर वाले परिवर्त्य इनसुल्टों के लिए सन्निकट चिप नियंत्रण क्षेत्रों का वर्गीकरण व अभिनाम	—	2002-02-28
45.	आईएस 15111 ( भाग 2 ) : 2002- सामान्य प्रकाश व्यवस्थाओं के लिए स्वतः बालाभूतकृत लैम्प भाग 2 कार्यकारिता अपेक्षाएं	—	2002-02-28
46.	आईएस 15113 : 2002- बिजली के अधिकतम युक्ति वाले चिकित्सा के थर्मामीटर-विशिष्ट	—	2002-03-31

इन भारतीय मानकों की प्रतियाँ भारतीय मानक ब्यूरो मानक भवन 9 बहादुरशाह जफर मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002, क्षेत्रीय कार्यालयों नई दिल्ली, कोलकाता, चंडीगढ़, चेन्नई, मुम्बई तथा शाखा कार्यालयों अहमदाबाद, बंगलौर, भोपाल, भुवनेश्वर, कोयम्बतूर, गुवाहाटी, हैदराबाद, जयपुर, कानपुर, पटना, पुणे तथा तिरुवनन्तापुरम में बिक्री हेतु उपलब्ध हैं।

[ सं. केप्रवि/13 : 2 ]

हरचरण सिंह, अपर महानिदेशक

New Delhi, the 9th May, 2002

**S.O. 1736.**—In pursuance of clause (b) of sub-rule (1) of rule 7 of the Bureau of Indian Standards Rules, 1987, the Bureau of Indian Standards hereby notifies that the Indian Standards, particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed have been established on the date indicated against each :

**SCHEDULE**

SL. No.	No. & Year of the Indian Standards Established	No. & year of Indian Standards, if any, Superseded by the New Indian Standard	Date of Establishment
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	IS 2458 : 2001— Vocabulary of gear terms—definitions related to geometry (First Revision)	IS 2458 : 1965	2001-12-31
2.	IS 2556 (Pt 17) : 2001 Vitreous sanitary appliances (vitreous China)—specification Part 17 : Specific requirements of wall mounted bidets	—	2001-12-31
3.	IS 3055 (Pt. 2) : 2002— Clinical thermometers Part 2 : Enclosed scale type—specification (Second Revision)	IS 3055 (Pt 2) : 1977	2002-03-31
4.	IS 4199 : 2001— Toilet soap liquid— Specification (Third Revision)	IS 4199 : 1990	2001-12-31
5.	IS 4955 : 2001— Household laundry detergent powders— specifications (Fourth Revision)	IS 4955 : 1993	2001-12-31
6.	IS 5299 : 2001— Methods of sampling and tests for dye intermediates (First Revision)	IS 5299 : 1969	2001-12-31
7.	IS 5401 (Pt. 1) : 2002 Microbiology—general guidance for the enumeration of coliforms Part 1 : Colony count technique (First Revision)	IS 5401 : 1969	2002-02-28
8.	IS 6026 : 2002— Green bananas—guide to storage and transport (Second Revision)	IS 6026 : 1985	2002-01-31
9.	IS 6594 : 2001— Technical supply conditions for steel wire ropes and strands (Second Revision)	IS 6594 : 1977	2001-12-31

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
10.	IS 6669 : 2001— Apples—guide to cold storage (First Revision)	IS 6669 : 1972	2001-12-31
11.	IS 7028 (Pt. 1) : 2002— performance tests for complete, filled transport packages Part 1 : Stacking tests using static load (Second Revision)	IS 7028 (Pt. 1) : 1987	2002-02-28
12.	IS 7028 (Pt. 3) : 2002— Performance tests for complete, filled trans- port packages part 3 : Horizontal impact tests (horizontal or inclined test, pendu- lum test) (Second Revision)	IS 7028 (Pt. 1) : 1987	2002-02-28
13.	IS 7191 : 2001— Tomatoes—guide to cold storage and re- frigerated transport (First Revision)	IS 7191 : 1974	2001-12-31
14.	IS 7900 : 2001— Data elements and interchange formats— information interchange representation of dates and times (Second Revision)	IS 7900 : 1999	2001-12-31
15.	IS 7957 (Pt. 1) : 2001 Measurement of quartz crystal unit para- meters by zero phase technique in a—net work Part 1 : Basic method for the measu- rement of resonance frequency and reso- nance resistance of quartz crystal units by zero phase technique in a—net work (First Revision)	IS 7957 (Pt. 1) : 1974	2001-12-31
16.	IS 9138 : 2002 Azotobacter chroococcum (First Revision)	IS 9138 : 1979	2002-02-28
17.	IS 9311 : 2001— Onions—guide to storage (First Revision)	IS 9311 : 1979	2001-12-31
18.	IS 9609 (Pt. ) : 2001— Technical product documentation— lettering Part 0 : General requirements	—	2001-11-30
19.	IS 9609 (Pt. 6) : 2001— Technical product documentation— lettering part 6 : CAD lettering of the latin alphabet, numerals and marks	—	2001-11-30
20.	IS 9897 : 2001— Indexable inserts for cutting tools— designation (Second Revision)	IS 9897 : 1993	2001-11-30
21.	IS 10318 : 2002— Agricultural tractors and machinery— power take-off drive shafts and position of power-input connection (First Revision)	IS 10318 : 1982	2002-01-31



(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
22.	IS 12427 : 2001— Fasteners—threaded steel fasteners— hexagon head transmission tower bolts— specification (First Revision)	IS 12477 : 1988	2001-12-31
23.	IS 12563 : 2001— Rotary and percussive pneumatic tools— performance test (First Revision)	[Amalgamating IS 12563 (Pt. 1) : 1988 and IS 12563 (Pt. 2) : 1988]	2001-12-31
24.	IS 13288 (Pt. 5) : 2002 Series 1 freight containers—specification and testing Part 5 : Platform and platform- based containers (First Revision)	IS 13288 (Pt. 5) : 1992	2002-01-31
25.	IS 13342 : 2001— magnetic oxide cores (ETD—cores) inten- ded for use in power supply applications —dimensions (First Revision)	IS 13342 : 1992	2001-12-31
26.	IS 13656 : 2002— Internal combustion engine crankcase oils (Diesel and Gasoline)— Specification (First Revision)	IS 13656 : 1993	2002-02-28
27.	IS 14234 (Pt. 1) : 2002— Lubricants for air-cooled spark-ignition engines-specification part 1 : two-stroke spark-ignition engines (First Revision)	IS 14234 (Pt. 1) : 1996	2002-02-28
28.	IS 14881 : 2001— Method for blast vibration monitoring— guidelines	—	2001-12-31
29.	IS 14958 (Pt. 5) 2001— Financial transaction cards—security architecture of financial transaction sys- tem using integrated circuit cards Part 5 : Use of algorithms	—	2001-12-31
30.	IS 14958 (Pt. 7) : 2001— Financial transaction cards—security architec- ture of financial transaction systems using integrated circuit cards Part 7 : Key management	—	2001-12-31
31.	IS 14958 (Pt. 8) : 2001— Financial transaction cards—security architecture of financial transaction systems using integrated circuit cards Part 8 : General Principles and overview	—	2001-12-31
32.	IS 14985 : 2001— Methyl acrylate and ethyl acrylate—Code of safety	—	2001-12-31

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
33.	IS 15036 : 2001— Dependability management—application guide analysis techniques for dependability— guide on methodology	—	2001-12-31
34.	IS 15047 : 2002— Photography—electronic flash equipment— connectors to synchro-cord	—	2002-01-31
35.	IS 15049 : 2002— Photography—handheld cameras—flash connector dimensions	—	2002-01-31
36.	IS 15051 : 2001— High pressure fire hose delivery couplings—specification	—	2001-12-31
37.	IS 15056 (Pt. 1/Sec. 1) : 2002— Optical fibres Part 1 : Generic specification Section 1 : General	—	2002-01-31
38.	IS 15059 : 2001— Electroacoustics—Sound calibrators	—	2001-12-31
39.	IS 15061 : 2002— Automotive vehicles—Flamability requirements	—	2002-01-31
40.	IS 15065 : 2001— Carbide tips for use in integral stems for percussive drillings—specification	—	2001-12-31
41.	IS 15075 : 2001— Rotary and rotary impact masonry drill bits with hard metal tips—dimensions	—	2001-12-31
42.	IS 15085 : 2001— Decimeter cube (for use in schools)— specification	—	2001-12-31
43.	IS 15089 : 2002— Pineapple juice preserved exclusively by physical means—specification	—	2002-02-28
44.	IS 15094 : 2002— Classification and designation of approximate chip control zones for indexable inserts with chip breakers	—	2002-02-28
45.	IS 15111 (Pt. 2) : 2002— Self ballasted lamps for general lighting services Part 2 : Performance requirements	—	2002-02-28
46.	IS 15113 : 2002— Clinical electrical thermometers with maximum device—specification	—	2002-03-31

Copy of these standards are available for sale with the Bureau of Indian Standards, Manak Bhavan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002 and Regional Offices : New Delhi, Calcutta, Chandigarh, Chennai, Mumbai and also Branch Offices : Ahmedabad, Bangalore, Bhopal, Bhubaneswar, Coimbatore, Guwahati, Hyderabad, Jaipur, Kanpur, Nagpur, Patna, Pune, Thiruvanthapuram.

[No. CMD/13 : 2]

HARCHARAN SINGH, Addl. Director General

## श्रम मंत्रालय

## AWARD

नई दिल्ली, 26 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1737.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेंट्रल बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियो-जकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय नं. 1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 21 आंक 1995) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल-12012/268/94-आई.आर. (बी-II)]

श्री. गंगाधरन, अवसर सचिव

## MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 26th April, 2002

S.O. 1737.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 21 of 1995) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court No. 1, Dhanbad as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Central Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-12012/268/94-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

## ANNEXURE

## BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Sec. 10(1)-(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 21 of 1995

## PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management Central Bank of India, Patna

AND

Their Workmen

## PRESENT :

Shri S. H. Kazmi, Presiding Officer.

## APPEARANCES:

For the Employers : Shri Sanjay Kumar, Law Officer.

For the Workmen : Shri Sanjay Kumar Pandey, Advocate.

STATE : Bihar,

INDUSTRY : Banking.

Dated, the 15th April, 2002

1512 GI/2002—17.

By Order No. L-12012/268/94-I.R. (B-II) dated the 10th February, 1995 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal:

"Whether the action of the management of Central Bank of India, Patna in not absorbing Shri Devendra Pandey, Part-time Peon, as full-time permanent employee in sub-staff Cadre in terms of their circular dated 12-3-91 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

2. The case of the sponsoring union, in short, is that the concerned workman, Devendra Pandey, was appointed as safai karamchhari on casual basis in the year 1984, but despite having worked there for a long time he was not taken in regular service ignoring his claim for which he was entitled. It is said that thereafter the concerned workman raised the dispute before the A.L.C.(C) and due to his intervention the Bank management entered into an agreement and the service of the concerned workman was regularised w.e.f. December, 1988 with condition that no arrears would be paid. It has also been said that the concerned workman was appointed as part-time sub-staff and posted at Kehunia branch and since then he had rendered very efficient service to all concerned without any grievance from any quarter. Further, the case is that the concerned workman was again deprived of his claim when the management issued a circular No. CO : 90-91 : 522 of March, 1991 as after that he applied for being full time sub-staff but the management did not call him for interview nor any information was given to him for disqualification for the post and junior sub-staffs were taken into full time sub-staffs in the Bank from July, 1991. Several representations were made but to no avail. Ultimately, the concerned workman was forced to raise industrial dispute before the A.L.C.(C), Patna and since the conciliation failed there the dispute was finally referred to this Tribunal for final adjudication. It has been said that text of the aforesaid circular did not make any distinction as the nature of job and nomenclature is the same and all are treated equally or at par. Lastly it has been said that the concerned workman has been victimised and deprived of his legitimate claim of full time employee in sub-staff cadre and he deserves to get the job as full time staff of the Bank with retrospective effect.

3. On the other hand, the case of the management, in short, is that the concerned workman initially worked as a casual/daily worker on part-time basis at Mathia branch in a vacancy created by leave. As he could not continue in the Bank service in the aforesaid capacity, he raised an industrial dispute in the month of March, 1988 before the A.L.C.(C), Patna against alleged illegal termination of his service. It has been said that due to good offices of the then A.L.C.(C) the dispute was finally and amicably settled vide tripartite settlement dated 14-12-88 signed by the concerned workman, representative of the management and the A.L.C.(C) himself. It is said that as per the terms of settlement the concerned workman was absorbed by the management as permanent part time sub-staff

on 1/3 of full wages w.e.f. 16-12-1988. Accordingly the concerned workman was absorbed and he had been working in the aforesaid capacity at Kehunia branch of the Bank. Further, the case is that under the aforesaid tripartite settlement the concerned workman had voluntarily given an un-equivocal undertaking not to raise any dispute in respect of his past service and so if this reference is entertained that would prejudice sanctity of the said settlement by which the parties are bound under Sec. 18(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act. While denying claims and allegations raised in the written statement, it has further been said that the concerned workman is wrongly projecting himself as part time Safai Karamchari with an ulterior and malafide motive to get him considered under one of the circulars of the Bank though actually he does not deserve consideration thereunder. It is said that the factual position is that the concerned workman was permanent part time sub-staff (Peon) and not a Safai Karamchari. It is also said that since the concerned workman is not covered under the Bank's circular dated 12-3-1991 he could not be considered for absorption on permanent basis as the said circular applied to only those who were temporary employees. It has also been denied that the concerned workman was ever victimised or deprived of his legitimate claim.

4. During the pendency of the proceeding certain documents were marked as exhibits on waiving the formal proof and thereafter on behalf of both the sides it was submitted that they would not adduce oral evidence and so the reference be placed for hearing arguments on merit. Accordingly the case proceeded further.

5. It is evident from the above that it is the second round of the industrial dispute being raised by the concerned workman. Earlier in the year 1988 the dispute was raised with respect to regularisation of the service as the claim of the concerned workman was that he served as casual or temporary staff for a long time. When the said dispute was pending before the A.L.C.(C), Patna then during conciliation proceeding, a tripartite agreement or settlement was arrived at and it was agreed that the concerned workman would be absorbed as a permanent part time sub-staff subject to certain terms and conditions. The said dispute was finally disposed of in terms of said settlement and accordingly the concerned workman was absorbed by the management as permanent part-time sub-staff on 1/3 of the full wage w.e.f. 16-12-88. Subsequent to those developments, in the year 1993 the concerned workman again came forward raising the present industrial dispute before A.L.C.(C) and this time his claim was for making him a full time permanent employee in sub-staff Cadre with all consequential benefits and his claim was solely based upon a circular dated 12-3-1991, (Ext. M-3).

6. In view of the circumstances involved as also considering the past developments, it has to be seen whether at all the concerned workman can derive any benefit out of the said circular of the year 1991 or whether the said circular at all has any bearing or applicability as far as the case of the workman is concerned.

7. It is not denied that in the said tripartite agreement or the settlement one of the clauses incorporated was that the concerned workman shall not raise any further dispute in respect of his past service and shall

not have any claim in respect of arrear wages or back date seniority for his past service or any part thereof. But the argument from the side of the concerned workman is that in the said settlement there was also a clause which was the first one whereunder it is mentioned that after 14-12-88 any agreement which would be made would be applicable in the case of the concerned workman and would bind him as well. As such, the argument is that as in the year 1991 the said circular clearly provided absorption of all the casual and temporary sub-staff as permanent staff, it was indeed a subsequent development based on the agreement arrived at between the union and the management on the basis of which the concerned workman ought to have been made a permanent full time sub-staff but the same was denied to him resulting into the raising of the present dispute. This is also the grievance of the concerned workman that in terms of the aforesaid circular one Om Parkash Prasad working in Chakia branch, Dist. East Champaran who is much junior to the concerned workman was made as a permanent staff, but the concerned workman was discriminated and was made to suffer.

On the other hand, the management's stand is that once it was agreed upon that no dispute would be raised in respect of the past service, it was unfair on the part of the concerned workman to raise the present dispute in utter violation of the said agreement. Secondly, the submission is that the circular of the year 1991 is not at all applicable in the case of the concerned workman as the same was for absorption of casual or temporary worker whereas the concerned workman was a permanent part time sub-staff already availing the benefit of provident fund, gratuity etc. It is also the submission that there is yet another circular/letter dt. 4-10-93 (Ext. W-1) which directly covers the workman's case. In terms of that circular, as per submission, it is laid down that within a time frame all the permanent part time sub-staff would be absorbed as full time permanent sub-staff and for that part time permanent peons on 3/4 scale wages will be converted first followed by conversion of employees in 1/2 scale wages and finally conversion of employees in 1/3 scale wages and the basis will be inter-se seniority in the respective scale of wages. It is urged by the management's representative that out of 16 such staff including the concerned workman 8 of them have already been absorbed as permanent full time sub-staff and the rest 8 including the concerned workman would be made permanent in due course and most likely within one year from to-day. The submission as regards discrimination has also been challenged as according to the management, since the case of said Om Parkash Prasad was fully covered under the circular of the year 1991 he was made permanent.

8. Having gone through the contents of the said tripartite settlement dated 14-12-88 (Ext. M-1), the circular dated 12-3-91 (Ext. M-3) and circular/letter dated 4-10-93 (Ext. W-1) in the light of aforesaid submission being made on behalf of the respective sides, I may observe at the very outset that the submissions made on behalf of the management as regards the applicability of the circular of the year 1991 (Ext. M-3) in case of the concerned workman appear to have much force or substance. The said circular as it is evident, came into existence pursuant to the agree-

ment arrived at between the management and the union and in terms of that suitable norms were evolved for giving one time opportunity to all those who were engaged as temporary/casual employees and who had put in 240 days of temporary service. It was agreed that those would be absorbed as peons at any of the offices in the Region. It goes without saying that on the date when the said circular came into existence the concerned workman was not working as temporary or casual employee, rather in the year 1988 itself he had already been absorbed as a permanent part time sub-staff as per the settlement arrived at between both the sides and the memorandum of settlement contains certain clauses relating to the terms and conditions. Quite obviously the said circular does not bring within its purview the case of the concerned workman as well. Nowhere in the said circular there is any mention about the absorption of those also who were earlier made permanent part time sub-staff. Whereas considering the case of the concerned workman the circular/letter dated 4-10-93 of the management again based on agreement between the management and All India Central Bank Employees Federation seems to have direct applicability as it relates to conversion of permanent part time sub-staff (Peon) drawing scale wages of 1/3, 1/2 and 3/4 to full time sub-staff. On behalf of the workman the same has been filed to show that as per the said circular by the year 2000 those working as permanent part time sub-staff should have been made full time sub-staff which has not been done by the management resulting in compounding the suffering of the concerned workman. It appears to have been clearly laid down therein that the conversion of the existing permanent part time sub-staff (Peon) drawing scale wages (i.e. 1/3, 1/2 and 3/4) as full time sub-staff would be made to the extent mentioned therein of the sanctioned vacancy in sub-staff cadre in a year in the concerned Zone, subject to the certain terms and conditions, one of which mentions that part-time permanent peons on 3/4 scale wages will be converted first, followed by conversion of employees in 1/2 scale wages and conversion of employees in 1/3 scale wages and that the basis will be inter-se seniority in the respective scale wages. It is true that a time frame was also fixed for the said purpose but as per the management's representative, as noticed above, the same could not be observed strictly due to exigency involved him the process is already on and out of 16 such permanent part time sub-staff 8 have already been absorbed as full time permanent sub-staff and so far the rest are concerned including the concerned workman they would also be likewise absorbed in due course and most likely within one year. Though no any document has been filed in support of such submission, the same has not been controverted by the workman's representative during the arguments.

9. As far as the submission made with respect to the alleged discrimination shown is concerned the same also does not appear to have any substance as the said workman, namely, Om Prakash Prasad admittedly was not working as permanent part-time sub-staff prior to his appointment as full-time sub-staff upon the completion of 240 days working rather he was working as casual/temporary workman.

10. As noticed above, one of the submissions made on behalf of the concerned workman is that

as per clause (1) of the said Tri-partite Agreement (Ext. M-1) all the agreements arrived at after 14-12-88 would cover the case of the concerned workman also or would be applicable to him as well and so in that view when in the year 1991 a fresh development took place pursuant to the agreement between the management and the staff union which culminated in passing of the said circular, the same definitely covers the case of the concerned workman and the benefit of that has to be extended to him. Upon perusal of the said clause of the agreement I am afraid, I do not find anything of the nature as mentioned above on behalf of the concerned workman. That clause simply contains that the concerned workman shall be absorbed as permanent part-time sub-staff on 1/3 of the full wages payable as sub-staff according to Bi-partite settlement in force and amended from time to time and it does not speak that in case of any Bi-partite settlement in future the benefit of that would be extended to the concerned workman as well. Even if the view is taken otherwise then also in view of the finding arrived at above the said circular of the year 1991 does not have any applicability so far as the case of the concerned workman is concerned because of the reasons mentioned above.

11. In view of all the aforesaid I am constrained to hold that the claim of the concerned workman for the relief as prayed for on the basis of circular dated 12-3-91 has no legs to stand and cannot be said to be justified.

12. Despite having held as above, I feel tempted to notice a very disturbing feature or anomalous position that has emerged specially after coming into force of the aforesaid circular dated 12-3-91 (Ext. M-3). On the basis of the said circular the casual or temporary workmen were made full-time permanent sub-staff upon completion of 240 days of their working in one calendar year, but some of those who were senior to them and had completed 240 days of working much earlier and were simply made permanent part-time sub-staff in 1/3 scale wage, 1/2 or 3/4 scale wages, are still not made full-time permanent sub-staff like the concerned workman who is getting 1/3 of scale of wages only. Consequently, it is a high time that such anomaly is removed and one is not given the reason to raise any grievance as aforesaid. The second aspect which equally requires to be taken note of is that in terms of the circular/letter dated 4-10-93 (Ext. W-1) as per the agreement a time frame of 7 years for absorption as full-time permanent sub-staff (Peon) was fixed but as in the case of the concerned workman even after the expiry of the said time frame in the year 2000 itself, he is yet to be absorbed. Though some explanation in that regard has been furnished, as noticed above, but the same are very much un-convincing. When a time frame was fixed for the said purpose it should have been strictly followed and observed by the management and in case if there was some difficulty coming in the way then the effort should have been made to remove the same by adopting necessary and reasonable measures keeping in view the interest of the workmen concerned.

Anyway, considering the aforesaid aspects as well, I feel inclined to observe lastly that the management would do all that is needful to absorb the concerned

workman as full-time permanent sub-staff as early as possible, preferably within six months from the date of publication of this award.

**13. The award is, thus, rendered as hereunder:**

The action of the Central Bank of India, Patna, in not absorbing the concerned workman, Devendra Pandey, Part-time Peon as full-time permanent employee in sub-staff Cadre in terms of their circular dated 12-3-91 is legal and justified. However, in terms of observation made above as also in view of the circumstances of the present case the management would be required to absorb the concerned workman as full-time permanent sub-staff after observance of all the formalities which would be deemed necessary as early as possible preferably within six months from the date of publication of this award.

However, there would be no order as to cost.

S. H. KAZMI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 30 अप्रैल 2002

का.आ. 1738.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार यूनियन बैंक ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में श्रम न्यायालय अर्नाकुलम के पंचाट [संदर्भ संख्या 1/97(सी)] को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्र सरकार को 30-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल-12012/197/95-आई.आर. (बी-11)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th April, 2002

S.O. 1738.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award [Ref. No. 1/97(C)] of the Labour Court, Ernakulam as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Union Bank of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-4-2002.

[No. L-12012/197/95-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

**ANNEXURE**

**IN THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM IN THE LABOUR COURT, ERNAKULAM)**

(Tuesday, the 22nd day of January, 2002)

**PRESENT :**

Smt. N. Thulasi Bai, B.A.L.L.B., Presiding Officer.  
Industrial Dispute No. 1 of 1997 (Central)

**BETWEEN**

The Assistant General Manager, Union Bank of India, Trivandrum,

**AND**

The workman of the above concern represented by the President, Union Bank of India Employees Union, Cochin-16.

**REPRESENTATIONS :**

Sri B. Raghunandan,

Advocate,

Kochin 682011.

.. For Management.

Shri P. Anthalingam,

Avocate,

Cochin-24.

.. For Workman.

**AWARD**

This reference was made by the Central Government as per Order No. L-12012/197/95-IR(B-II) dated 26-11-1996. The dispute is between the management of Union Bank of India represented by the Assistant General Manager, Regional Office, M. G. Road, Trivandrum and its workman Sri Nirmal Das, Head Cashier Category C, Layola extension counter, Trivandrum represented by the President, Union Bank of India Employees Union (Kerala). The dispute referred is that

“Whether the action of the Union Bank of India, Trivandrum in imposing the punishment of reduction of wages by one stage for a period of 2 years and penalty of censure on Sri Nirmal Das, Head Cashier, Category-C Layola extension counter, Trivandrum is legal and justified? If not, to what relief, the workman is entitled?”

2. On receipt of notices issued from this court both the management and union appeared through their respective counsel.

3. Union filed a claim statement stating as follows :— Sri Nirmal Das, the Head Cashier in the Union Bank of India Attipara Branch was served with a show cause notice dated 8-6-1993 by which it was informed that the cheque dated 30-5-1993 for Rs. 10,000 drawn by Nirmal Das on his S.B. Account with the branch has been returned due to insufficient funds when presented by the Bank of Baroda through clearing and it is prejudicial to the interest of the bank. Accordingly Nirmal Das submitted his reply basing on which a warning was issued to the workman as per letter dated 6-7-1993. Thereafter another show cause notice was issued on 6-8-1993 in respect of issuance of cheque dated 14-6-1993 for an amount of Rs. 11,000 without maintaining sufficient balance in his account for which also Nirmal Das submitted his reply on 30-8-1993. But without considering his reply another show cause notice was issued on 10-9-1993 proposing a punishment of reduction of his pay by two stages and a punishment of censure of misconduct. Against the order Sri Nirmal Das preferred an appeal dated 28-12-1993 before the Appellate Authority. The Appellate Authority interfered with the punishment by reducing the penalty by one stage for a period of two years and penalty of censure. According to the union the alleged misconduct cannot be considered as an act prejudicial to the interest of the bank. It is also alleged that the punishment imposed is not proportional to the alleged act.

4. Management filed a written statement upholding their stand that the workman had committed misconduct as he issued cheques towards his account without maintaining sufficient fund to honour the cheques. According to the management in spite of warning at the first instance the workman repeated

the misconduct thereby the punishment imposed is proportionate to the act of misconduct.

5. After the filing of the written statement by the management the case was pending for evidence. Then the workman produced copy of judgment of the Honourable High Court in O.P. 14357 of 1995 H dated 5-1-2000. Thereafter the union and its counsel did not appear and the respondent's counsel was present. The learned counsel for the respondent submitted that as the workman himself had filed a writ O.P. as No. 14357/1995-H for the same relief, the union had no authority to raise an industrial dispute as done now. But since the union and its counsel are absent and has not adduced any evidence. I feel that the union is not interested in prosecuting the case. So it can be found that there exist no industrial dispute at present to be determined by this court.

In the result, an award is passed finding that there exists no industrial dispute at present to be adjudicated by this Court.

Dictated to the Confidential Assistant, transcribed and typed out by her, corrected by me and passed this the 22nd day of January, 2002.

N. THULASI BAI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 30 अप्रैल 2002

का.आ. 1739- औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरेण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार केन्द्र बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय बंगलोर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 49/2001) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं० एन-12011/61/2001-आई.आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th April, 2002

S.O. 1739.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 49/2001) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bangalore as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Canara Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-4-2002.

[No. L-12011/61/2001-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT  
"SHRAM SADAN"

III MAIN, III CROSS, II PHASE TUMKUR ROAD,  
YESHWANTHPUR, BANGALORE

Dated: 9th April, 2002

PRESENT:

Hon'ble Shri V. N. Kulkarni, B.Com., LLB.,  
Presiding Officer

CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

C. R. No. 49/2001

I Party

II Party

The General Secretary, The Managing Director,  
Canara Bank Workers Union, Canara Bank Head  
Office,

Anand Plaza,  
Anand Rao Circle  
Bangalore-560009.

No. 112, J. C. Road,  
Bangalore-560002

AWARD

1. The Central Government by exercising the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section 2A of the Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred this dispute vide order No. L-12011/61/2001-IR(B-II) dated 16th July, 2001 for adjudication on the following schedule:

SCHEDULE

"Whether the discontinuation of check off facility in respect of the Canara Bank Workers Union by the management of Canara Bank is justified? If not, what relief the disputant Union is entitled to?"

2. The General Secretary of the first party union is present. The Advocate and the Officer of the Second Party bank are present. They have filed application to take up this case today which is on 16th April, 2002. For the reasons stated in the application the case is taken up today.

3. They have filed joint memo and they have submitted that they have no further dispute. The reference is closed and disposed off in terms of joint memo filed by the parties.

(Dictated to PA transcribed by her corrected and signed by me on 9th April, 2002)

V. N. KULKARNI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 30 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1740- औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरेण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार विजया बैंक के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय बंगलोर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 207/97) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं० एन-12012/427/95-आई.आर. (बी-II)]

सी. गंगाधरण, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th April, 2002

S.O. 1740.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 207/97) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bangalore as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Vijaya Bank and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-4-2002.

[No. L-12012/427/95-IR (B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CUM LABOUR COURT  
"SHRAM SADAN"

III MAIN, III CROSS, II PHASE, TUMKUR ROAD, YESHWANTHPUR, BANGALORE

Dated: 11th April, 2002

PRESENT:

Hon'ble Shri V. N. Kulkarni, B. Com. LLB.,  
Presiding Officer

CGIT-CUM-LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

C. R. No. 207/97

#### I PARTY

#### II PARTY

Shri G. Chandrasekhkar, The Chairman and Managing  
No. 544, 9th Main Road, Director, Vijaya Bank,  
II Block, Rajajinagar, Head Office, No. 41/2,  
Bangalore-560010 M. G. Road,  
Advocate—A. J. Srinivasan Bangalore-560001  
Advocate—B. C. Prabhakar.

### AWARD

1. The Central Government by exercising the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section 2A of the Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred this dispute vide order No. L-12012/427/95/IR(B-II) dated 4th March, 1997 for adjudication on the following schedule:

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of Vijaya Bank in terminating the services of Shri G. Chandra Shekar, Clerk w.e.f. 1-2-90 is justified and legal? If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?"

2. The first party was working with the management. He was terminated from services and therefore industrial dispute is raised.

3. Parties appeared and filed Claim Statement and Counter respectively.

4. The case of the 1st party in brief is as follows:—

5. It is the case of the first party that he joined the services of the 2nd Party management w.e.f. 2nd

September, 1974 as Probationary Clerk and he was initially appointed on temporary basis and thereafter he was confirmed as a Clerk in the year 1975 since then he is discharging his duties diligently and he had an excellent record of service throughout.

6. It is the further case of the first party that from 8-9-1989, the 1st Party workman was not well and he had fallen sick and he could not attend to his duties on account of his sickness and first party workman was under continuous medical treatment at Bowring Hospital, Bangalore. The doctors who treated him, advised him take rest up to 18th March, 1990. The first party workman brought the fact of his sickness and inability to attend duties to the notice of the Branch Manager, K. G. Road Branch where he was then working through his colleagues. The Second Party management totally ignored the information given by the first party workman regarding sickness and also his inability to attend duties. The General Manager of Bangalore South Divisional Office issued a letter dated 9th December, 1989 to the first party workman alleging that first party workman is of unauthorized absence from 8-9-1989 and called him to submit his explanation as to why action should not be taken against him. It was mentioned in the notice that if the first party workman fails to report for duty, within 30 days from the date of receipt of notice, it will be deemed that the first party workman has voluntarily retired from service of the Bank and that his name would be struck off from the muster rolls of the Bank. In response to this notice, the first party workman submitted a letter dated 22nd December, 1989 to the second party management explaining that he is not well and that he is under medical treatment and the Doctor advised him not to attend the office until 18-3-1990 and accordingly his absence be treated as sick leave. This reply was supported by the certificate issued by the Assistant Surgeon, Bowring and Lady Curson Hospital, Bangalore.

7. It is the further case of the workman that the management ignored the representation given by him and passed orders on 1st February, 1990 striking of the name of the first party workman from the muster rolls of the Bank with retrospective effect from 9th January, 1990. The first party workman on being declared fit by the Doctor treating him represented to the second party management on 19th March, 1990 and prayed that the Order dated 1st February, 1990 be withdrawn and he may be permitted to join duties, for which the second party management did not reply. Again on 12-5-1990 the first party workman submitted an appeal to the Chairman and Managing Director of the Bank and also this was rejected on the ground that there is no provision for an appeal. The action of the management is not correct. No enquiry was held before striking of the name. The action of the management amounts to illegal termination. Termination is illegal and it amounts to retrenchment as defined under Section 2(oo) of the Industrial Dispute Act. The provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Dispute Act have not been complied with.

8. It is his further case that there are two clauses to Section 25F i.e. Clause-A & B. As per clause A, one month notice should be given or one month's



wages be in lieu of notice and as per Clause B, the Second Party ought to have paid 15 days of average wages for every calculated year of service. In the instant case, nothing has been done and retrenchment itself is illegal. First party for these reasons and for some other reasons has prayed to reject the reference.

9. Management has filed very lengthy Counter Statement.

10. The case of the management in brief is as follows:—

11. The fact of appointment and joining is not denied.

12. It is the further case of the management that first party was absent from 8th September 1989 and it was an unauthorized absence and the absence exceeded 90 days. Notice dated 9th December, 1989 was sent to the last known address available on the records of the Second Party by RPAD advising him to report for duty within 30 days. It was also stated that if he fails to report for duty or to submit satisfactory explanation within the time specified, it would be deemed that he has voluntarily retired from the services of the Bank on the expiry of the notice period. The notice was properly served. A letter was sent to him on 1st February 1990 and the same was received by the workman. The provisions of Clause 17 of the Bipartite Settlement are stated in detail in para 7 of the Counter. The workman failed to report for duty within 30 days. The first party workman did not inform the bank about his intention to report for duty during notice period. Therefore, the action of the management is correct. He was an habitual absentee. He was charged, sheeted and punished on several occasions for unauthorized absence. Details are given in para 11(a)(b)&(c).

13. It is the further case of the management that there is no dispute between the first party and the management under Section 2(s) of the ID Act. It is not an Industrial Dispute as required under law. The contract of the employment of the workman with the bank came to an end automatically due to the lapses on his part as per the provisions of service conditions. The definitions of workman is also given in detail. Second Party Management for these reasons and for some other reasons has prayed to reject the reference.

14. It is seen from the records that on behalf of the management MW1, Shri B. Sanjeeva Shetty was examined. He has given detailed evidence. He said that the workman remained absent from 8-9-1989 and he was absent for more than 90 days. He has not taken any leave from the bank to remain absent or he has intimated the reasons for his absence. He has given evidence about the provisions of Clause 17 of 5th Bipartite Settlement. He has said notice was issued. Ex. M-1 is the copy of the notice which was sent under registered post. This notice was served on the workman. The postal department has issued a letter confirming the service of notice as per Ex. M-2. Ex. M-3 is the letter addressed to the Postal authorities. First party has not reported to duty even after service of notice. His absence was

treated as voluntary retirement and sent the information vide order dated 1-2-1990 as per Ex. M-4 and the order has been served on the first Party as per acknowledgement Ex. M-4(a). He has given evidence about the charge sheet issued to the workman for his unauthorized absence. He is cross examined by the workman but nothing is elicited from his cross examination. It appears that even after giving number of adjournments first party failed to examine himself and the case was closed. My learned predecessor passed award dated 28th June 1999.

15. The workman preferred writ petitions before the High Court of Karnataka and Writ Petition No. 5879 of 2000 and the same was allowed and the matter is remanded to this Tribunal to dispose off in accordance with the direction given by the High Court of Karnataka.

16. After remand workman got examined himself. He has given evidence saying that he worked continuously with the Bank. Ex. W1 is the letter showing that he was the President of the Union. He has said that he was office bearer of Kandashugh Vijaya Bank. He was continuously agitating for the welfare of the employees. Ex. W3 to W6 are the paper cuttings. He has taken permission from the bank for the above activities. On 8th September, 1989 he was not well and was suffering from Jaundice. He took treatment in Bowring Hospital. He wrote letter regarding his illness and absence. Management sent him letter regarding his illness and absence. Management sent him letter dated 9-12-1989 and he replied it by letter dated 22-12-1989. He has sent copies of the medical certificate to the second party. Ex. W11 is the medical certificate. Ex. W-12 is also the medical certificate. He has not left work. He has no other source of income. He was in need of job. The workman in his cross examination has stated that service condition for all purpose are governed by Bipartite Settlement. He says in his cross examination that he used to remain absent for some days but he has given explanation for his absence. He admits that earlier charge sheet was given to him and some minor punishments were awarded. He says there was victimization. In the next sentence he has said that he has not written any letter to the management saying that there is victimization and harassment due to his social activities. He admits in his cross examination that it is true that from 8-9-1989 he did not report duty. He has also said that on account of his absence management sent Ex. M1 to him and he received it. He also received Ex. M4. He clearly states in his cross examination that he did not report bank after receiving Ex. M1. He says that he was not well. He says that Ex. M6 was not received by him. He says he does not know whether it was given to his father. He has denied this fact.

17. He admits in his cross examination that he acknowledged of having received Ex. W9 by the Bank is produced by him.

18. Before proceeding further, I may say here that this admission itself is sufficient to say that the workman is not speaking the truth. He says that he was not given any representations stating that termination benefits were not given to him. He says that he has not given any letter after the receipt of notice that

he is not well. He says that letter is filed. He says it was given on 22nd December 1989. He says that there is no acknowledgement of Second Party for the said letter.

19. After the close of the evidence by the workman I have heard both sides in detail. I have considered the written arguments filed by the first party. I have read the decision relied by the parties.

20. In the instant case it is admitted by the workman that he was absent for 90 days. He says that he was not well. He further says that he was suffering from Jaundice and he was taking treatment.

21. At the very outset I may say here that the workman has not filed any satisfactory document to show that he was not well continuously and he was unable to inform this to the management. He has produced Medical Certificates, Ex. W11 and Ex. W12. But on perusing this certificate it is not sufficient to say that at least after the receipt of notice from the bank which is admitted by the Bank he should have sent representation within the period of notice that he has no intention to join duty and he is not well and he is unable to discharge duties.

22. Admittedly he was not an inpatient in any hospital. Therefore it is difficult to believe the case of the workman that on account of his illness he remained absent. The workman has not denied the provisions of Bipartite Settlement particularly Clause-17.

23. The learned counsel appearing for the workman has contended that Ex. M-1, Notice of unauthorized absence dated 9-12-1989 was given and according to this notice the management has said that the workman has failed to report for duty within the stipulated period, it will be deemed that he was voluntarily retired from the services of the bank on the expiry of the said period and his name will be struck off from the Muster Roll of the Bank.

24. It was submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the workman that the final order issued by the management is not correct because the final order is dated 9-12-1989. There is one letter from the workman to the management dated 19th March 1990 but there is nothing on record to show that this letter was received by the Bank.

25. I have already said that the reason given by the workman that he was sick and was suffering from Jaundice is not properly proved and he was not inpatient in any hospital at any time. He admits that he has received letter dated 9-12-1989 and reply he has posted by ordinary post.

26. It is very difficult to believe him because in this letter he has stated that he sent reply by ordinary post but in the evidence he has said that he sent reply under certificate of posting. He is not speaking the truth. The Evidence of WW1 is contradictory. Ex. W9 is dated 22nd December, 1989. Therefore there is no merit in the submissions made by the workman that the notice is not properly served and the final order is not correct and the management is not justified in invoking the provisions of Clause 17 of the Bipartite Settlement.

27. It is very difficult to believe the case of the workman that he has sent Ex. W9 dated 22nd December, 1989. There is no acknowledgement from the bank for having received this letter.

28. I have already said that he has developed story saying that this Ex. W9 was sent under certificate of posting. But in the letter which I have already referred, the workman has said that it was sent by ordinary post. It is difficult to believe all this. Ex. W-10 is the certificate of posting and the contention of the workman at this stage is a developed version and the same cannot be believed at all.

29. Absolutely there is no record to believe that the workman has sent reply dated 22nd December 1989 and it was received by the bank. In view of this, the points raised in the Written Arguments are not helpful to the workman at all. The statement by the workman that though Ex. M-6 is in response to Ex. M5, there is no denial by the management regarding reply submitted by the first party in response to notice dated 9th December 1989, it is difficult to believe the arguments that the management received reply dated 22nd December 1989. I have read the decisions relied by the workman. The workman has relied the following decisions :—

- (1) SLPC No. 1997/1998 dated 6th Feb. 1998
- (2) 1982 (1) SC 117
- (3) 1998 (1) LLJ P 383
- (4) 1999 (1) LLJ P 89&112.

30. The facts of the case of SLPC No. 1079/1998 are quite different from the facts of case on hand. Again the facts of the case reported in 1982(1) SC 117 are quite different from the facts of the case on hand. The facts of the case relied by the workman in the decision reported in 1998(1)KKJ P. 383 are quite different from the facts of the case on hand. It is in respect of bank wages. The facts of the case reported in 1999(1)LLJ Page 89 and 112 are quite different from the facts of case on hand.

31. In the instant case, in fact there is no retrenchment or termination. The learned counsel appearing for the management has relied AIR 2000 SC-2198.

32. I have read the above decision carefully. In the case of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India referred earlier, bank served notices on delinquent, calling upon him to report for duty. In the instant case also it is an admitted fact that the bank issued notices to the workman to report for duty. It is held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India that failure of delinquent to respond to notice at all Bank under Bipartite Settlement, treated him to have voluntarily retired from service. Here also it is clear from the records that after receipt of notice from the Bank the workman neither reported for duty within the prescribed time nor replied representation during the period of notice. However, he tried to establish that he had replied to the notice within time but that aspect is not proved by the workman and the same cannot be believed at all. There is no retrenchment at all. Clause 17 is included in the Bipartite Settlement after this inclusion was accepted by the management and in various trade unions of several banks. Therefore it amounts to a settlement under Section 18 of the

Industrial Disputes Act and therefore the object of Clause 17 are binding on both parties. Whenever a person violates clause 17, his services come to an end automatically. The only thing which has to be seen before treating as voluntarily retired, to adhere the principles of natural justice, a notice is required to be issued and the intended reply shall be scrutinized. In this case issue of notice is not denied. I have already said the story put forth by the workman that he sent the reply within the period is not correct and therefore that question does not arise at all.

33. In the instant case the first party neither made any attempt to report for duty nor sent any explanation for his unauthorized absence within time. Therefore the term "retrenchment" is not applicable. After remand the workman gave detailed evidence but from his evidence itself it is difficult to believe that he sent representation within the time.

34. On the other hand, if we go through the evidence of 1st Party workman it gives an indication that he is not speaking the Truth. It is also clear from the records that he is engaged in many other activities, such as doing association work and acting in movies etc. Man may speak lie but the circumstances will not speak lie. From the records it shows that the workman is not interested in the job of the Bank and therefore, he remained absent. There is also delay in raising this dispute. There is sufficient material before us to say that on previous occasions he remained absent and some minor punishments were imposed on him. All this would go to show that he is not interested in the services of the Bank.

35. Considering all this I am of the opinion that there is no merit in this reference. Accordingly I proceed to pass the following Order :

#### ORDER

The reference is rejected

(Dictated to FA transcribed by her corrected and signed by me on 11th April 2002).

V. N. KULKARNI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली 30 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1741.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसर्जन में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एल.आई.सी. ऑफ इंडिया के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निश्चित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय बंगलूर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 118/99) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-4-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल 17012/14/99 आई.आर. (बी II)]

सी. गंगाधरन, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 30th April, 2002

S.O. 1741.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No.

1512 GI/2002—18.

118/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bangalore as shown in the annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of LIC of India and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-4-2002.

[No. L-17012/14/99-IR(B-II)]

C. GANGADHARAN, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, "SHRAM SADAN", III MAIN, III CROSS, II PHASE, TUMKUR ROAD, YESHWANTHPUR, BANGALORE

Dated, 4th April, 2002

#### PRESENT :

Hon'ble Shri V. N. Kulkarni, B.Com., LLB.,  
Presiding Officer,  
CGIT-cum-Labour Court,  
Bangalore.

C. R. No. 118/99

#### I PARTY

Shri A. Raja,  
Akash Enterprises,  
C/o A. Babu,  
No. 37, 10th Main, 5th Block,  
Jayanagar,  
Bangalore-560041.

#### II PARTY

The Managing Director,  
LIC of India,  
Divisional Office,  
Jeevan Prakash,  
P.B. No. 6694, J. C. Road,  
Bangalore-560002.

#### AWARD

1. The Central Government by exercising the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section 2A of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred this dispute vide Order No. L-17012/14/99/IR(B-II) dated 21st September, 1999 for adjudication on the following schedule :

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the removal of Shri A. Raja from service by the management of LIC of India is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. 1st Party was working with the management. He was removed from the service so Industrial Dispute is raised. Notices were issued to parties.

3. It is seen from the Order sheet that the first party never attended this Tribunal. It appears that 1st Party Workman is not interested in this dispute. Therefore following order is passed.

## ORDER

The reference is rejected.

(Dictated to PA transcribed by her corrected and signed by me on 4th April, 2002.)

V. N. KULKARNI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 2 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1742.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेट बैंक ऑफ पटियाला के प्रबंधन के संबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण-कम-लेबर कोर्ट चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या आई.डी. 111/97) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 1-5-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एन.-12012/29/96-आई.आर. (बी. I)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 2nd May, 2002

S.O. 1742.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. I.D. 111 of 1997) of the Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Chandigarh as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of State Bank of Patiala and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 1-5-2002.

[No. L-12012/29/96-IR(B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI S. M. GOEL, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, CHANDIGARH

Case No. ID 111 of 1997

Sh. Shiv Charan Dass  
S/o Late Sh. Tej Ram,  
R/o 7043, Mohalla Guru Nanak Pura,  
Near Gurudwara, Bhatinda (Pb.). ... Applicant.

Vs.

General Manager,  
State Bank of Patiala,  
The Mall, Patiala. ... Respondent.

## REPRESENTATIVES :

For the workman : Shri Tribhawan Singla.

For the management : Shri N. K. Zakhmi.

## AWARD

Dated : 23-4-2002

The Central Government, Ministry of Labour vide Notification No. L-12012/29/96/I.R. (B.1) dated 25th March, 1997 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

“Whether the action of the management of State Bank of Patiala in terminating the services of Shri Shiv Charan Dass S/o Late Shri Tej Ram, Godown Chowkidar is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the concerned workman is entitled and from what date?”

2. In the claim statement the workman has pleaded that he worked with the management from 27-10-87 for 89 days on the salary of Rs. 455 per month. His services were terminated on 25-1-1988 without payment of any retrenchment compensation, notice or notice pay even though the nature of the job of the workman was of permanent nature. Junior to the applicant were retained in service. And even as per the bank policy the persons whose services were terminated on or after 1-1-1981 were called for interview but the workman was never called for interview. Thus, the management has violated the provisions of Section 25-F and H of the I.D. Act, 1947. So he prayed for his reinstatement in service with full back wages.

3. In written statement the management has admitted that the workman was appointed for 89 days as per the terms and conditions of his appointment letter and it was clearly mentioned in the appointment letter that his tenure of employment would not be renewed after the expiry of the term of 89 days. The applicant had worked only for 88 days w.e.f. 30-10-1987 to 25-1-1988. He has not completed 240 days of service and his term of appointment was specific and covered under Sec. 2(oo) (bb) of the I.D. Act, 1947 and he is not entitled to any relief. The management prayed for the rejection of the reference.

4. In evidence both the parties filed their respective affidavits and cross-examined the witness of the parties. The management also submitted appointment letter as Ex. M2 in evidence.

5. I have gone through the evidence on record and heard the counsels for the parties. The facts of the case are not disputed. It is admitted that the workman was appointed for 89 days vide letter-Ex. M2 and he worked only for 88 days with the bank as godown chowkidar w.e.f. 30-10-1987 to 25-1-1988. I have gone through the appointment letter Ex. M2. It is clearly mentioned in the appointment letter that the applicant has been appointed as a godown chowkidar for 89 days and term of employment will not be renewed after the expiry of the term for 89 days. It is abundantly clear that his appointment was for a specific period and such type of appointment are not covered under the term of ‘retrenchment’. These are covered under the exclusion clause i.e. 2(oo)(bb) of the I.D. Act, 1947 and thus the term of appointment of the workman came to an end after the expiry of the specified period and the management has not terminated his services. Regarding the violation of Section 25-H of the I.D. Act, 1947, no name has been given

by the workman who has been appointed or his junior has been retained. Thus the management has not violated any provisions of the I.D. Act, 1947.

6. In view of the above discussion, I am of the considered opinion that there is no merit in the present reference and the workman is not entitled to any relief. Reference is answered accordingly. Central Government be informed.

Chandigarh,  
23-4-2002

S. M. GOEL, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1743 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार दी लक्ष्मी विलास बैंक लिमिटेड के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण कम-लेबर कोर्ट बंगलूर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या सी.आर. 223/97) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26/04/2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं.एल.-12012/279/95-आई.आर. (बी. I)]  
अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1743.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref No. C.R. 223/97) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Bangalore as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of The Lakshmi Vilas Bank Ltd. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-4-2002.

[No. L-12012/279/95-IR(B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer  
ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT  
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR  
COURT, "SHRAM SADAN", III MAIN, III  
CROSS, II PHASE, TUMKUR ROAD,  
YESHWANTHPUR, BANGALORE

Dated : 15th April, 2002

PRESENT :

Hon'ble Shri V. N. Kulkarni, B.Com. LLB.,  
Presiding Officer,  
CGIT-Cum-Labour Court,  
Bangalore.

C. R. No. 223/97

## I PARTY

Shri C. Balakrishna,  
No. 6/U, 17th Cross,  
3rd Main, K. P. Agrahara.  
Magadi Road,  
Bangalore-23.

## II PARTY

The Chief Manager (IR),  
Personnel Department,  
The Lakshmi Vilas Bank Ltd.,  
Salem Road,  
Kathapura,  
Karur-639006.

## AWARD

The Central Government by exercising the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section 2A of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred this dispute vide Order No. L-12012/279/95/IR(B-I) dated 25th April, 1997 for adjudication on the following schedule :

## SCHEDULE

"Whether the workman Shri C. Balakrishna is justified in his allegation that the resignation has been given under coercion and as such the management is not justified in accepting the same? If so, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. The 1st Party was working as Peon with the management.

3. First Party according to the management gave resignation but the workman has raised the Industrial Dispute and the same is referred.

4. Parties appeared and filed Claim Statement and Counter respectively.

5. The case of the 1st Party in brief is as follows:—

6. It is the case of the 1st Party Workman that he was appointed by the management as Peon by order dated 16th February, 1991 and reported to the duties with effect from 18th February, 1991 at Ulsoor Branch. Thereafter, in appreciation of the best services of the 1st Party workman, the 2nd Party management has confirmed him in the services vide order dated 29th August, 1991 with effect from 13th August, 1991. He was drawing salary of Rs. 2,200 per month.

7. It is his further case that on 24th April, 1995 he reported for duty as usual by 8.30 AM. Mr. R. Kamlakara and Mr. M. R. Swamynathan, Accountant who were in the bank informed the workman that he should accept the misconduct. In fact, 1st Party workman has never committed theft of Rs. 10,000 pertaining to the Canara Bank and never drawn the said amount from Canara Bank, K. G. Nagar Branch. But the Manager and the Accountant have forced him to write a letter in Kannada as per their dictation, by use of force, undue influence, coercion and under the threat of termination etc. The action of the Manager and Accountant was mala fide. In fact the Manager and the Accountant were involved in cheating the bank.

Workman had no other alternative but yield to their pressure. In fact the Accountant and the Manager both have obtained the said letter from the 1st Party workman by threatening him. The Manager and the Accountant advised the workman to go on leave upto 4th May, 1995 and to report to duties on 5-5-1995. The Manager refused employment to him. On 12th May, 1995 workman has reported to the duties but he has been served with the letter dated 10th May, 1995 signed by the 2nd Party Management. It was a surprise and shocking to read the contents of the said letter. The first party is the only earning member of his family and he has no other gainful employment to feed himself and his family members. The action of the management is not correct. He has never submitted his resignation letter and the same cannot be acted upon. The action of the management amounts to retrenchment under Section 2(oo) of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947. The management has not complied the provisions of Section 25F Clauses (a) and (b) of the Industrial Dispute Act. The workman for these reasons and for some other reasons has prayed to pass award in his favour.

8. Workman got issued legal notice to the management but no action was taken.

9. Against this the case of the management in brief is as follows :

10. The main contention of the management is that this is a simple case of resignation which has been submitted by the first party and accepted by the Bank and therefore, the question of termination etc. as alleged by the workman does not arise at all and the Claim is not maintainable.

11. It is the further case of the management that even before the Assistant Labour Commissioner (C), Bangalore, the Bank had clearly contended that the First Party tendered his resignation on 24th April, 1995 and in the absence of any subsequent intimation to the contrary from the first party till 10th May, 1995, the resignation was accepted by the bank and he was relieved from the services of the Bank on 10th May, 1995.

12. It is the further case of the management that Section 2A of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 is not applicable at all. The first party was appointed by the Bank as a Probationary Peon vide its order dated 16th February, 1991 and accordingly he reported for duty at Ulsoor Branch on 18th February, 1991. He was confirmed in the services of the Bank on 18th August, 1991.

13. It is the further case of the management that the first party was functioning as Peon at the Ulsoor Branch, on 17-4-1995 Shri N. S. Nagaiah, one of the customer of the Branch tendered a cheque No. 5692006 dated 15-4-1995 for Rs. 10,000 drawn on Canara Bank, K. G. Nagar Branch for collection in his SB Account No. 1676. The cheque so tendered was stealthily removed by the first party along with the challan from the clip. Thereafter he did not attend the office from 19-4-1995 to 21-4-1995. On 21-4-1995 the customer informed the branch about the non-credit of the cheque amount in his account. Again on 22-4-1995 the customer informed that the payment

for the cheque was made by the Canara Bank. Then on obtaining the Xerox copy of the paid instrument from the Canara Bank and referring the same to the Handwriting Expert it was found that the signature "Nagaiah" on the back of the cheque was similar to the handwriting of Shri C. Balakrishna. The first party came to the branch on 24-4-95 and on ascertaining from him as to the above payment of the cheque, he admitted having stolen the cheque from the custody of the Accountant and encashed by signing as "Nagaiah" from Canara Bank vide letter dated 24-4-95 and also tendered his resignation vide said letter. Thereafter as agreed by him he repaid the amount by remitting a sum of Rs. 3000 on 24-4-95 and Rs. 7000 on 25-4-95. As such the letter was given by him on his own and the amounts were repaid by him on his free volition. Under no circumstance he was coerced as alleged by the first party. Had it been so, the first party would have given some representation to the bank either immediately after 24-4-95 or at least before 10-5-95. In the absence of any such contrary intimation from the first party the bank accepted his resignation as a matter of course. Therefore the contention of the first party that there was an element of coercion/dress by the Manager and Accountant is nothing but an after thought. Hence the averments made by the first party are totally denied.

14. I have already said that the main contention of the management is that the first party workman is resigned on behalf of his own. Therefore, the dispute is not maintainable. Legal notice was promptly replied to the bank. Management for these reasons has prayed to reject the reference.

15. It is seen from the records that management examined one witness Shri R. Kamalasekaran as MW1 and his evidence is that on 17th April, 1995 one of the customer, Mr. Nagaya has deposited clearing cheque in his Savings Account No. 1676 and that day they were working continuously. Because there was holidays. There was lot of pressure of work in all the branches. This cheque was acknowledged by one of the officer in the counter and copy was given to the customer. First party taking benefit of pressure of work, he took cheque of Rs. 10,000 from the Branch before it was sealed and took for clearing. If it was sealed other banks would not have cleared.

16. His further evidence is that on 21-4-95 customer asked that he is not finding entry in his pass book. They searched for cheque but they could not locate and challan was also not found. He asked the customer to request the cheque issuer to make slips payment and get duplicate. On 22nd April, 1995 the customer called and informed him that it was encashed and cash payment was made. They acted promptly and made enquiries and sent the signatures to the handwriting expert. The report of the Handwriting Expert is that the signature on the back side was similar to the first party. On 24-4-1995 first party came to office and when asked about the case he told that he has taken money by fraudulently signing on the back M-3 is the letter accepting the same. He has admitted that he has committed the fraud. He further said that he has tendered resignation.

17. MW1 in his cross examination has stated that when he was working at Ulsoor Branch one Mr. Swaminath was the Accountant. He has not issued

any notice to the first party after he came to know about the allegation about him.

18. Against this, workman got examined himself as WW1 and has said that on 24th April, 1995 as usual he went to Bank for work. The Manager and the Accountant called him and informed him that a cheque is lost and he must accept the responsibility of loss otherwise he will be removed from work, in fact he has not committed a theft for Rs. 10,000. He refused to accept the responsibility, but the Accountant and the Manager forced him to write a letter in Kannada as per their dictation. They also told him that if he does not accept the responsibility, he will be removed from the job and therefore when he executed the letter, he was sent on leave upto 5th May, 1995 and thereafter when he reported for duty the Manager refused the job and he was discharged subsequently and the letter was served on him on 12th May, 1995. He was not knowing the content of the letter. He went to the advocate and gave notice. No charge sheet was given and no enquiry was conducted. In the cross examination also this workman has stated that the officers got the letters written from him. He says that he does not know Ex. M3 and Ex. M4 are his resignation letters.

19. I have carefully perused all the documents and I have heard the arguments of both sides. The main contention of the management is that in the instant case the workman has given Ex. M3 and Ex. M4 accepting guilt and therefore, it is clear that the workman has resigned and there is no merit in this dispute.

20. At the very outset I may say here that it is not so easy to swallow the contention put forth by the management. We will have to independently scrutinize the evidence and the material before us so as to find out the truth. Ex. M-3 is the letter dated 24-4-1995. If we carefully peruse Ex. M3 it is absolutely clear that it is not signed by the workman. To the naked eye it seems that some one got written this letter from the workman and this cannot be made only ground to believe the story put forth by the management that the workman has accepted the guilt and has resigned from the post because after writing 9 lines in Ex. M-3 there is a gap of four fingers and there is writing saying that he has resigned because he has committed mistake. There are some corrections. It is very difficult to believe that the workman admitted his guilt and has resigned from the post. Surprisingly this letter is not signed by the 1st Party.

21. Let us take the evidence of MW1 in this regard. He says that on 24th April, 1995 first party came to office and when he asked about this case he told that he has taken the amount by fraudulently signing on the back and Ex. M3 is the letter accepting that he has committed the mistake and tendered resignation. This MW1 who is a responsible officer of the bank has also not said that in his presence workman wrote Ex. M3 and signed Ex. M3. MW1 says in his cross examination that he has not issued any notice to the first party after he came to know about the allegations made against him. He volunteered and said that it was not the duty of the Branch. He also says that he has not given any report against the first party to the Higher Officer. If we consider his cross-examination and Ex. M3; a paper which I already discussed, it is difficult to believe the story put forth by the

Manager that the first party admitted his guilt and tendered resignation. According to MW1, on 21-4-95 customer asked that he is not finding entry in his pass book and the official searched for the cheque but he could not trace it and challan was also not found. They asked the customer to request the cheque issuer to make stop payment and get duplicate one. Absolutely there is no material filed by the management so as to say, what step was taken on 21-4-1995 to stop payment. But the evidence of the manager is that on 22-4-95 the cashier called and informed him that it was encashed. All this cannot be believed as it is. The Manager should have given notice and report against the first party immediately when he came to know about this incident. He has not taken any action in this regard.

22. Cheque might have been sent to the Finger Print Expert but that itself is not sufficient to believe the case of the management. MW1 admits in his cross examination that it is true that the management has not issued any charge sheet and legally refused the employment. There is no proper explanation from the management as to why charge sheet was not issued and enquiry was not conducted. They only say of the management is that the workman admitted his misconduct and tendered his resignation. I have said all this because Ex. M3 cannot be believed at all for number of reasons which I have already discussed. Be that it may be, this letter was not signed by the workman. The fact that afterwards some amount was deposited by the workman is not much relevant because Ex. M3 itself cannot be believed.

23. Let us see Ex. M4. This is also dated 24th April, 1995. One more circumstance as alleged by the management is that subsequently the workman has repaid Rs. 10,000. Firstly, when the workman is resigned as contended by the management that he would not have deposited the amount on that date in normal course and he would have run away because he had resigned as contended by the management. He had no fear of the management if really he had resigned but according to the admitted facts amount was repaid by the workman. If at all this story as put forth by the management is true at least, a criminal case could have been lodged against the workman because we do not have any material before us to show that as to when the amount was repaid by the workman. As admitted by the management that it was a public money involved and it was the duty of the officer of the bank immediately to lodge a police complaint. The entire story seems to be unnatural and truth has been suppressed. It appears that when the officers found that Ex. M3 is not signed by the workman, again they asked the workman to give Ex. M4. In Ex. M4 date can be read as 24th May, 1995 as well as 22nd May, 1995. All the circumstances are doubtful and it is difficult to believe that these two documents viz. Ex. M3 and Ex. M4 to say that the action of the management is justified.

24. On the basis of the evidence of WW1 it seems to be natural and innocent. Throughout he has been contending that by force, threat and coercion the officers have taken these two letters and he has not voluntarily retired and accepted the mistake. In the given circumstances that seems to be correct. Of course it is very difficult to prove threat, force, coer-



cion etc. and it is very easy to allege these things but difficult to prove the same. In this case all that is not proved.

25. It is well settled law that the standard of evidence which is required in criminal cases is not is required in a proceedings like this. Workman is cross examined and nothing much is made out from his cross examination to believe the case of the management.

26. I have given my best consideration to the material before me and I am of the opinion that the material before me is not clear and cogent to prove the story put forth by the management that the workman has resigned from job. I have already said that the silence of management in not lodging police complaint immediately after the incident, which came to their notice and take step to stop payment is fatal. All this would go to show that the case put forth by the management is not correct. The workman has said that he is unemployed and he is facing hardship, and he is very young are the circumstances to be considered in a proceeding like this. Particularly when Ex. M3 is not signed by him.

27. At the cost of repetition I may say here that some corrections, the four finger gap in between the writing and the absence of signature on Ex. M3 is sufficient to believe the case of the workman as it is.

28. Considering all this I proceed to pass the following Order :

#### ORDER

The reference is partly allowed. The management is directed to reinstate the first party to its original position from the date of alleged resignation and to give all service benefits. In the given circumstances back wages are refused. Accordingly reference is disposed of.

(Dictated to PA transcribed by her corrected and signed by me on 15th April, 2002.)

V. N. KULKARNI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल 2002

का.प्र. 1744.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार नौदैन रेलवे के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण-कम-लेबर कोर्ट, लखनऊ के पंचाट को (संदर्भ संख्या आई. डी. 63/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 26/04/2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं० एल-41012/47/2002-आई.प्र. (बी.-I)]

अजय कुमार, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1744.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. I.D. 63/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Lucknow as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Northern Railway and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 26-4-2002.

[No. L-41012/47/2002-IR(B-I)]

AJAY KUMAR, Desk Officer

#### ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, LUCKNOW

#### PRESENT :

Rudresh Kumar, Presiding Officer

I.D. No. 63/2000

Reference No. L-41012/47/2000-IR(B-I)

Dated 17-8-2000

#### BETWEEN

Munna Lal,  
S/o Sri Rameshwar Dayal,  
Vill. : Hazipur,  
Post Bhikhapur,  
Distt. Auriya, U.P.

#### AND

Divisional Railway Manager,  
Northern Railway,  
Allahabad (U.P.)

#### AWARD

By order No. L-41012/47/2000-IR(B-I) dated 17-8-2000, the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour, in exercise of powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Section 2(A) of I.D. Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred this industrial dispute between Munna Lal, S/o Sri Rameshwar Dayal, Vill. : Hazipur, Distt. Auriya and Divisional Railway Manager, Northern Railway, Allahabad for adjudication.

The reference under adjudication is as under :

"Whether the action of the management of Northern Railway in terminating the services of Munna Lal w.e.f. 26-8-88 is justified? If not to what relief the workman is entitled for?"

2. In short, the case of the workman, Munna Lal, is, that he was appointed on the post of Khalasi on 27-11-77 and worked till 14-5-78 under Signal Inspector (Const.), Northern Railway, Tundla. He worked for 169 days continuously. Later, he worked with the Signal Inspector (D), Northern Railway, Itawah from 13-6-85 to 25-8-88; that he had completed 120 days continuous service and was so entitled to temporary status as per railway rules; that his work and conduct were satisfactory throughout; that on 26-8-88 his services were dispensed with without any notice, notice pay or retrenchment compensation, despite the fact that he had worked for 240 days in a year; and that compliance of Section 25-H was also not made by the management. The workman, thus, claims reinstatement with all consequential benefits.

3. The management of the Northern Railway has not denied association of the workman as casual labour from 27-11-77 for 169 days. It is pleaded that he was working under a project and was not entitled to be given temporary status on 169 days working. It is further admitted that the workman was engaged as per needs in between 13-6-85 to 25-8-88, in a special works under Signal Inspector(D), Itawah. He did not work continuously as claimed by him. His working period was not 240 days continuously in twelve calendar months preceding date of dispensation of his service. Para 3



of written statement gives chart of working days. The management has admitted that the workman was not paid any retrenchment compensation or notice pay under Section 25-F of the I.D. Act, as his services were not covered by the definition of 'continuous service' as defined under Section 25-B and so the provision of Section 25-F was not applicable in his case. The management also states that the workman can not be allowed benefit of Section 25-H of the I.D. Act, as his name finds place at Live Register of the Railways and he can be called upon to work as and when work is available. No juniors to him was given engagement. He was a project worker and on completion of project he automatically ceased to be in employment.

4. The facts are almost admitted. Continuous working for 169 days from 27-11-77 to 14-5-78 is admitted by the Railway and this working period finds reference in the casual labour card also. The workman could not have attained temporary status on working for 169 days only while working in a project.

5. As regards working in between the period 13-6-85 to 25-8-88 it is not proved that he worked for 240 days in 12 calendar months before date of dispensation of service. It comes around 162 days only in the preceding 12 calendar months. Being so, the workman is not entitled to claim benefit of Section 25-F as his working was not continuous and in no event was 240 days in 12 calendar months. The workman is also not entitled to any relief under Section 25-H. The management has admitted that the name of the workman is on Live Register, and subject to availability of work he may be called to work. It is denied that any junior to him was given engagement. There is no material on record to show that any junior was given preference over the workman. It is not explained as how the management committed breach of Section 25-H and in what way the workman is effected and is entitled to any relief.

6. Thus, in the totality of the facts and circumstances of the case the action of the management is not unjustified in terminating the services of Munna Lal w.e.f. 26-8-88. The workman is not entitled to any relief.

7. Award as above.

RUDRESH KUMAR, Presiding Officer

Lucknow :

22-4-2002

Let two copies of this award be sent for publication.  
Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 2 मई, 2002

का.आ. 1745.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सेन्ट्रल रिसर्च इन्स्टिट्यूट के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण चण्डीगढ़ के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 46/95) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-5-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं एल-42012/45/95-आई.आर. (जी.यू.)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 2nd May, 2002

S.O. 1745.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 46/96) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between

the employers in relation to the management of Central Research Institute and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 2-5-2002.

[No. L-42012/45/95-IR(DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI S.M. GOEL, PRESIDING OFFICER  
CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-  
LABOUR COURT, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 46/96

Shri Fakir Chand son of Shri Bhagat Ram, Village Chosan,

P.O. Kasauli, District Solan. . . Applicant.

Versus

Director, Central Research Institute, Govt. of India,

Kasauli, District Solan. . . Respondent.

APPEARANCES :

For the Workman : Shri J.C. Bhardwaj.

For the Management : Shri Arun Walia.

AWARD

(Passed on April 1st 2002)

The Central Govt. vide No. L-42012/45/95-IR(D.U.) dated 24th of April 1996 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the Director, Central Research Institute Kasauli in terminating the services of Shri Fakir Chand is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

2. The applicant in claim statement has pleaded that he was employed as labourer w.e.f. 19-12-1983 at minimum pay and was paid monthly basis and his services were terminated on 16-6-1985 without any notice, notice pay or retrenchment compensation by adopting unfair labour practice. The management has employed number of labourers but the workman was not given the job. Thus the management has violated the provisions of Section 25-G of the I.D. Act 1947. His services were terminated by the Accounts Officer who is neither the Disciplinary Authority. He has thus prayed that he be reinstated in service with continuity of service and full back wages.

3. The management in written statement has taken preliminary objection that respondent is not an 'Industry' therefore the dispute is not tenable. It is admitted that workman was engaged as casual labourer w.e.f. 19-12-1983 and he was not engaged against any permanent vacancy. The applicant absented from duty w.e.f. 5-6-1985 but his services were terminated by the order dated 30-1-1986 and his continuous absence from duty shows that he was not willing to serve the management. The services of the petitioner were terminated w.e.f. 15-6-1985 but his first representation was received by the management on 9-4-1991 after about five years and nine months. The management never assured him to be taken back in service. His services were terminated as he was habitual absentee and the applicant is not entitled for any relief.

4. Replication was also filed by the workman reiterating the claim made in the claim statement.

5. In evidence the applicant filed his own affidavit as Ex. W1. In rebuttal the management filed the affidavit of R. B. S. Negi son of Panma Dorjee Negi who also appeared for cross-examination in the witness box and the management also cross-examined this witness.

6. I have heard the learned representative of the workman the learned counsel for the management and have gone through the record of the case.

7. The learned representative of the workman has argued that the workman had completed more than 240 days of continuous service immediately preceding the date of termination i.e. 15-6-1985 and no retrenchment compensation and

notice or notice pay was given, the management has violated the mandatory provisions of Section 25-F of the I.D. Act 1947 and the workman deserves to be reinstated in service with full back wages. On the other hand the counsel for the management has vehemently argued that the applicant himself abandoned the job and never turned up after 15-6-1985 and his first representation was received in the office in the year 1991 only and since the workman himself abandoned the job he is not entitled for any relief. I have carefully gone through the submissions made by the representatives of the parties. It is an admitted position that the workman has completed 250 days of service during the calendar year immediately before 15-6-1985. It is also an admitted fact that no enquiry was conducted by the management before terminating the services of the workman. It is settled law that services of any employee who has completed more than 240 days of service in one calendar year can not be terminated without holding departmental enquiry and affording the reasonable opportunity to the delinquent applicant. In the case in hand no opportunity has been given to the workman to explain his position. It is not proved by the workman that he had given representation to the management before the year 1991 and thus it can be said that he did not report to the management for this long period of five years and nine months. Since the management has not complied with the mandatory provisions of Section 25-F of the I.D. Act, 1947, before terminating the services of the workman, the workman deserves reinstatement in service on the same post. But he had not reported for duty for almost six years to the management, he is not entitled to the back wages as he has not performed any duty for the management. He is also not entitled to the benefit of continuous service. In this way reference is answered accordingly. The Central Govt. be informed.

Chandigarh.

1-4-2002.

S. M. GOEL, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 2 मई, 2002

क्र.प्र. 1746.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार तार्जन रोजनल फॉर्म मशीनरी टैस्तिंग एण्ड ट्रेनिंग इंस्टिट्यूट के प्रबंधक के संबंध में नियोजकों और उनके कर्म-कारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण चंडीगढ़ के पंचाट (संख्या 130/93) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 2-5-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-42012/114/92-अवार्ड प्रार. (डी.यू.)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 2nd May, 2002

S.O. 1746.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 130/93) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court Chandigarh now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Northern Regional Farm Machinery

Testing and Training Institute and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 2-5-2002.

[No. L-42012/114/92-IR(DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE SHRI S. M. GOEL, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVT. INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT, CHANDIGARH

Case No. I.D. 130/93

President, District Agriculture Workers Union, House No. 123 Gali No. 5, Jawahar Nagar Hissar.

Applicant.

Versus

Director, Northern Regional Agriculture Machinery Training Institute, Sirsa Road, Hissar Haryana.

.. Respondent.

## APPEARANCES :

For the Workman.—Shri Darshan Singh.

For the Management.—Shri Arun Walia.

## AWARD

(Passed on 22nd April, 2002)

The Central Govt. vide Gazette notification No. L-42012/114/92/IR(DU) dated 20th of October, 1993 has referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

“Whether the action of the management of Northern Regional Farm Machinery Testing and Training Institute, Hissar in not providing the scale of Rs. 750-940 to all class IV employees who has completed 240 days as per the letter No. 18-7/88-DD-II dated 28-7-1989 of the Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, is justified? If not, what relief the workman concerned are entitled to?”

2. In the claim statement the Union has alleged that the management has given the scale from June 1988 for only six months on the pattern of equal pay for equal work. Later on this was stopped and minimum of pay scale was started to the workers. Thus the manage-

ment has violated the provisions of Section 9-A of the I.D. Act, 1947. In other institutions the management is paying the scale according to the circular mentioned in the term of reference. Thus the Union has claimed that they may be given the pay scale as per letter dated 28-7-1989.

3. In written statement the respondent pleaded that the respondent is not an industry under the provisions of I.D. Act 1947 and hence the petitioners are not workmen. On merits it was pleaded that the applicants were engaged on need basis and they are being paid the wages as per the rates prescribed for daily wagers. They are not performing the duties as performed by the regular employees, hence they are not entitled to the minimum of the pay scale. It is prayed that the reference be rejected.

4. In evidence both the parties filed their respective affidavits. The workman examined Darshan Singh as WW1 and also relied on documents Ex. W2 to Ex. W6. The management examined Mohinder Kumar as MW1 who filed his affidavit Ex. M1. He also relied on documents Ex. M2 to Ex. M9.

5. I have heard both the learned counsel for the parties and gone through the evidence and record. The rep. of the workman has argued that for six months, the workers were paid minimum of the pay scale but later on it was stopped without any notice which is violation of Section 9A of the I.D. Act. The arguments advanced by the rep. of the management is that the workers were working on daily wages and they have been paid as per the rates prescribed and they are not entitled to the pay scale at the minimum. I have considered the arguments of the learned representatives of the parties and have gone through the documents. As per letter Ex. W4 it is ordered that the workers who have completed 240 days of service and who are performing regular nature of duties may be paid wages at the rate of 1/30 of the pay of the relevant pay scale of group D post plus dearness allowance for the work of eight hours a day subject to the conditions mentioned in the above mentioned letter. Ex. W5 is the letter dated 7th September 1989 in which it has been mentioned that the department is paying to the daily paid labourer who have completed 240 days continuous service during the last two consecutive years the minimum scale of group D employees. It is admitted case of the parties 1512 GI/2002—19.

that the management had paid them the minimum scale of pay for about six months and later on this scale was withdrawn by the management without any notice. It is also not disputed that the workers are getting the minimum scale of wages and they were also given the temporary status w.e.f. 1-9-93. Section 9-A notice was necessary before withdrawing the minimum scale of pay to the workers which was not given. It is also admitted by the witness of the management that wages were equivated as per direction given in letter dated 7-6-1988. It is also admitted that the nature of work was same when the wages were paid to casual workers as per D.C. rates. It is also admitted that nature of work was not changed after giving them the temporary status. Thus it is clear that the workmen were performing the same nature of duties as being performed by them before giving the temporary status. Thus they are entitled for the equal pay as per the circular and they are entitled for the scale wages. The action of the management in withdrawing the pay scales without notice is arbitrary which deserves to be set aside and as such they are entitled to the scale wages from the date when the same was stopped.

6. In view of the above discussion made in the earlier pass, it is held that the action of the management in stopping the pay scales wages to the workmen without notice is illegal and arbitrary and they are entitled to the scale of Rs. 750-940 as provided in the letter dated 28-7-1989. The reference is answered accordingly. Central Govt. be informed.

Chandigarh.

22-4-2002.

S. M. GOEL, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ.1747.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार स्टेशन कमांडर स्टेशन हेड क्वार्टर्स के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में औद्योगिक अधिकरण/श्रम न्यायालय कोलाम के पंचाट को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-4-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-14012/25/92-आई.आर. (डीयू)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डैस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1747.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award of the Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court Kollam as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Station Commander, Station Hqrs. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-4-2002.

[No. L-14012/25/92-IR(DU)]

KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer

## ANNEXURE

IN THE COURT OF THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,

KOLLAM

(Dated, this the 9th day of April, 2002)

PRESENT :

Sri P.V. Abraham, Industrial Tribunal.

IN

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE No. 36/93

BETWEEN

The Station Commander, Station-  
Headquarters Military Campus, Pungode,

Trivandrum,

Management.

AND

Sri K.V. Menon, T.C. 17/829, Santhi Bhavan,

Poojappura, Trivandrum.

Workman.

## AWARD

The Government of India as per Order No. L-14012/25/92-IR(DU) dated 20-10-1993 referred this industrial dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal.

The issue referred for adjudication is the following :

"Whether the action of the part of the Station Commander, Station Headquarters, Trivandrum in terminating the services of Sri K.V. Menon as an Accountant in the Station Canteen, Military Campus, Trivandrum w.e.f. 1-4-1991 is legal and justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. As per award dated 18-2-1994 it was that the workman was entitled for reinstatement in service with all benefits. However the management filed original petition No. 3773/93 before the Hon'ble High Court of Kerala challenging the award passed by this Tribunal and as per judgment dated 24-11-1995 the Hon'ble High Court had set aside the award passed by this Tribunal and the matter was remanded for fresh consideration after giving opportunities to the parties to adduce fresh evidence. Even though the workman had filed writ appeal No. 113/96, as per judgment dated 6-11-1998 the writ appeal was dismissed. Even though opportunities were afforded, the management has not adduced any evidence before this Tribunal.

3. The workman has contended that canteen service has been run under the exclusive authority of the station commander to cater the domestic needs of the serving and discharged men of Armed Forces and their families on a profit oriented basis. The employees of the said canteen are appointed by the station commander. The workman submitted an application on 9-1-1989 for the post of accountant under the management. After interview and test the management appointed the workman as accountant in the station canteen w.e.f. 1-8-1989. Afterwards the workman continuously worked under the management till 31-3-1991. On 1-4-1991 the management had terminated the service of the workman without assigning any reason. According to the workman the termination of his employment is illegal and he is eligible to be reinstated in service with all benefits.

4. The management has not filed any written statement. But in the petition filed by the management for setting aside the ex-parte order, they have contended that the workman was working as accountant on temporary basis in the station headquarters canteen, Trivandrum w.e.f. 1st August 1989. According to the management, the work of Sri K. V. Menon was not satisfactory. After a number of verbal warnings, a written memo was issued to him on 7-1-1991 for not properly maintaining the accounts of station canteen. Afterwards on 19-3-1991 the workman switched on an electric heater in a room where account books were kept and left the place without entrusting the key to the quarter guard which was purposefully done to cause an accidental fire and thereby enabling him to wriggle out from the responsibility of maintaining proper accounts. The management has further contended that Sri K.V. Menon is not a workman as defined under the Industrial Disputes Act ('the Act' for short) and the canteen service run by the defence department is not industry.

5. The workman who was examined as WW1 has stated in his evidence that he was employed under the management from 1-8-1989 to 31-3-1991 continuously and he was denied employment w.e.f. 1-4-1991. The workman has produced his appointment order issued by the management which is marked as Ext. W1 and the same would show that he was appointed as an accountant. Even though the management has raised a contention that Sri K. V. Menon is not a workman as defined under the Act, they have not adduced any evidence to establish that contention. The work of an accountant will come within the purview of Sec. 2(s) of the Act and therefore I hold that Sri K. V. Menon is a workman as defined under the Act.

6. The workman has stated in his evidence that the canteen run by the management is engaged in selling goods and articles to serving and retired military personnel and their family members and the canteen is making profit. The workman has further stated in his evidence that the canteen run by the management is a systematic activity carried with the co-operation of the management and the workers for rendering service to others. Even though the management has raised the contention that the canteen run by them is not an industry, they have not adduced any evidence to establish that contention. They have also not pointed out any provision of law which exempt the canteen run by them from the provisions of the Act. In the circumstance I hold that the canteen run by the management is an industry as defined under Sec. 2(j) of the Act.

7. The workman has stated in his evidence that he was continuously employed under the management from 1-8-1989 to 31-3-1991 and he was denied employment w.e.f. 1-4-1991. He has further stated in his evidence that at the time of denial of his employment no notice nor any compensation was paid to him. Even though the management has contended that the service of the workman was terminated as the workman did not maintain the accounts properly and that he had switched on an electric heater for the purpose of destroy the account books, they have not adduced any evidence to prove that allegation. The workman has stated in his evidence that the management did not issue notice to him raising any allegations and he had been working under the management sincerely. In the circumstance I hold that the management has not succeeded in proving any misconduct against the workman.

8. The workman had more than one years continuous service at the time when he was denied employment. The denial of employment of the workman by the management would amount to retrenchment. However the management did not give any notice to the workman. The workman was also not given any retrenchment compensation. In the circumstance the denial of employment of the workman by the management is illegal and the same is liable to be set aside. Therefore the management is directed to reinstate the workman in service with backwages and all other benefits.

9. In the result, an award is passed directing the management to reinstate the workman in service with backwages and all other benefits.

P. V. ABRAHAM, Industrial Tribunal

## APPENDIX

Witness examined on the side of the Management.

MW1. Nil.

Witness examined on the side of the Workman.

WW1. Sri K.V. Menon, concerned workman.  
Documents marked on the side of the Workman.

Ext. W1. Photostat copy of the appointment order dated 27-9-1989 issued to the workman.

Ext. W2. Photostat copy of the letter addressed to the management from the Central Office of the management dated 19-3-1991.

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.शा. 1748.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसूचना में, केन्द्रीय सरकार गन एण्ड शेल फैक्ट्री के संबंधित के संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, कोलकाता के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 43/99) का प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 29-4-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-14012/54/99-आई.आर. (डी.यू.)]

कुलदीप राय वर्मा, डेस्क अधिकारी

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1748.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 43/99) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal/Labour Court, Kolkata now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Gun & Shell Factory and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 29-4-2002.

[No. L-14012/54/99-IR(DU),  
KULDIP RAI VERMA, Desk Officer  
ANNEXURE

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL AT  
KOLKATA

Reference No. 43 of 1999

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of the General  
Manager, Gun & Shell Factory.

AND

Their workman.

#### PRESENT :

Mr. Justice Bharat Prasad Sharma, Presiding Officer

#### APPEARANCE :

On behalf of Management : Mr. S. K. Dutta, Advocate.

On behalf of Workman : Mr. M. Dutta, Advocate.

STATE : West Bengal. INDUSTRY : Gun & Shell.

Dated : 11th April, 2002

#### AWARD

By Order No. L-14012/54/99-IR(DU) dated 27-10-1999 the Central Government in exercise of its powers under Section 10(1)(d) and (2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 referred the following dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :

"Whether the action of the management of Gun & Shell Factory, Kossipore in dismissing Shri Shankar Singh, Cook from service is legal and justified? If not, to what relief he is entitled?"

2. The present reference relates to dismissal of one Shankar Singh, Cook of Gun & Shell Factory by the management. From the written statement filed on behalf of the workman it appears that he was appointed as a Cook in the Gun & Shell Factory, Kossipore with effect from 14-02-1992 and was posted at its hospital under the control and supervision of the General Manager of the Factory. According to the workman he had been working to the full satisfaction of his superiors and had a maritorious record of service, but all of a sudden, a chargesheet was issued against him on 28-02-1996 for his absence from duty for a few days, though the workman had intimated the reasons for absence to the management. According to the workman the chargesheet indicated that the management had already made up their mind to dismiss the workman before issuing the chargesheet. According to the workman during the period of his absence his wife was seriously ill and since he was the only person to look after her, he had to take leave for her treatment and this fact was also intimated to the management. Further, he has stated that on receipt of the chargesheet he replied to the same by his letter dated 26-04-1996 clarifying the reasons for his absence, but the management without considering his reply held a domestic enquiry and the enquiry was held in irregular manner and violating the principles of natural justice and fair play. It is also further stated that on the basis of the report of the Enquiry Officer, the management removed him from service by a letter dated 23-7-98. According to him no copy of enquiry report was furnished to him before taking action against him and giving him opportunity to show cause or make representation to the disciplinary authority. According to the workman he did not commit any misconduct and the leave which he had to take for some urgent reason was duly intimated to the management. It is also further stated that the workman had preferred an appeal before the Appellate Authority as required under the rules, but the appeal was not disposed of uptill now. Thereafter the workman raised an industrial dispute before the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Calcutta on 02-09-1998 and conciliation efforts were made, but it failed and then the matter was reported to the appropriate authority and consequently the reference has been made. According to the workman the punishment imposed on him is unjustified and improper and he deserves to be exonerated and reinstated in service with full back wages alongwith other benefits incidental to it.

3. A written statement was also been filed on behalf of the management in which it has been stated that the workman was appointed as Cook in the hospital of the Gun & Shell Factory and the said workman was in the habit of taking frequent leave and he used to absent himself frequently in unauthorised manner without prior intimation and sanction of leave as per rules and accordingly he was served with a chargesheet dated 28-02-1996. It is stated that the management had to issue chargesheet against the workman due to unauthorised absence and the action of the workman was subversive to the office discipline and because of his absence patients in the hospital could not be supplied food. It is also further stated that as the workman did not give any intimation in time, no alternative arrangement also could be made for the purpose of supplying food to the patients. It is further stated that during his unauthorised absence the workman had forwarded an intimation dated 4-1-1996 stating that he could not attend duty due to his wife's illness, but the intimation was not supported by any medical certificate. Again, in his reply to the chargesheet the said workman also stated that he had absented due to sickness of his wife and his explanation was not found satisfactory and accordingly a departmental enquiry was started. The workman participated in the enquiry and the Enquiry Officer after completing the enquiry, submitted his report holding him guilty of the charge and agreeing with the report of the Enquiry Officer, the Disciplinary Authority passed an order of his removal dated 23-07-97. It is also stated that against the order of his removal an appeal was also filed by the workman on 4-8-1987 and it was rejected by the Appellate Authority by his order dated 15-01-1999 which was also communicated to the workman on 11-02-1999. It is further stated that the allegation of the workman is totally baseless and the workman does not deserve any relief sought by him in this case.

4. Because the fairness and validity of the enquiry was also challenged by the workman which was denied on behalf of the management, the issue was taken up as a preliminary issue for hearing and after hearing the parties the Tribunal passed an order on 11-01-2002 holding that the enquiry was valid and legal and there was no illegality in the enquiry. But, however, since the matter related to the dismissal of the workman from service under Section 11A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the parties were given opportunity of being heard on the point of adequacy or otherwise of the punishment.

5. The materials for consideration before the Tribunal are in the form of some documents filed on behalf of both the parties and the evidence of the parties. So far as the documents filed on behalf of the workman are concerned, Ext. W-1 is the chargesheet including the statement of the details. Ext. W-2 is the reply filed by the workman to the chargesheet on 26-04-1996. Ext. W-3 is the memo of appeal filed by the workman before the General Manager on 19-05-1997. Ext. W-4 is the memo of appeal filed before the Chairman of the Factory after the imposition of the punishment on him. Ext. W-5 is the order of removal of the workman from service which is dated 23-07-1997.

On the other hand, so far as the documents filed on behalf of the management are concerned, Ext. M-1 happens to be the proceeding of the enquiry. Ext. M-1/1 is the forwarding report by which the enquiry report was submitted to the management. Ext. M-2 is the enquiry report. Ext. M-3 is the order passed by the Additional D.G.O.F. and member of the Appellate Authority on the appeal of the workman dated 15-01-1999.

6. It has been contended on behalf of the workman that so far as the justification or the order of removal or dismissal of the workman from service is concerned, it is most unfair, unjust and improper. It has been submitted that the workman had remained absent on some dates because of the illness of his wife and he had also given intimation to the management during his absence that his wife was seriously ill and there was no other person to look after her and, therefore, he had difficulty in attending to his duties. He has also stated in his evidence as WW-1 that he has absented because of the fact that his wife was ill for sometime and has stated that after he saw the findings of the Enquiry Officer, he became surprised. On the other hand, so far as the witness examined on behalf of the management, MW-1, Raresh Kumar is concerned, he has stated that the workman had produced two certificates of posting receipts in order to show that he had sent petition for leave during his absence, but the workman had neither produced the copies of the relevant letters, nor he produced any medical certificate. However, he has admitted that the plea taken on behalf of the workman was that his wife was ill. In this connection it is important to note that when the witnesses were examined on behalf of the management in course of enquiry, the witness, B. C. Biswas, Ward Master of the hospital stated in answer to question No. 4 that mostly Shri Singh (meaning thereby the workman concerned) used to submit leave application only on reporting back to duty after his absence and he further added that in one or two cases he had also intimated by letter while he was continuing absent from duty. It is also pointed out on behalf of the workman that the workman had stated in his reply to the chargesheet dated 26-04-1996 that he had intimated to the management regarding the cause of his absence which was at times verbally and on other occasions in writing even during his absence and he also stated that he was enclosing xerox copy of the prescription of the medical certificate of his wife regarding her illness during the period of his absence. It is, therefore, clear that it is not a fact that the workman was absent without any reason or that he had not intimated the management about the reason of his absence. Therefore, it has been submitted on behalf of the workman that so far as the decision to punish him with removal from service is concerned, it is too harsh and the workman, even if had committed mistake, was not entitled to this kind of punishment.

7. In this connection it is important to note that according to the instruction of the Government of India in Clause (iii) of paragraph (5) relating to Rule 11 of the Central Civil Services Classification Control and Appeal Rules "If a Government servant absents himself abruptly or applies for leave which is refused in the exigencies of service and still he happens to absent himself from duty, he should be told of the consequences, viz., that the entire period of absence would

be treated as unauthorised entailing loss of pay for the period in question under proviso to Fundamental Rule 17, thereby resulting in break in service. If, however, he reports for duty before or after initiation of disciplinary proceeding, he may be taken back for duty because he has not been placed under suspension. The disciplinary action may be concluded and the period of absence treated as unauthorised resulting in loss in pay and allowances for the period of absence under proviso to FR 17(1) and thus a break in service. The question whether the break should be condoned or not and treated as dies non should be considered only after conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings and that too after the Government servant represents in this regard." Further, in Sub-paragraph 2 of paragraph 5 of Rule 11 it has been stated that it is made clear that a Government servant who remains absent unauthorisedly without proper permission should be proceeded against immediately and this should not be put off till the absence exceeds the time prescribed in Rule 32(2)(a) of the CCS (Leave) Rules, 1972. However, the disciplinary authority should consider the grounds adduced by the Government servant for his unauthorised absence before initiating disciplinary proceeding. If the disciplinary authority is satisfied that the grounds adduced for unauthorised absence are justified, the leave of the kind applied for and due and admissible may be granted to him. In this connection it is significant to note that from the chargesheet it appears that the workman has been charged for his absence from duty on three occasions simultaneously. The periods of his absence being from 8-11-1995 to 11-11-1995, from 4-12-1995 to 14-12-1995 and ultimately from 1-1-1996 to 24-1-1996. The chargesheet was issued on 28-2-1996. It is, therefore, obvious that when he had absented himself from 8-11-1995 to 11-11-1995 and 4-12-1995 to 14-12-1995, no action was taken against him. Actually, whether during these periods he was granted leave or not and what was decided in the matter of his absence on these two occasions has not been stated before this Tribunal. Therefore the manner in which the action regarding the absence of the workman has been taken does not appear to be proper and in accordance with the rules. It also appears from the Rule 11 that several kinds of penalties have been prescribed, some of the penalties have been described as minor penalties and the others have been described as major penalties. In Clause (viii) the penalty relates to removal from service and Clause (ix) relates to dismissal from service. So far as awarding of major penalty is concerned, it has to be borne in mind that major punishment is not called for in all the cases and it is only in case of gross misconduct that major punishment should be awarded. So far as the dismissal or removal of a permanent employee is concerned, it is the severest punishment inflicted on a permanent workman.

8. However, the management has tried to bring the present case within Clause 3 of the Government instructions to the Annexure of Rule 14 of the CCS (Leave) Rules. But, for the purpose of fulfilling this clause it is necessary that the negligence in discharge of official duty should be with dishonest intention, but in the present case there is neither any specific allegation to this effect, nor the circumstances do indicate that in absents and the neglecting his duty the workman had acted dishonestly. In such view of the matter, the workman who was a lower category of employee, was compelled to remain absent from his duty and when he had intimated the management about the reason of his absence, a considerate view should have been taken, but the management decided to hold enquiry against him and on the basis of the enquiry, he has been removed from service, which appears to be unreasonable and harsh decision considering the entire circumstances of the case. It appears that the management was aggrieved and annoyed against the workman only because of the fact that the workman happened to be a Cook in the hospital and his sudden absence had created some difficulties for the management. Because of the sudden absence of the workman, alternative arrangement of a Cook could not be made resulting in non-supply of food to the patients of the hospital and, therefore, the management was embarrassed. But, so far as the plea of the workman that because there was no other person adult in his family to look after his family during the illness of his wife, he had no option but to take care of his wife and in the circumstance, the management was expected to be reasonable and compassionate. However, as the workman by proceeding on leave without giving prior intimation or without seeking leave from the management, had created problem for the management, he was certainly negligent, but this negligence cannot be said to be of the kind of a very serious nature warranting his removal from service.

9. In such view of the matter, the punishment of removal or dismissal from service as awarded against the workman concerned by the management appears to be unfair, improper and unduly harsh. Accordingly, the punishment imposed upon the workman is set aside. The workman is ordered to be re-instated in service, but because he has been found to be guilty of negligence in service, some kind of punishment has to be awarded to him. In my view withholding of his increments during the entire period from the date of his removal till the date of his reinstatement will be sufficient punishment. So far as the back wages are concerned, because he has not actually worked during this period, he cannot be held to be entitled to the full back wages also. However, he shall be entitled to receive half of his back wages from the date of his dismissal till the date of his reinstatement. Accordingly, the punishment awarded to the workman in this case is modified and altered.

Award accordingly.

Kolkata, the 11th April, 2002.

B. P. SHARMA, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

क्र.प्र.1749.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एल बी एयर लाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 12/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था ।

[सं. एल-11012/36/99-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1749.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 12/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-11012/36/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT  
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO I,  
MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice S. C. Pandey, Presiding Officer

REFERENCE No. CGIT-12/2000

### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of  
Elbee Airlines

AND

Shri P. Padvi

### APPEARANCES :

For the Management.—Shri M. S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman.—Shri R. R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

### STATE :

Maharashtra.

Mumbai, the 9th day of April, 2002

### AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (The Act for short).

2. The workman, who was present along with Shri R. R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M. S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement today.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived is legal and valid this tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties :—

(a) The First Party Company agreed to pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workman as lump sum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workman declare that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workman further declares that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.



(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. 1, Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 9-4-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workman has fully understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ.1750.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एल बी एअरलाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण 1, मुम्बई के पंचाट (सर्वम संख्या 13/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-2002 की प्राप्ति हुआ था ।

[सं. एल-11012/37/99-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1750.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 13/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal 1, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-11012/37/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT  
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1  
MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice S. C. Pandey, Presiding Officer

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-13/2000

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of  
Elbee Airlines

AND

Shri Bharmu Patil

APPEARANCES :

For the Management.—Shri M. S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman.—Shri R. R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

STATE : MAHARASHTRA.

Mumbai the 9th day of April, 2002

#### AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (the Act for short).

2. The workman, who was present along with Shri R. R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M. S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement to day.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived is legal and valid this tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties :—

(a) The First Party Company agreed to pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workman as lump sum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workman declares that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workman further declare that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand



and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.

(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal Court No. 1 Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 9-4-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workman has fully understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly, this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1751.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एलबी एयरलाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 14/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-2002 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11012/38/99-आई.आर. (सी-I)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1751.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 14/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-I, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-11012/38/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice S. C. Pandey, Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-14/2000

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines.

AND

Shri Ashok Gosavi.

APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Shri M. S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman : Shri R. R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

State : Maharashtra.

Mumbai, dated the 09th day of April, 2002

AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (The Act for short).

2. The workmen, who were present alongwith Shri R. R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M. S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement today.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived in legal and valid this tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties.

(a) The First Party Company agreed to pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workman as lump sum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workman declare that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workman further declare that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.

(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal/ Court No. 1, Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 09-04-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workman has fully understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

4. The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly, this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1752.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एलबी एयर लाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंधित नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारियों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 15/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11012/39/99-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1752.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 15/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. I, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-11012/39/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI

## PRESENT :

Shri Justice S. C. Pandey, Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-15 of 2000

## PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines.

AND

Shri R. C. Tiwari.

## APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Shri M. S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman : Shri R. R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

State : Maharashtra.

Mumbai, the 9th day of April, 2002

## AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (The Act for short).

2. The workmen, who were present alongwith Shri R. R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M. S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement today.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived is legal and valid this tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties.

(a) The First Party Company agreed to Pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workmen as lump sum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workmen declare that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workmen further declare that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.

(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal/ Court No. 1, Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 09-04-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workmen has full understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

4. The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly, this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ.1753.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एल बी एअर लाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंध में निम्नलिखित शर्तों के बीच, अनुबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिनियम I, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 16/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-11012/40/99-आई.आर. (सी- 1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अधीक्षक सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1753.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 16/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal No. I, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-04-2002.

[No. L-11012/40/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

## ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1, MUMBAI

## PRESENT :

Shri Justice S. C. Pandey, Presiding Officer

Reference No. CGIT-16/2000

## PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines.

AND

Shri R. S. Ray.

## APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Shri M. S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman : Shri R. R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

State : Maharashtra.

Mumbai, the 09th day of April, 2002

## AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (The Act for short).

2. The workmen, who were present alongwith Shri R. R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M. S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement today.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived is legal and valid this tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties.

(a) The First Party Company agreed to pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workman as lumpsum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workman declare that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workman further declare that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.

(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Court No. 1 Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 09-04-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workman has fully understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

4. The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly, this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.प्र. 1754.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एल बी एअर लाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधि-करण I, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संवर्ध संख्या 17/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था ।

[सं. एल—11012/41/99—आई.प्रार. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1754.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 17/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I, Mumbai now as shown in Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-11012/41/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 MUMBAI

PRESENT :

Shri Justice S. C. Pandey, Presiding Officer.

1512 GI/2002—20.

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-17/2000

PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines.

AND

Shri Rahidas Shah

APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Shri M.S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman : Shri R.R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

STATE : Maharashtra.

Mumbai, dated the 9th day of April, 2002

#### AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of Sub section (1) and Sub section 2A of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (The Act for short).

2. The workman, who were present alongwith Shri R. R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M.S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement today.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived is legal and valid this tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties.

(a) The First Party Company agreed to pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workman as lumpsum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workman declare that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workmen further declare that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.

(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal Court No. 1 Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 9-4-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workman has fully understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

4. The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly, this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.प्र. 1755.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एल बी एअर लाइंस के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक

विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, मुम्बई के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 19/2000) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—11012/43/99—आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1755.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 19/2000) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I, Mumbai now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-11012/43/99-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 1 MUMBAI

#### PRESENT :

Shri Justice S.C. Pandey, Presiding Officer.

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-19/2000

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Elbee Airlines

AND

Shri Vasudev Takral

#### APPEARANCES :

For the Management : Shri M.S. Maurya, Director.

For the Workman : Shri R.R. Mishra, Gen. Secretary.

STATE : Maharashtra.

Mumbai, dated the 9th day of April, 2002

#### AWARD

1. The Central Government has referred the following question to be answered by this Tribunal in exercise of its powers under clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section 2A of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (The Act for short).

2. The workmen, who were present alongwith Shri R.R. Mishra, General Secretary, and Shri M.S. Maurya, Director, M/s. Elbee Airlines, representing that company have jointly applied for passing the Award in terms of the Memorandum of Settlement today.

3. Having heard the parties and after having satisfied itself that the compromise arrived is legal and valid this Tribunal passes this award as prayed by the parties.

(a) The First Party Company agreed to pay Rs. 7,000 (Rupees Seven thousand only) to the Second Party workmen as lumpsum amount in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company and in consideration thereof the Second Party workmen declare that in view of the aforesaid payment he is relinquishing of his right of reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages etc.

(b) The Second Party workmen further declare that in view of the said payment, he will not raise any demand and/or dispute in future and it is in full and final settlement of all his claims against the First Party Company.

(c) Both the parties shall file the settlement before the Hon'ble Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Court No. 1 Mumbai and pray for Award in terms of the Settlement dated 9-4-2002.

(d) That the Second Party workmen has full understood the contents of the said settlement and further declare that he is signing the settlement of his own free will without any coercion, force or duress.

4. The reference made to this tribunal is answered by saying that the parties have amicably settled the dispute in accordance with terms reproduced above. Accordingly, this award is passed.

S. C. PANDEY, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ.1756 :—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सी. सी. एल. के प्रबंधन के संबंध में निम्नलिखित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 13/96) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-04-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल—20012/8/95—आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1756.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 13/96) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I Dhanbad now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of C.C.L. and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-20012/8/95-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I, DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Sec. 10(1)(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

Reference No. 13 of 1996

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Urimari Project of M/s. C.C. Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

#### PRESENT :

Shri S. H. Kazmi, Presiding Officer.

#### APPEARANCES :

For the Employers : None.

For the Workman : None.

STATE : Jharkhand.

INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, the 16th April, 2002

#### AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/8/95-I.R. (Coal I) dated the 29th January, 1996 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause

(d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :

"Whether the demand of the Union for allowing the up-gradation benefits to S/Shri Shoba Kant Singh and K. P. Mehta on the basis of basic pay of Rs. 87.15 per day instead of Rs. 84.53 per day, by the management of Urimari Project of M/s. C. C. Ltd. is justified? If so, to what relief the concerned workmen are entitled?"

2 Though the present dispute has been sponsored by the concerned union but one petition has been filed on behalf of the concerned workmen wherein prayer has been made for withdrawal of the instant reference as it has been mentioned therein that the concerned workman has already been superannuated and so he does not want to contest the case. It appears from the record that right from the year 1997 none is taking any step on behalf of the sponsoring union and this case is pending for filing of rejoinder as well as documents on behalf of the workmen. It is thus evident that the sponsoring union is not interested to pursue this case any further and has lost interest. In the circumstances it is needless to keep this case pending any further.

3. Hence, I render a 'No Dispute' Award in the present reference case.

S. H. KAZMI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.प्रा.1757.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बी. सी. सी. एल. के प्रबंधधर्तक के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मकारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निविष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 157/89) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-04-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल.—20012/89/89—आई.आर. सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1757.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 157/89) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I, Dhanbad now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-20012/89/89-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Sec. 10(1)(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 157 of 1989

#### PARTIES :

Employers in relation to the management of Block-II, Open Cast Project of M/s. BCCL.

#### AND

Their Workmen

Present :

Shri S. H. Kazmi, Presiding Officer

Appearances :

For the Employers.—Shri S. P. Singh, Advocate.

For the Workmen.—None.

STATE : Jharkhand. INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, the 12th April, 2002

#### AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/89/89-I.R.(Coal-I) dated 7-11-1989 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-sec. (2A) of Section 10 of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

"Whether the action of the management of Block-II, Peon Cast Project of M/S. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd. in not regularising Shri Sheo Prasad Singh, in the post of Store Issue Clerk is justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled to?"

2. It appears from the record that in this old reference of 1989 upto now not even written statement has been filed on behalf of the workman nor this Tribunal was ever informed as to whether any settlement arrived at between the two sides or not as for that purpose it appears that several adjournments were taken on behalf of the concerned workman earlier. On 28-2-2002 also having noticed all the past developments the case was fixed for 10-4-2002 either for filing the settlement or written statement on behalf of the workman failing which it was made clear therein that it would be presumed that the workman is not interested to contest the present case. A registered notice was also sent to the sponsoring union. Even on 10-4-2002 the position remained the same. As such, in view

of all the aforesaid, it is needless to keep this case pending any further, as quite evidently the sponsoring union or the concerned workman has lost interest in the present reference and does not want to pursue the same.

In such circumstances, I render a 'No Dispute' award in the present reference case.

S. H. Kazmi, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ.1758.—औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधन के संबद्ध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निर्दिष्ट औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण I, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 46/97) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-04-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल-20012/132/95-आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस. एस. गुप्ता, अवसर सचिव

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1758.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 46/97) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I, Dhanbad now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of CCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-4-2002.

[No. L-20012/32/95-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

#### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I AT DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Sec. 10(1)(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 46 of 1997

Parties :

Employers in relation to the management of Sayal Area of M/s. C.C. Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

PRESENT :

Shri S. H. Kazmi, Presiding Officer

APPEARANCES :

For the Employers.—None.

For the Workmen.—Shri K. Chakravarty, Advocate.

STATE : Jharkhand. INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, the 16th April, 2002

#### AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/132/95-IR(C-I) dated the 5th February, 1997 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) and Sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

“Whether the demand made by Union for the promotion of S/Shri Shoba Kant Singh and K. P. Mehta to the post of Pit Supervisor by the management of M/S. C.C.L. is legal and justified? If so, to what relief are these workmen entitled?”

2. In this reference case from the side of the concerned workman one petition has been filed wherein the prayer has been made for withdrawal of the present reference case and it has been submitted that the concerned workman has already been superannuated and as such he does not want to pursue the case any further. It appears from the record that since 1997 this case is pending for filing of rejoinder and documents on behalf of the workman and adjournment after adjournment has been granted for the said purpose but without any development whatsoever. Sri Chakravarty appearing on behalf of the sponsoring union submits that since long he has not received any instruction from the side of the sponsoring union for the reason best known to them. In such circumstances it is needless to keep this reference pending any further.

3. Hence, I render a 'No Dispute' Award in the present reference case.

S. H. KAZMI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 29 अप्रैल 2002

का.आ. 1759:— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार बी.सी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण सं. 1, धनबाद के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 32/1990) को प्रकाशित करती है, जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 24-04-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[एल 20012/196/89 आई.आर. (सी 1)]

एस.एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव,

New Delhi, the 29th April, 2002

S.O. 1759.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 32/1990) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal I, Dhanbad now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of BCCL and their workman, which was received by the Central Government on 24-04-2002.

[No. L-20012/196/89-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

### ANNEXURE

### BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. I DHANBAD

In the matter of a reference under Sec. 10(1)(d)(2A) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Reference No. 32 of 1990

#### Parties :

Employers in relation to the management  
of M/S. Bharat Coking Coal Ltd.

AND

Their Workmen

#### Present :

Shri S. H. Kazmi, Presiding Officer

#### Appearances :

For the Employers.—Shri D. K. Choubey,  
Advocate.

For the Workman.—None.

STATE : Jharkhand. INDUSTRY : Coal.

Dated, the 12th April, 2002

### AWARD

By Order No. L-20012/196/89-I.R.(Coal-I) dated 15-2-1990 the Central Government in the Ministry of Labour has, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) and sub-section (2A) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute for adjudication to this Tribunal :—

“Whether the action of the management of M/s. B.C.C. Ltd. Koyla Bhawan, P.O. Koyla Nagar, Dist. Dhanbad in not making permanent Smt. Panwa Bansphore as Sweeper as well as stopping her from work w.e.f. 1-6-1987 is justified? If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?”

2. It appears from the record that in this old reference of 1990 upto now not even written statement has been filed on behalf of the workman nor this Tribunal was ever informed as to whether any settlement arrived at between the two sides or not as for that purpose it appears that several adjournments were taken on behalf of the concerned workman earlier. On 28-2-2002 also having noticed all the past developments the case was fixed for 10-4-2002 either for filing the settlement or written statement on behalf of the workman failing which it was made clear therein that it would be presumed that the workman is not interested to contest the present case. A registered notice was also sent to the sponsoring union. Even on 10-4-2002 the position remained the same. As such, in view of all the aforesaid, it is needless to keep this case pending any further, as quite evidently the sponsoring union or the concerned workman has lost interest in the present reference and does not want to pursue the case.

In such circumstances, I render a ‘No Dispute’ award in the present reference case.

S. H. KAZMI, Presiding Officer

नई दिल्ली, 30 अप्रैल, 2002

का.आ. 1760:— औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 (1947 का 14) की धारा 17 के अनुसरण में, केन्द्रीय सरकार एच.पी.सी.एल. के प्रबंधन के संबंध नियोजकों और उनके कर्मचारों के बीच, अनुबंध में निहित औद्योगिक विवाद में केन्द्रीय सरकार औद्योगिक अधिकरण बंगलोर के पंचाट (संदर्भ संख्या 178/97) को प्रकाशित करती है। जो केन्द्रीय सरकार को 30-4-02 को प्राप्त हुआ था।

[सं. एल 20040/62/95 आई.आर. (सी-1)]

एस.एस. गुप्ता, अवर सचिव,

New Delhi, the 30th April, 2002

S.O. 1760.—In pursuance of Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the award (Ref. No. 178/97) of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore now as shown in the Annexure in the Industrial Dispute between the employers in relation to the management of H.P.C.L. and their workman, which has received by the Central Government on 30-4-2002.

[No. L-20040/62/95-IR(C-1)]

S. S. GUPTA, Under Secy.

#### ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL-CUM-LABOUR COURT "SHRAM SADAN", III MAIN, III CROSS, II PHASE, TUMKUR ROAD, YESHWANTHUR, BANGALORE

Dated : 10th April, 2002

#### PRESENT :

Hon'ble Shri V. N. Kulkarni, B.Com., LL.B.,  
Presiding Officer  
CGIT-cum-Labour Court,  
Bangalore.

C.R. No. 178/97

#### I PARTY

Shri Shivanandiah and 9 others  
Lingadhera Mallasandra,  
Devanagondi Post,  
Hoskote Taluk,  
Bangalore-560067  
Advocate—V. S. Naik

#### II PARTY

The General Manager,  
Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd.,  
Indian Express Building,  
Bangalore-560001  
Advocate—C. M. Desai

#### AWARD

1. The Central Government by exercising the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section 2A of the Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 has referred this dispute vide order No. L-20040/62/95-IR(Coal-I) dated 11th October, 1996 for adjudication on the following schedule :

#### SCHEDULE

"Whether the workmen S/Shri Shivanandiah, Muruges, Venkatesh, Shivaramiah, M. Ramiah, M. Lakkappa, S. Chandpasha, D. Riyas, S. Afsar Pasha and Vasanth Kumar are justified in their demand for regularization with Hindustan Petroleum Corporation Ltd. ? If so, to what relief are these workmen entitled ?"

2. Ten workmen were working with the Second Party. They were not regularised by the management and therefore, Industrial dispute is raised.

3. Parties appeared and filed Claim Statement and Counter respectively. The case of the 1st party workmen in brief is as follows :—

4. It is the case of the workmen that they are working under the 2nd Party management since several years. The Hindustan Petroleum Product Ltd. is a Government of India Undertaking engaged in the refining and distribution of Petroleum products, and for this purpose the Corporation has established Zonal Office, Regional Office, Terminal Office, Depot LG Plant, Training and Experimental Stations at various parts of the country.

5. It is the further case of the workmen that to carry out its activities the Corporation had adopted a contract labour system and 1st Party workmen were appointed by the

Contractor under the direct supervision of the Corporation. These workmen were working under one Shri M. Govindaswamy Naidu who is said to be the Labour Contractor. Even though these workmen were termed as contract workers, their nature of work is in no way different from the work that is being carried out by the regular employees of the Corporation. The workmen are required to attend to the stacking of cylinders, removing excess/under filled cylinders from the conveyor transferring defective cylinders to evacuation stand, placing empty cylinders on platform for filling, removing empty cylinders from stacks and plain them on platform scales for filling, restacking of full cylinders and placing it on conveyor and also attending to the work of weighing of the cylinders etc. Most of these works are also being carried on by the regular workmen employed by the Corporation. The workmen have also given details of their work.

6. It is their further case that these works are also carried out by the regular employees. The workmen are engaged in performing regular and routine work under the Corporation. Even though these workmen have worked for several years ranging from 7 years to 14 years in the Corporation but they are not regularised. The nature of work is a perennial and it is existing ever since the workmen were first employed till today and therefore, they are entitled for regularization. They have worked for number of years but they are not regularised and the action of the management is not correct. There is unfair labour practice as defined under Section 2(ra) read with Schedule V of the Industrial Disputes Act.

7. It is their further case that before the Conciliation Officer, the management contended that the Corporation has engaged permanent employees for carrying out its regular activities of filling of the LPG Cylinders at the bunk and miscellaneous activities like emptying, stacking of cylinders etc. are carried out on contract basis.

8. It is the further case of the workmen that some employees continue to work in connection with the production activities of the 2nd Party management without any break in service. This work is well in existence. Management also contended that if their names are sponsored by the Employment Exchange against the vacancies are notified by 2nd Party in future, the cases will be considered on merit. The workmen have sufficient experience to perform the duties and there is sufficient work available in the management. Workmen for these reasons and for some other reasons has prayed to pass award in his favour.

9. Against this the case of the management in brief is as follows :—

10. The Claim Statement is misconceived and the workmen have no legal enforceable right to seek regularization of their services. About the Corporation and its branches, details are given in para 3 of the Counter.

11. It is the further case of the management that as per the Recruitment Policy of the Corporation as in vague, any vacancy in the non management category is required to be notified to the local employment exchange and selection is made from amongst the candidates sponsored by the local employment exchange on merit subject to their fulfilling the recruitment criteria of the corporation including Medical Fitness. Other Sources of Recruitment are tapped only when the employment exchange issue a 'Non Availability Certificate' and the eligibility norms for the post of General Workmen is minimum SSLC pass and age of 25 years (relaxable by 5 years for SC/ST and 3 years for OBC).

12. The Second Party Corporation has carried out certain miscellaneous activities like house keeping, stocking of cylinders etc. at the LPG plant for which work the management has been engaged the services of the Contractors. As far as employment of persons by Contractor, it is up to the contractor to engage his obligations under the contract. The 2nd Party cannot insist that the contractor should engage any specific persons to carry out the activities.

13. It is the further case of management that the first party workmen were engaged by various contractors during the period they were operating at Second Party LPG Plant at Bangalore for carrying out their obligations under the contract,



and they were paid for the same by the concerned contractor for which they had been working. 1st Party workmen were never engaged by the 2nd Party Corporation directly at any time and they were employed by the Contractors and they are employees of the Contractors only. The workmen have no right against the Corporation and they are not entitled for any relief. The workmen approached the High Court of Karnataka in W.P. Nos. 36817 to 36823/94 and the same was dismissed as withdrawn on 2nd January, 1995. All the allegations made by the workmen are not correct.

14. The main contention of the management is that the workmen are not the workmen of the 2nd Party but they are the workmen of the Contractor.

15. It is the further case of the management that 2nd Party has engaged permanent employees for carrying out its regular day to day activities of filling the LPG Cylinders at the plant and the miscellaneous activities like house keeping, stacking of cylinders, etc. are carried out on contract basis. The workmen themselves have admitted that, they are appointed by the Contractor and they were working under one M. Govindaswamy Naidu who is said to be the Labour Contractor. The services of the Contractors were engaged to carry out the miscellaneous jobs and not for performing the regular activities which are carried out through the regular employees of the Second Party Corporation.

16. It is the further case of the management that it is entrusting contract works to the different contractors for different periods. As per the contentions of the workmen they are working under the 2nd Party is not correct and the same is denied. Hence the question of treating them as regular employees of the 2nd Party Corporation does not arise at all. As per the admissions of the workmen, they were working under the Contractor and not under the management. The workmen have not acquired any right for employment in the 2nd Party Corporation. The management for these reasons and for some other reasons has prayed to reject the reference.

17. It is seen from the records that the matter was pending for a long period to hear about the maintainability of the dispute.

18. It is also seen from the records that these workmen and some others filed Writ Petition Nos. 10915 to 10918/1999 connected with Writ Petition Nos. 3936 to 3943/1999 and Writ Petition Nos. 12131 to 12134/1999.

19. It is also an admitted fact that these workmen were working under the Contractor and that Contractor is absconding and his whereabouts are not known. The case is referred to the appropriate Government to take a decision under Section 10 of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 was also under consideration.

20. It is also seen from the records that in the Writ Petitions filed by the workmen, they also obtained order to continue the work. It is an admitted fact that in the meanwhile Ministry of Labour, Government of India has issued notification prohibiting employment of contract labour and in pursuance of the notification issued by the Ministry of Labour, Government of India, management issued notices to these workmen saying that their services cannot be continued and they were also directed to receive a monetary payment payable to them. It appears that when these notifications were served to the workmen, they insisted for the disposal of this dispute. All this has been stated in detail because till the notification dated 1st March, 2001 issued by the Ministry of Labour prohibiting employment of contract labourer in the job or work of stacking of cylinders, removing of excess or under filled cylinders, transfer of defective cylinders and any other related work in cylinder handling in the process of bottling of liquified petroleum gas in the establishment of M/s. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation.

21. Before I proceed further one more aspect is also important. In the instant case the management insisted strongly saying that in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India the dispute itself is not maintainable.

22. Against this it was argued by the learned counsel appearing for the workman that the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of Steel Authority of India Ltd. and Others Vs. National Union Water Front Workers and Others reported in (2001) 7 SCC 1, the dispute

is maintainable and before the issue of notification the workmen had raised the Industrial dispute and the dispute was pending before this Tribunal and this Tribunal is the proper authority to entertain this dispute.

23. In the instant case the following three decisions are relied :

- (1) Air India Statutory Corporation Vs. United Labour Union & Ors decision reported in 1 LLJ SC 1997.
- (2) Gujarat Electricity Board Ukai Vs. Hind Mazdoor Sabha, decision reported in AIR 1995 SC 1997.
- (3) Steel authority of India Ltd. and Others Vs. National Union Water Front Workers and Others decision reported in 2001 (91) FLR 182.

24. I have read the above decisions very carefully. The learned counsel appearing for the management has also relied the decisions of High Court of Karnataka in Writ Appeal Nos. 4007 and 4586—93 and 4062—70 of 2001 in Writ appeal No. 4007 and 4586—93 of 2001. He also relied one decision of the Delhi High Court and another decision of the Kerala High Court. I have read them carefully.

25. In the instant case, of course management has not adduced any oral evidence. It appears that the learned counsel appearing for the management throughout insisted that the dispute itself is not maintainable and therefore not adduced any evidence and argued the matter placing reliance on the decision reported in the case of Steel Authority of India Ltd. and Others Vs. National Union Water Front Workers and Others and the decision of the High Court of Karnataka in Writ Appeals No. 4007 and 4586—93 and 4062—70 of 2001 in Writ appeal No. 4007 and 4586—93 of 2001.

26. In the instant case one Mr. Shivanandaiah was examined himself as WW1. His evidence is that he along with others are working with the management and they are doing the work of handling Cylinder labour work since February, 1981. He has given evidence for other workmen also. His evidence is that they all joined the 2nd Party through the Contractor and they were working under the supervision of the 2nd Party. Working hours were from 6 A.M. to 2 P.M. and 2 P.M. to 10 P.M. and they were paid daily wages. He has also given evidence that they were getting the benefit of PF and ESI contributions etc. He has admitted in his cross examination that he along with Nine workmen joined the Contractor as casual labourers. Ex. W1 is filed. It is also in his cross examination that now LPG Plant is automatised.

27. Statement of particulars of each workman is filed. WW1 has stated in his cross examination that Ex. 14, 15 and 16 are in respect of work allotted for a particular day.

28. I have also heard both sides in detail. I have considered all the documents and the Written Arguments.

29. It is an admitted fact that the Ministry of Labour has issued notification prohibiting the employment of contract labour of Second Party management in the job of work of stacking of cylinders, removing of excess or under filled cylinders, transfer of defective cylinders and any other related work in cylinder handling in the process of bottling of liquified petroleum gas in the establishment of M/s. Hindustan Petroleum Corporation.

30. It is seen from the records that the work which the workmen is doing under the Contractor is prohibited under the notification of Ministry of Labour dated 1st March, 2002.

31. It is clear from the evidence and the material before me that these workmen were working under the Contractor. The name of the Contractor is also given. It is further alleged that the Contractor is absconding.

32. In Steel Authority of India Ltd. and Others and National Union Water Front Workers and Others, the decision of the Supreme Court reported in 2001 (91) FLR 182 has over ruled the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Air India Statutory Corporation etc. Vs. United Labour Union and Others. The Judgement in Gujarat Electricity Board. Ukai Vs. Hind Mazdoor Sabha reported in AIR 1985 SC 1893 is not over ruled.

33. It is seen from the records that these workmen were employed by the Contractor for miscellaneous activities like house keeping, Stacking of Cylinders etc. and such work was taken through the contractors. These workmen were paid daily wages. The case of the management that it has engaged permanent employees as per recruitment rules for carrying out its regular day to day activities for filling LPG at Plants and the miscellaneous work is carried out by the labourers through the contractors.

34. I have considered the evidence of WWI carefully and I am of the opinion that these workmen are not doing the work as of regular employees of the 2nd Party management and on the other hand they are doing day to day work as contended by the management and on facts they cannot be continued as workmen of the management after issue of notification by the Ministry of Labour referred earlier.

35. It is held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India that on issuance of prohibition Notification under Section 10(1) CLRA Act prohibiting employment of Contract Labourer or otherwise, in an Industrial Dispute brought before it by any contract labourer in regard to conditions of service, the industrial adjudicator will have to consider the question whether the contractor has been interposed either on the ground of having under taken to produce any given result for the establishment or for supply of contract labourer for the work of the establishment under a genuine contract or is a mere ruse/camouflage to evade compliance of various beneficial legislations so as to deprive the workers of the benefit thereunder.

36. Keeping in mind the principles held in the above decision, I am of the opinion that there is no merit in the contention put forth by the management that this dispute is not maintainable. In fact this dispute is maintainable and we

will have to see on facts as to what type of work these workmen were doing and if these workmen are not doing the regular work of the regular employees, the workman will have no right to claim for regularization in view of the notification issued by the Ministry of Labour referred earlier.

37. According to the decision of the High Court of Karnataka in Writ Appeal No. 4007 and 4586 and 4062—70 of 2001 in Writ Appeal No. 4007 and 4586—93 of 2001. Again these workmen were working only under the Contractor for miscellaneous work and not entitled for any regularization by the second party management. From the records it is clear that the Contractor has hired these workmen for miscellaneous work which I have referred earlier and therefore, these workmen are not the employees of the principal employer and workmen are only working under contract labour.

38. I have given my best consideration to the material before me and I am of the opinion that these workmen were engaged as Casual Labourers by the Contractor and they are not the employees of the Second Party Management and accordingly I proceed to pass the following order.

#### ORDER

The reference is rejected.

(Dictated to PA transcribed by her corrected and signed by me on 10th April, 2002.)

V. N. KULKARNI, Presiding Officer

10-4-2002